bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/027813; this version posted September 29, 2015. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

| 1  | Genetic structure of island and mainland populations of a Neotropical bumble bee                     |
|----|--|
| 2  | species  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | FLÁVIO O. FRANCISCO, <sup>1,2</sup> LEANDRO R. SANTIAGO, <sup>1</sup> YURI M. MIZUSAWA, <sup>1</sup> |
| 5  | BENJAMIN P. OLDROYD <sup>2</sup> and MARIA C. ARIAS <sup>1</sup>                                     |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | <sup>1</sup> Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade   |
| 8  | de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277 – sala 320, São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil                           |
| 9  | <sup>2</sup> Behaviour and Genetics of Social Insects Lab, School of Biological Sciences A12,        |
| 10 | University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Correspondence:  |
| 13 | Flávio O. Francisco, Departamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de                     |
| 14 | Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277 – sala 320, 05508-090, São                  |
| 15 | Paulo, SP, Brazil  |
| 16 | E-mail: fofrancisco@usp.br   |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | Running title: Genetic structure of B. morio   |

#### 19 Abstract

20 Biodiversity loss is a global problem and island species/populations are particularly 21 vulnerable to such loss. Low genetic diversity is one of the factors that can lead a population 22 to extinction. Loss of bee populations is of particular concern because of the knock-on consequences for the pollination guilds that the lost bees once serviced. Here we evaluate the 23 24 genetic structure of the bumble bee *Bombus morio* populations on the mainland of South East Brazil and on nearby islands. We analyzed a total of 659 individuals from 24 populations by 25 26 sequencing two mitochondrial genes (COI and Cytb) and using 14 microsatellite loci. Levels of diversity were high in most of populations and were similar on islands and the mainland. 27 28 Furthermore, genetic diversity was not significantly correlated with island area, although it 29 was lower in populations from distant islands. Our data suggest that long-term isolation on islands is not affecting the population viability of this species. This may be attributed to the 30 high dispersal ability of B. morio, its capacity to suvive in urban environments, and the 31 characteristics of the studied islands. 32 33

34 Key words. Bombini, *Bombus morio*, islands, microsatellites, mtDNA, population genetics

#### 35 Introduction

36 Islands often play important roles as natural laboratories for the study of ecology and

evolution (MacArthur & Wilson 1967; Mayr 1967; Franks 2010). For example, the

38 distribution of animals on islands and adjacent continents was central to the development of

theories about speciation by isolation and natural selection (Darwin 1859; Wallace 1869).

40 Currently, biodiversity loss is a global problem (Dirzo & Raven 2003; Stokstad 2006;

41 Butchart et al. 2010; Bálint et al. 2011; Hooper et al. 2012; CBD 2013) and island species are

42 of particular concern because most extinctions of mammal, bird and reptile species occurred

43 on islands (Frankham 1997; Gaston 2009). Due to the complexity of ecological systems, the

44 extinction of a species or population may also cause loss of important ecological interactions

45 (Diamond 1984; Gaston 2009). Changes in predator-prey relationships, for example, can

46 cause a cascade effect at lower trophic levels.

While humans have been the main cause of island extinctions through habitat 47 destruction, direct predation, introduction of exotic species, and spread of disease (Frankham 48 1998), island species/populations may become extinct due to the combination of natural 49 50 demographic, environmental and genetic factors (Shaffer 1981). Genetic diversity of island 51 populations is expected to be low due to bottlenecks, inbreeding and genetic drift (Wright 52 1931; Mayr 1942; Frankham 1997). It is also expected that the size of an island, its distance 53 from the mainland, and the time elapsed since its isolation will affect the biota's genetic diversity (Jaenike 1973; Frankham 1997). Low genetic diversity may precipitate extinction by 54 decreasing reproduction and survival rates, and resistance to diseases (Ayala 1965; Frankham 55 56 1998; Keller & Waller 2002; Whitehorn et al. 2011).

Bees are one of the most abundant and efficient pollinators and are, therefore, of
particular conservation concern (Heard 1999; Cortopassi-Laurino *et al.* 2006; SteffanDewenter & Westphal 2008; Breeze *et al.* 2011). Absence of a single bee species can reduce

60 the effectiveness of pollination services (Brosi & Briggs 2013) and can have knock on effects at other trophic levels (Brosi et al. 2007). In bees, sex is determined by zygosity at a single 61 62 sex-determining locus (Cook & Crozier 1995). Females arise from diploid, fertilized eggs that are heterozygous at the sex locus, whereas males arise from unfertilized eggs. However, in 63 64 small or inbred populations, diploid individuals homozygous at the sex locus are produced 65 and are male, but are either non-viable or infertile. Thus the effects of small population size, inbreeding and low genetic diversity are generally higher in bee populations than in 66 67 comparable diploid organisms (Cook & Crozier 1995), increasing the bees' extinction proneness (Zayed & Packer 2005). 68 69 Bombus morio Swederus 1787 is a generalist and primitively eusocial bumble bee

70 (Michener 2007). On average, workers are about 25 mm long, but there is wide within-colony 71 variation (Garófalo, 1980). Its broad distribution is ill-defined but it is known from Buenos Aires (Argentina), Carabobo (Venezuela) and Lima (Peru) (Moure & Sakagami, 1962; Moure 72 & Melo, 2012). In Brazil, it is most commonly found in areas of tropical forest and coastal 73 vegetation (Moure & Sakagami, 1962). The intranidal population of B. morio consists of a 74 75 queen and about 60-70 workers (Laroca, 1976; Garófalo, 1978). Like other species of this 76 genus, they usually nest on the ground under bushes and plant debris or in cavities formed by 77 rodents, birds and termites (Moure & Sakagami 1962; Laroca 1976; Silveira et al. 2002; 78 Michener 2007). The swarming process in *B. morio* happens at least twice a year (Camillo & 79 Garófalo, 1989). It is likely that *B. morio* has strong flight capability (Moure & Sakagami 1962), but the dispersal range of the reproductives is currently unknown. 80

Brazil encompasses hundreds of continental islands (previously connected to the
mainland) of varying size (Ângelo 1989). Up until 17,500 years ago when sea levels were
more than 100 m below their current levels, these islands were connected to the mainland
(Ângelo 1989; Corrêa 1996). Isolation of these islands both from each other and the mainland

| 85  | occurred about 12,000 years ago (Suguio et al. 2005), providing a natural laboratory for  |
|---|---|
| 86  | studying the long-term effects of genetic isolation on bees (Rocha-Filho et al. 2013; Boff et   |
| 87  | al. 2014) and other species (Pellegrino et al. 2005; Grazziotin et al. 2006; Bell et al. 2012).   |
| 88  | Here we evaluate the genetic structure of Brazilian B. morio populations on the mainland and  |
| 89  | on islands. If the level of genetic diversity on islands is significantly lower than that observed  |
| 90  | on the mainland, then this would indicate that isolation for extended periods erodes genetic  |
| 91  | diversity in these bees, potentially leading to local extinction. If, on the other hand, the genetic  |
| 92  | diversity of island populations