1	Crop diversity enhances disease suppressive potential in soils
2	
3	
4	Ariane L. Peralta, ^{a,b} # [*] Yanmei Sun, ^{a*} and Jay T. Lennon ^b
5	
6	Department of Biology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, USA ^a ; Department of
7	Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA ^b
8	
9	Running Head: Crop diversity enhances disease suppression
10	
11	#Address correspondence to Ariane L. Peralta, e-mail: peraltaa@ecu.edu
12	*A.L.P and Y.S. contributed equally to this work.
13	
14	Keywords: crop diversity; disease suppression; microbial diversity; structure-function
15	relationships
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24 ABSTRACT

25 Agricultural management can have lasting impacts on microbial community structure and 26 function through changes in biotic (i.e., plant inputs) and abiotic (i.e., soil fertility) soil 27 properties. Enhancing biodiversity is expected to increase ecosystem functions, and in an 28 agricultural context, such functions include enhanced plant production and pest suppression. For 29 example, disease suppressive soils are characterized by the biocontrol properties provided by 30 resident soil microorganisms capable of producing antifungal or antibacterial compounds known 31 to suppress the growth of soil-borne pathogens. In this study, we investigated the impact of long-32 term crop diversity on microbial communities and disease suppressive functional potential in 33 soils. In 2012, we collected soil samples from the Biodiversity Gradient Experiment (established 34 in 2000) at the Kellogg Biological Station Long-Term Ecological Research (KBS-LTER) site. 35 We sampled 7 treatments along the crop diversity gradient (monoculture to 5 crop species) and a 36 spring fallow treatment to examine the influence of crop diversity on total bacterial community 37 composition (16S rRNA amplicon sequencing) and a subset of microorganisms capable of 38 producing antifungal compounds (2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol: *phlD* gene fingerprint analysis; 39 pyrrolnitrin: prnD gene quantitative PCR). Our study revealed that crop diversity significantly 40 influenced bacterial community composition and abundance of disease suppressive functional 41 groups. Variation in plant inputs to soil organic matter pools may be a possible mechanism 42 driving shifts in microbial community patterns and disease suppressive functional potential.

- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46

47 INTRODUCTION

48 Intensive agricultural management leads to reduced biodiversity and declines in 49 ecosystem functions (1). However, crop diversification within agricultural landscapes is known 50 to restore ecosystem functions such as carbon sequestration, pest control, and nutrient cycling (2, 51 3). Restoring ecosystem functions remains challenging to achieve given the loss of complex 52 biological, chemical, and physical functions that natural ecosystems provide and humans value 53 (i.e., ecosystem services). Understanding the effect of crop diversity on microbial contributions 54 to plant health can provide us with tools to enhance plant productivity beyond additions of 55 chemical inputs (4-7). Enhancing aboveground biodiversity (i.e., incorporating cover crops, 56 perennial crops) could enhance ecological functions in the context of agricultural production and 57 environmental quality. By managing soils to support more complex soil food webs, ecosystem 58 services such as nutrient cycling regulation and natural pest suppression can be restored (7-9).

59 Soil microorganisms influence plant growth and reproduction through solubilization of 60 mineral nutrients in soil (10), N₂ fixation (11), plant growth promotion (12), and plant pathogen 61 suppression (13-15). Plant-microbe interactions directly influence community composition above 62 and belowground through plant-soil feedback mechanisms. The exchange of resources between 63 plants and microorganisms can positively or negatively impact plant growth rates. The plant 64 influences microbial community composition, and this change can affect plant growth rates (13, 65 16, 17). In addition, plants contribute root exudates with different qualities providing a variety of 66 carbon substrates to soil microbes (18-21).

67 A subset of soil microorganisms is known to exhibit antimicrobial properties, which 68 ultimately provide plants with protection from soil-borne plant pathogens. This disease 69 suppressive capacity has been associated with the abundance of antagonistic bacteria and

70 associated reductions in fungal pathogens (14, 22). Bacterial production of secondary 71 metabolites 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) and pyrrolnitrin (PRN) are two potent bacterial 72 toxins known to suppress fungal pathogens in agricultural soils (14, 23, 24). In a previous study, 73 increased plant diversity was associated with enhanced soil suppressiveness measured by 74 increased DAPG and PRN producers (25). In addition, streptomycetes are a well-known group of 75 microbes to have antibiotic inhibitory effects and contribute to disease suppression in agricultural 76 soils (15, 26). However, the diversity, composition, and disease suppressive activity among 77 streptomycetes communities was similar among high and low plant diversity treatments (27). 78 Thus, the relationship between biodiversity and disease suppression in agricultural soils remains 79 unclear. By focusing on disease suppressive capacity of soil, we can evaluate how agricultural 80 land-use strategies and subsequent changes in the soil environment and resident microbes impact 81 contemporary plant growth (27, 28).

82 Heavily managed agroecosystems are ideal locations to test the influence of crop 83 diversity effects on soil microorganisms. Specifically, long-term, replicated crop diversity trials 84 provide a unique opportunity to focus on plant effects on soil microbial communities. Our 85 research addresses the following questions: (1) What is the relationship between crop diversity 86 and soil microbial community composition and disease suppressive functional potential? and (2) 87 what is the relationship between changes in soil physicochemical properties and soil microbial 88 community composition and disease suppressive functional potential in response to a crop 89 diversity gradient? We tested the hypothesis that plant and microbial biodiversity provide disease 90 suppressive functions in soils. We predicted that soil microbes established under high crop 91 diversity (i.e., long crop rotations) have relatively higher soil suppressive capacity compared to 92 soil microbes developed under low crop diversity (i.e., short crop rotation).

93 MATERIALS AND METHODS

94

95 Experimental design

96 We collected at the Biodiversity Gradient Experiment plots at W.K. Kellogg Biological 97 Station Long-Term Ecological Research (KBS LTER) site in southwest, Michigan, USA. These 98 soils are Kalamazoo (fine-loamy) and Oshtemo (coarse-loamy) mixed, mesic, Typic Hapluadalfs 99 formed under glacial outwash (29). We sampled six diversity treatments including monoculture, 100 two-crop rotation, and three-crop rotation with two or three cover crops and a spring fallow 101 treatment (Table 1). Cover crops included in this experiment were red clover (*Trifolium pretense* 102 L.), crimson clover (Trifolium incarnatum L.) and cereal rye (Secale cereal L.). Plantings of 103 cover crop were dependent on the main crop in rotation (30). A complete set of biodiversity plots 104 is replicated four times. No additional fertilizer is applied to these plots.

105

106 Field sampling

107 We sampled 7 treatments (Table 1) all in the corn phase of the rotation along the biodiversity 108 gradient and a spring fallow treatment. At each of the four replicate 10 x 20 m plots, we 109 combined five soil cores (5 cm diameter) to a 10 cm depth. A subsample from each composite 110 sample was sieved through 4 mm in the field, flash frozen in the field in liquid nitrogen, and 111 stored at -80 °C prior to molecular-based microbial analyses. On a subsample of field-collected 112 soil, we analyzed inorganic nitrogen (N) (KCl extractable ammonium and nitrate) and 113 gravimetric soil moisture. The remaining soil was air-dried and ground for analysis of soil pH 114 and total C and N based on elemental combustion analysis.

116 Bacterial community sequencing

117 We extracted DNA using the MoBio PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit Soil (MO BIO 118 Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA). DNA concentration was adjusted to a standard concentration 119 of 20 ng μ l⁻¹ and used as template in PCR reactions. To characterize bacterial taxonomic 120 diversity, we used barcoded primers (515f/806r primer set) developed by the Earth Microbiome 121 Project to target the V4-V5 region of the bacterial 16S subunit of the ribosomal RNA gene 122 (rDNA) (31). For each sample, PCR product combined from three 50 µl reactions, concentration 123 quantified, and PCR product from each soil sample was combined in equimolar concentrations 124 for paired-end 250×250 sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform according to details in M. 125 Muscarella, et al. (32). Briefly, we assembled the paired-end 16S rRNA sequence reads using the 126 Needleman algorithm (33). All sequences were subjected to systematic checks to reduce 127 sequencing and PCR errors. High quality sequences (i.e., >200 bp in length, quality score of >25, 128 exact match to barcode and primer, and contained no ambiguous characters) were retained. In 129 addition, we identified and removed chimeric sequence using the UCHIME algorithm (34). We 130 aligned our sequence data set with the bacterial SILVA-based bacterial reference database (35). 131 During data analysis, operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were binned at 97% sequence identity 132 and phylogenetic classifications of bacterial sequences performed. Sequences were processed 133 using the software package mothur v.1.35.1 (36, 37).

134

135 Composition and abundance of disease suppression genes

We classify disease suppressive taxa as the subset of soil microorganisms possessing genes that are required for the production of antifungal compounds 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) and pyrrolnitrin (PRN) (14, 24). We assessed the composition of disease suppressive

139 microorganisms by targeting the *phlD* gene using terminal restriction fragment length 140 polymorphism (T-RFLP) (38). For phlD gene amplification, the forward primer B2BF (5'-141 ACCCACCGCAGCATCGTTTATGAGC-3') and (5'reverse primer FAM-BPR4 142 CCGCCGGTATGGAAGATGAAAAAGTC-3') to yield a 629 bp product. In each 25 μ L PCR reaction, we combined 5% dimethylsulfoxide, 0.8 mg ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin, $1 \times$ 143 144 GoTaq®Colorless Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI), 0.2 µM of each primer and 5 µL of 145 template DNA. Reactions were cycled with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 146 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min, with a final extension carried out at 72 °C for 10 min (38). The amplified PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR 147 148 purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). After purification, amplicons generated from each 149 sample were digested in multiple restriction enzymes overnight in 12 µL reaction mixtures 150 containing 4 μ L of PCR product, 1× enzyme buffer (38). After digestion, the enzymes were 151 inactivated for 5 min at 80 °C, and the digested products were purified according to the 152 purification kit protocol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). For T-RFLP analysis, we combined 1.5 µL of 153 the digested product with 9 µL of HiDi formamide (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and 154 0.4 µL of internal size standard ABI GeneScan LIZ 600 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). 155 The samples were incubated for 3 min at 96 °C and then stored on ice prior to fragment analysis. 156 We determined the length and relative abundance of terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) using 157 an ABI 3130×1 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Electrophoresis 158 conditions were 60 °C and 15 kV with a run time of 41 min using POP-7 polymer. The resulting 159 data was analyzed using GeneMapper Software 4.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The 160 peak detection limit was set to 50 fluorescence intensity units.

161

We assessed the relative functional gene abundance of disease suppression by targeting

162 the prnD gene using quantitative PCR (qPCR) (24). The partial prnD gene abundance was 163 quantified using a SYBR green assay with primers prnD-F (5'-TGCACTTCGCGTTCGAGAC-3') and prnD-R (5'-GTTGCGCGTCGTAGAAGTTCT-3') (24). The 25 µL PCR reaction 164 165 contained 1× GoTag®Colorless Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI), 0.4 µM of each primer, 166 and 5 µL of template DNA. Cycling conditions were the following: initial cycle 95 °C, 10 min, 167 and 30 cycles of 95 °C, 15 s and 60 °C, 1 min. For the qPCR standard curve, prnD gene was 168 amplified from soil genomic DNA. PCR fragments were cloned to pGEM®-T Easy Vector 169 System according to the manufacturer's manual (Promega, Madison, WI). Plasmids were extracted using the QIAprep[®] Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and cloned fragments 170 171 were verified by PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis. Dilutions of plasmid DNA containing *prnD* gene were used to generate standard curves in quantities ranging from 5.0×10^2 to 5.0×10^2 172 10⁷ copies. We quantified the *prnD* gene in 25 µL reaction volumes containing about 20 ng DNA 173 174 template, 1×TaqMan Environmental Master Mix 2.0 (Applied Biosystems, Valencia, CA), 1× 175 SYBR green I, and 0.4 μ M of each primer. Fragments were amplified with an initial denaturation 176 step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min. For each 177 sample, PCR reactions were run in triplicate. We obtained standard curves based on serial 178 dilutions of mixed PCR product amplified from soil samples. Reactions were analyzed on a BIO-RAD CFX-96TM Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA). 179

180

181 Statistical analyses

We used Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) to visualize microbial community composition based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity coefficient for each possible pair of samples was used to visualize patterns of community composition using the R statistical package (R Core

185 Development Team 2015). To test for differences in bacterial communities among crop diversity 186 treatments, we used permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using the 187 adonis function in the R Statistics Package R version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2015). 188 PERMANOVA was also used to assess the contribution of soil factors to the variation in bacterial community composition. The R² value reported refers to the treatment sums of squares 189 190 divided by the total sums of squares for each soil factor in the model. Because the adonis 191 function carries out sequential tests (similar to Type I sums of squares) (39), the effect of the last 192 soil factor or soil biological activity factor of the model was included in the final PERMANOVA 193 model summary (40). We also performed multiple linear regression (gene abundance \sim crop 194 number + total soil carbon + soil moisture + soil ammonium + soil nitrate) to test the influence 195 of soil factors and crop diversity number on abundance of disease suppression/biocontrol gene 196 prnD using the lm function in the R Statistics Package R version 3.0.2 (R Core Development 197 Team 2015).

198

199 **RESULTS**

200 Bacterial community composition along a crop diversity gradient

A total of 12,539,359 sequence reads were generated, and we analyzed 24,858 OTUs for bacterial community patterns. A summary of soil attributes is presented in Table S1 and reported in McDaniel et al. (2014) (41). The crop diversity treatment significantly influenced bacterial community composition (PERMANOVA, crop rotation: $R^2 = 0.38$, P < 0.001; Table 2a, Fig. 1, S1). Bacterial communities from the fallow plots and the longest crop rotations (CSW, CSW-1cov, CSW-2cov) were more similar to each other than the lower crop diversity treatments (C-1cov, CS) (Fig. 1). The monoculture corn (C) treatment was more distinct in bacterial 208 community composition than all other crop diversity treatments (Fig. 1). Bacterial diversity was 209 significantly higher under lower crop diversity and fallow treatments (Fig. 2). In addition, 210 percent sand, a soil texture factor, was the only soil property to significantly explain bacterial 211 community variation (PERMANOVA, Sand: $R^2 = 0.063$, P = 0.044, Table 3a). Potentially 212 mineralizable nitrogen, which represents the biologically available nitrogen pool, explained 213 variation in bacterial community composition (PERMANOVA, PMN: $R^2 = 0.085$, P = 0.013, 214 Table 3b).

215

216 Disease suppression functional potential in soils along a crop diversity

217 Crop diversity affected the composition of disease suppression soil microorganisms. We 218 observed a significant shift in disease suppression community composition (represented by *phlD* gene T-RFLP) along the crop diversity gradient (PERMANOVA; crop rotation: $R^2 = 0.52$, P =219 220 0.037; Fig. 3, Table 2b). The *phlD* community composition in the fallow treatment was different 221 from other cropping systems (Fig. 2). The relative abundance of dominant T-RF 280 bp fragment 222 group accounted for about 70% of the disease suppressive community under fallow conditions. 223 In addition, the disease suppressive functional group T-RF 582 bp was a dominant group, 224 representing about 31-97% relative abundance across all crop diversity treatments. In addition, 225 prnD gene abundances in cropping systems were higher than under fallow conditions (Fig. 3). In 226 cropping systems, the prnD gene in CSW-2cov treatment was the most abundant, and the gene 227 abundance was significantly higher than in CSW and fallow treatments (Fig. 3). The prnD gene 228 abundance results suggest CSW2cov>CSW1cov>CSW and C1cov>C, which indicated that the 229 treatments with cover crop tended to increase the prnD gene abundance (Fig. 3). When crop 230 input is removed, such as in the fallow treatment, prnD gene abundance was significantly

decreased (Fig. 4). Based on multiple linear regression analysis, plant and soil factors significantly influenced *prnD* abundance (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.398$, F = 4.571, P = 0.005). Crop species number (P = 0.003), soil carbon (P = 0.002), and soil moisture (P = 0.0005) significantly influenced *prnD* gene abundance (Table 4).

235

236 **DISCUSSION**

237 Crop diversity and disease suppression function relationship

238 Crop rotation history impacts bacterial diversity and disease suppression potential in 239 soils. Our findings support the hypothesis that crop diversity influences bacterial community 240 composition and disease suppression potential in soils. Plants provide carbon to the soil 241 environment through root exudation of recently assimilated photosynthate, composed of soluble, 242 low molecular weight organic compounds (42). As a consequence, the increased C flow from 243 root exudates can stimulate soil microbial activity. Changes in plant inputs through variation in 244 root exudation are related to the crop species in the rotation. Changes in root exudates have been 245 observed to shift microbial community composition and stimulate a diverse microbial 246 community (18-21). Specifically, our results revealed that taxonomic diversity decreased while 247 functional diversity increased in response to increasing crop diversity. The addition of cover 248 crops in rotation increased disease suppressive potential. Crop diversity influenced the subset of 249 microbes associated with disease suppression of soil-borne pathogens. In previous studies, 250 interactions among the total microbial community and soil-borne pathogens in the plant 251 rhizosphere have influenced both plant growth and productivity (27, 43). Our results suggest that 252 plant diversity can enhance protection against soil-borne pathogens by fostering antagonistic soil 253 bacterial communities (25).

254

255 Crop rotation effects on DAPG and PRN producers

256 The gene abundance results suggest that incorporation of cover crop in rotations increases 257 *prnD* gene abundance. Specifically, cover crop species may have important effects on the *prnD* 258 gene abundance and disease suppressive functional potential in soils. The *prnD* gene abundance 259 in cropping systems is higher than in fallow treatment. In addition, there are no reports linking 260 the functional group T-RF 280 to a specific genotype of laboratory strains (38). In our study, this 261 bacterial group is capable of producing 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) and affects DAPG 262 community composition (Fig. 3). The T-RF 582 bp was also reported to be a major 2,4-263 diacetylphloroglucinol-producing functional *Pseudomonas kilonensis* in a previous study (38). 264 The abundance of DAPG and PRN producers increasing with plant diversity has been previously 265 observed (25). Compared to agricultural soils, the PRN producers were more frequently detected 266 in grassland or grassland-derived plots (23, 24). The PRN gene abundance increased in the 267 presence of grasses, but the legume species tended to decrease the DAPG and PRN producer 268 abundance (25). Together, our findings combined with previous studies suggest that the land-use 269 regime, plant diversity, and plant species are involved in structuring disease suppressive 270 microbial communities.

271

272 Testing the biodiversity-ecosystem function relationship

A framework to investigate biodiversity and ecosystem functioning includes four main components: species composition, species abundance, functional traits possessed by each species, and ecosystem function to measure in response to changes in biodiversity (44). Microbial systems are ideal for addressing biodiversity and ecosystem functioning relationships 277 within this framework (45). In our study, higher crop diversity but lower soil bacterial diversity 278 supported disease suppression functional potential in soils. We posit that rotation has a filtering 279 effect on soil microbes, whereby crop diversity selects for antagonistic microbes with disease 280 suppressive potential. Our study further supports the hypothesis that plant diversity can support 281 biocontrol functional potential by enhancing antagonistic properties of resident soil microbes 282 against soil-borne pathogens. The soil microbial community composition may be more important 283 than soil microbial diversity to soil ecological function. Increasing evidence supports that crop 284 species and soil type have been shown to shape the soil microbial composition and function (2, 285 3); thus, land management can have a large effect on soil microbial processes and microbial 286 community composition (46-48).

287

288 Conclusion

289 Here, we demonstrate that crop diversity influenced bacterial community composition 290 and disease suppressive functional potential in soils. Higher disease suppressive potential is 291 supported when crop rotations are longer and more complex. Plants and microbes drive nutrient 292 cycling in this long-term cropping diversity since no other synthetic or organic amendments are 293 applied. In our study, once crop species were removed (fallow), disease suppressive potential 294 dramatically decreased. Managing for enhanced disease suppressive soils remains challenging to 295 implement given the complex plant-soil-microbial interactions occurring in agricultural soils. 296 However, the legacy effects associated with crop diversity have potential to be diluted by 297 changes in management when fields are not actively in agricultural production (e.g., fallow plots 298 no longer planted with crops).

299

300 FUNDING INFORMATION

- 301 USDA NIFA (#2012-67012-19845 to A.L.P.); NSF (#1442246 to J.T.L.)
- 302 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, preparation of the
- 303 manuscript, or decision to submit the work for publication.
- 304

305 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 306 This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and
- 307 Agriculture Postdoctoral Fellowship (2012-67012-19845 to A.L.P.) and the National Science
- 308 Foundation (1442246 to J.T.L.). Support was also provided by the NSF Long-term Ecological
- 309 Research Program (DEB 1027253) at the Kellogg Biological Station and by Michigan State
- 310 University AgBioResearch. We would like to thank the Kellogg Biological Station LTER for
- 311 logistical support and use of sampling sites. We also thank M. Muscarella, J. Ford, S. Krahnke,
- and M. Brewer for microbial analyses support and B. O'Neill, M. McDaniel, A.S. Grandy and
- 313 T.M. Schmidt Labs for field and soil analyses support.
- 314

315 **REFERENCES**

1. Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S. 2002. Agricultural

317 sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature **418:**671-677.

- 318 2. McDaniel MD, Tiemann LK, Grandy AS. 2013. Does agricultural crop diversity
- enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta-analysis. Ecol
 Appl 24:560-570.
- 321 3. Tiemann LK, Grandy AS, Atkinson EE, Marin-Spiotta E, McDaniel MD. 2015. Crop
- 322 rotational diversity enhances belowground communities and functions in an
- 323 agroecosystem. Ecol Lett **18:**761-771.

324	4.	Postma J, Schilder MT, Bloem J, van Leeumen-Haagsma WK. 2008. Soil
325		suppressiveness and functional diversity of the soilmicroflora in organic farming systems.
326		Soil Biol Biochem 40: 2394–2406.
327	5.	Smukler SM, Sanchez-Moreno S, Fonte SJ, Ferris H, Klonsky K, O'Geen AT, Scow
328		KM, Steenwerth KL, Jackson LE. 2010. Biodiversity and multiple ecosystem functions
329		in an organic farmscape. Agr Ecosyst Environ 139: 80-97.
330	6.	Swift MJ, Izac AMN, van Noordwijk M. 2004. Biodiversity and ecosystem services in
331		agricultural landscapes - are we asking the right questions? Agr Ecosyst Environ
332		104: 113-134.
333	7.	Young-Mathews A, Culman SW, Sánchez-Moreno S, O'Geen AT, Ferris H,
334		Hollander AD, Jackson LE. 2010. Plant-soil biodiversity relationships and nutrient
335		retention in agricultural riparian zones of the Sacramento Valley, California. Agroforest
336		Syst 80: 41-60.
337	8.	Letourneau DK, Bothwell SG. 2008. Comparison of organic and conventional farms:
338		challenging ecologists to make biodiversity functional. Front Ecol Environ 6:430-438.
339	9.	Letourneau DK, van Bruggen A. 2006. Crop protection in organic agriculture. In
340		Kirstiansen P, Taji A, Reganold J (ed), Organic Agriculture: A Gobal Perspective.
341		CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia.
342	10.	Barness E, Chen Y, Hadar Y, Marschner H, Romheld V. 1991. Siderophores of
343		Pseudomonas putida as an iron source for dicot and monocot plants. Plant Soil 130:231-
344		241.
345	11.	Reed SC, Townsend AR, Cleveland CC, Nemergut DR. 2010. Microbial community
346		shifts influence patterns in tropical forest nitrogen fixation. Oecologia 164:521-531.

347	12.	Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F. 2009. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Annu Rev
348		Microbiol 63: 541–545.
349	13.	Bever JD, Westover KM, Antonovics J. 1997. Incorporating the soil community into
350		plant population dynamics: the utility of the feedback approach. J Ecol 85:561-573.
351	14.	Haas D, Defago G. 2005. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent
352		pseudomonads. Nature Rev Microbiol 3:307-319.
353	15.	Perez C, Dill-Macky R, Kinkel LL. 2008. Management of soil microbial communities
354		to enhance populations of Fusarium graminearum-antagonists in soil. Plant Soil 302:53-
355		69.
356	16.	Kulmatiski A, Beard KH, Stevens JR, Cobbold SM. 2008. Plant-soil feedbacks: a
357		meta-analytical review. Ecol Lett 11:980–992.
358	17.	Reynolds HL, Packer A, Bever JD, Clay K. 2003. Grassroots ecology: Plant-microbe-
359		soil interactions as drivers of plant community structure and dynamics. Ecology 84:2281-
360		2291.
361	18.	Dijkstra FA, Morgan JA, Blumenthal D, Follett RF. 2010. Water limitation and plant
362		inter-specific competition reduce rhizosphere-induced C decomposition and plant N
363		uptake. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1073-1082.
364	19.	Hooper DU, Bignell DE, Brown VK, Brussaard L, Dangerfield JM, Wall DH,
365		Wardle DA, Coleman DC, Giller KE, Lavelle P, Van der Putten WH, De Ruiter PC,
366		Rusek J, Silver WL, Tiedje JM, Wolters V. 2000. Interactions between aboveground
367		and belowground biodiversity in terrestrial ecosystems: Patterns, mechanisms, and
368		feedbacks. Bioscience 50:1049-1061.

369	20.	Paterson E, Midwood AJ, Millard P. 2009. Through the eye of the needle: a review of
370		isotope approaches to quantify microbial processes mediating soil carbon balance. New
371		Phytol 184: 19-33.
372	21.	Stephan A, Meyer AH, Schmid B. 2000. Plant diversity affects culturable soil bacteria
373		in experimental grassland communities. J Ecol 88:988-998.
374	22.	Weller DM, Raaijmakers JM, Gardener BBM, Thomashow LS. 2002. Microbial
375		populations responsible for specific soil suppressiveness to plant pathogens. Annu Rev
376		Phytopathol 40:309-+.
377	23.	Garbeva P, van Veen JA, van Elsas JD. 2004. Microbial diversity in soil: Selection of
378		microbial populations by plant and soil type and implications for disease suppressiveness.
379		Annu Rev Phytopathol 42: 243-270.
380	24.	Garbeva P, Voesenek K, van Elsas JD. 2004. Quantitative detection and diversity of
381		the pyrrolnitrin biosynthetic locus in soil under different treatments. Soil Biol Biochem
382		36: 1453-1463.
383	25.	Latz E, Eisenhauer N, Rall BC, Roscher C, Scheu S, Jousset A. 2012. Plant diversity
384		improves protection against soil-borne pathogens by fostering antagonistic bacterial
385		communities. J Ecol 100:597-604.
386	26.	Wiggins E, Kinkel LL. 2005. Green manures and crop sequences influence alfalfa root
387		rot and pathogen inhibitory activity among soil-borne streptomycetes. Plant Soil
388		268: 271-283.
389	27.	Bakker MG, Glover JD, Mai JG, Kinkel LL. 2010. Plant community effects on the
390		diversity and pathogen suppressive activity of soil streptomycetes. Applied Soil Ecology
391		46: 35-42.

- 392 28. Kulmatiski A, Beard KH. 2011. Long-term plant growth legacies overwhelm short-term
- 393 plant growth effects on soil microbial community structure. Soil Biol Biochem **43**:823-
- **394 830**.
- 395 29. Crum JR, Collins HP. 1995. KBS Soils [Online].
- 396 http://www.lter.kbs.msu.edu/soil/characterization. Accessed
- 397 30. Smith RG, Gross KL. 2006. Weed community and corn yield variability in diverse
- 398 management systems. Weed Sci 54:106-113.
- 399 31. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens
- 400 SM, Betley J, Fraser L, Bauer M, Gormley N, Gilbert JA, Smith G, Knight R. 2012.
- 401 Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq
 402 platforms. ISME J 6:1621-1624.
- +02 plationins. ISWIE **5 0.**1021-1024.
- 403 32. Muscarella M, Bird K, Larsen M, Placella S, Lennon J. 2014. Phosphorus resource

404 heterogeneity in microbial food webs. Aquat Microb Ecol **73:**259-272.

405 33. Needleman SB, Wunsch CD. 1970. A general method applicable to the search for

406 similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. J Mol Biol **48:**443-453.

- 407 34. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves
- 408 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics **27:**2194-2200.
- 409 35. Yilmaz P, Parfrey LW, Yarza P, Gerken J, Pruesse E, Quast C, Schweer T, Peplies
- 410 J, Ludwig W, Glöckner FO. 2013. The SILVA and "All-species living tree project
- 411 (LTP)" taxonomic frameworks. Nucl Acids Res **42**:D643-D648.
- 412 36. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013. Development
- 413 of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon

414 sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Micro	obiol
---	-------

- 415 **79:**5112-5120.
- 416 37. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB,
- 417 Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger
- 418 GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-
- 419 Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial
- 420 Communities. Appl Environ Microbiol **75**:7537-7541.
- 421 38. von Felten A, Meyer JB, Defago G, Maurhofer M. 2011. Novel T-RFLP method to
- 422 investigate six main groups of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol-producing pseudomonads in
- 423 environmental samples. J Microbiol Methods **84:**379-387.
- 424 39. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos
- 425 P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2010. Community Ecology Package 'vegan'.
- 426 40. Peralta AL, Matthews JW, Flanagan DN, Kent AD. 2012. Environmental Factors at
- 427 Dissimilar Spatial Scales Influence Plant and Microbial Communities in Restored
- 428 Wetlands. Wetlands **32:**1125-1134.
- 429 41. McDaniel MD, Grandy AS, Tiemann LK, Weintraub MN. 2014. Crop rotation
- 430 complexity regulates the decomposition of high and low quality residues. Soil Biol
- 431 Biochem **78:**243-254.
- 432 42. Neumann G, Romheld V. 2007. The Release of Root Exudates as Affects by the Plant
- 433 Physiological Status, p 23-72. In Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (ed), The
- 434 Rhizosphere: Biochemistry and Organic Substances at the Soil-plant Interface, 2nd ed.
- 435 CRC Press.

436 43. Penton CR, Gupta V, Tiedje JM, Neate SM, Ophel-Keller K, Gillings M, Har	430 43. Pe	Penton CK, Gupta V	, Heale JMI, Nea	te SNI, Opnei-Keile	r K, Gillings	M, Harvev	P,
--	------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------------	---------------	-----------	----

- 437 **Pham A, Roget DK.** 2014. Fungal community structure in disease suppressive soils
- 438 assessed by 28S LSU gene sequencing. PloS One **9**:e93893.
- 439 44. Naeem S, Wright JP. 2003. Disentangling biodiversity effects on ecosystem
- 440 functioning: Deriving solutions to a seemingly insurmountable problem. Ecol Lett **6**:567-
- 441 579.
- 442 45. Jessup CM, Kassen R, Forde SE, Kerr B, Buckling A, Rainey PB, Bohannan BJM.
- 443 2004. Big questions, small worlds: microbial model systems in ecology. Trends Ecol
- 444 Evol **19:**189-197.
- 445 46. Jangid K, Williams MA, Franzluebbers AJ, Sanderlin JS, Reeves JH, Jenkins MB,
- 446 Endale DM, Coleman DC, Whitman WB. 2008. Relative impacts of land-use,
- 447 management intensity and fertilization upon soil microbial community structure in

448 agricultural systems. Soil Biol Biochem **40**:2843-2853.

- 449 47. Lauber CL, Strickland MS, Bradford MA, Fierer N. 2008. The influence of soil
- 450 properties on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities across land-use types.

451 Soil Biol Biochem **40:**2407-2415.

- 452 48. Orr C, Stewart C, Leifert C, Cooper J, Cummings S. 2015. Effect of crop
- 453 management and sample year on abundance of soil bacterial communities in organic and
- 454 conventional cropping systems. J Appl Microbiol.
- 455
- 456
- 457
- 458

459 TABLES

Table 1. Cropping diversity treatments at the Kellogg Biological Station Long-term Ecological Research (KBS LTER) Biodiversity Gradient Experiment Plots. Plant treatments were established in 2000. Treatments were composed of monoculture, two-crop rotation, three-crop rotation +/- cover crops, and fallow plots (early successional) and soil collected during the corn phase of the rotation. Treatment abbreviations are in parentheses.

465

Crop diversity treatment description	Number of crop species
(1) Continuous monoculture (C)	1
(2) Continuous monoculture, one cover crop (C-1cov)	2
(3) Two-crop rotation (CS)	2
(4) Three-crop rotation (CSW)	3
(5) Three-crop rotation, one cover crop (CSW-1cov)	4
(6) Three-crop rotation, two cover crops (CSW-2cov)	5
(7) Spring Fallow/early successional field (fallow)	10

466

467

471

472

-

- 474 Table 2. Effects of crop rotation on the composition of bacterial community composition and
- 475 disease suppressive community composition. Summary of the contribution of crop rotation (i.e.,
- 476 crop diversity) on (A) bacterial community variation based on 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
- 477 or (b) disease suppressive community variation based on *phlD* gene T-RFLP at the KBS
- 478 Biodiversity Gradient Experimental Plots based on permutational MANOVA (PERMANOVA)
- 479 results. Rotation effect was considered to significantly contribute to community variation at P <
- 480 0.05.
- 481

(A) 16S rRNA

	df	SS	MS	F	R^2	<i>P</i> -value
Rotation	6	0.321	0.054	2.135	0.379	< 0.001
Residuals	21	0.527	0.025	0.621		
Total	27	0.848	1.000			
(B) <i>phlD</i> gene						
	df	SS	MS	F	R^2	<i>P</i> -value

	df	88	MS	F	R^2	<i>P</i> -value
Rotation	8	3.024	0.378	1.748	0.518	0.037
Residuals	13	2.811	0.216	0.482		
Total	21	5.835	1.000			

484

482

483

485

- 487 Table 3. Summary of the contribution of (A) soil factors and (B) soil biological activity on
- 488 bacterial community variation at the KBS Biodiversity Gradient Experimental Plots based on
- 489 permutational MANOVA (PERMANOVA). Soil factor effects were considered to significantly
- 490 contribute to community variation at P < 0.05.
- 491 (A) Soil Factors

Effect	df	SS	MS	F	R^2	<i>P</i> -value
Sand	1	0.053	0.053	2.042	0.063	0.044
Silt	1	0.052	0.052	2.003	0.061	0.056
Clay	1	0.051	0.051	1.961	0.060	0.064
pН	1	0.024	0.024	0.915	0.028	0.513
Nitrate	1	0.010	0.010	0.385	0.012	0.982
Ammonium	1	0.009	0.009	0.345	0.011	0.981
Nitrogen	1	0.028	0.028	1.061	0.033	0.369
Carbon	1	0.013	0.013	0.501	0.015	0.902
Moisture	1	0.039	0.039	1.481	0.045	0.145
Residuals	18	0.469	0.026		0.553	
Total	27	0.848			1.000	

492

493

494

495

496

	Effect	df	SS	MS	F	R^2	<i>P</i> -value
	PMN	1	0.072	0.072	2.485	0.085	0.013
	РМС	1	0.038	0.038	1.314	0.045	0.211
	POXC	1	0.050	0.050	1.715	0.059	0.104
	Residuals	24	0.698	0.029		0.823	
	Total	27	0.848			1.000	
499							
500							
501							
502							
503							
504							
505							
506							
507							
508							
509							
510							
511							
512							
513							

498 (B) Soil Biological Activity

- 514 Table 4. Summary of multiple linear regression to test the influence of disease suppressive
- 515 functional potential (*prnD* gene abundance) on soil factors and crop diversity.

Factor	Estimate	Std error	t-value	<i>p</i> -value
Intercept	7.444	0.420	17.728	< 0.001
Crop_number	-0.085	0.025	-3.355	0.003
Carbon	0.180	0.050	3.618	0.002
Moisture	-11.564	2.817	-4.105	< 0.001
Ammonium	-0.701	0.948	-0.739	0.468
Nitrate	0.093	0.136	0.684	0.501


- _ _ _ _

530 FIGURES

531

532	Figure 1. Ordination from Principal Coordinates Analysis depicting soil bacterial communities							
533	along a cropping diversity gradient. Symbols are colored according to cropping diversity							
534	treatment (C=continuous corn; C-1cov=corn/1 cover crop; CS=corn/soy; CSW=corn/soy/wheat;							
535	CSW-1cov=corn/soy/wheat/1 cover crop; CSW-2cov=corn/soy/wheat/2 cover crops;							
536	fallow=spring fallow, tilled annually).							
537								
538	Figure 2. The bacterial diversity (mean ± SEM based on Shannon Diversity Index H') in							
539	response to long-term crop diversity treatment. Different letters above points reflect significant							
540	differences in gene abundance along crop diversity gradient at $P < 0.05$ (Tukey's HSD <i>post-hoc</i>							
541	analysis).							
542								
543	Figure 3. Ordination from Principal Coordinates Analysis of disease suppressive community							
544	based on T-RFLP of <i>phl</i> D gene (DAPG producers) T-RF relative abundance data obtained from							
545	different cropping system treatments.							
546								
547	Figure 4. Abundance of <i>prn</i> D gene (PRN producers) in response to crop diversity treatment							
548	analyzed using quantitative PCR and expressed as log copy number of prnD gene. Different							
549	letters above points reflect significant differences in Different letters above boxplots considered							
550	significantly different in gene abundance at $P < 0.05$ (Tukey's HSD <i>post-hoc</i> analysis).							
551								

553 Figure 1




554

Figure 1. Ordination from Principal Coordinates Analysis depicting soil bacterial communities along a cropping diversity gradient. Symbols are colored according to cropping diversity treatment (C=continuous corn; C-1cov=corn/1 cover crop; CS=corn/soy; CSW=corn/soy/wheat; CSW-1cov=corn/soy/wheat/1 cover crop; CSW-2cov=corn/soy/wheat/2 cover crops; fallow=spring fallow, tilled annually).

- 560
- 561
- 562

563

565 Figure 2



566

567 Figure 2. The bacterial diversity (mean ± SEM based on Shannon Diversity Index H') in

response to long-term crop diversity treatment. Different letters above points reflect significant

569 differences in gene abundance along crop diversity gradient at P < 0.05 (Tukey's HSD *post-hoc*

analysis).

571


572

573

574

575

577 Figure 3



578


579 Figure 3. Ordination from Principal Coordinates Analysis of disease suppressive community

580 based on T-RFLP of *phl*D gene (DAPG producers) T-RF relative abundance data obtained from

- 581 different cropping system treatments.
- 582
- 583
- 584
- 585
- 586
- 587
- 588

589 Figure 4





592Figure 4. Abundance of prnD gene (PRN producers) in response to crop diversity treatment593analyzed using quantitative PCR and expressed as log copy number of prnD gene. Different594letters above points reflect significant differences in Different letters above boxplots considered595significantly different in gene abundance at P < 0.05 (Tukey's HSD *post-hoc* analysis).596

- 597
- 598
- 599
- 600

601 SUPPLEMENTAL

602




Figure S1. Principal coordinates analysis plot of classified only 16S rRNA sequences based on
relative abundance of classified OTUs at the phylum, order, and genus levels.

603 SUPPLEMENTAL

Table S1. Soil properties measured along the KBS cropping diversity gradient averaged over four replicate blocks. Comparison of soil
 properties and biological activity results are summarized in M.D. McDaniel et al. (2014) (41).

Treatment	Total C	Total N	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃	рН	Clay	Silt	Sand
	(g C kg ⁻¹ soil)	(g N kg ⁻¹ soil)	(mg N kg ⁻¹ soil)	(mg N kg ⁻¹ soil)		(%)	(%)	(%)
Fallow	8.74 ± 2.41	0.77 ± 0.16	0.07 ± 0.04	1.50 ± 0.31	6.70 ± 0.28	25 ± 9	39 ± 19	36 ± 11
CSW-2cov	8.98 ± 1.81	0.91 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.04	1.24 ± 0.50	6.25 ± 0.11	21 ± 10	51 ± 22	29 ± 12
CSW-1cov	9.63 ± 1.29	0.91 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.02	1.09 ± 0.51	6.37 ± 0.25	24 ± 10	46 ± 17	31 ± 7
CSW	7.43 ± 1.58	0.70 ± 0.10	0.07 ± 0.03	1.26 ± 0.62	6.54 ± 0.21	21 ± 10	45 ± 21	34 ± 12
CS	7.70 ± 2.11	0.73 ± 0.26	0.10 ± 0.09	1.16 ± 0.74	6.66 ± 0.12	23 ± 11	41 ± 24	36 ± 14
C-1cov	9.09 ± 1.86	0.93 ± 0.16	0.06 ± 0.02	1.50 ± 0.65	6.46 ± 0.25	27 ± 8	36 ± 19	38 ± 12
С	8.09 ± 1.24	0.71 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.16	1.31 ± 0.36	6.58 ± 0.38	25 ± 9	36 ± 19	38 ± 11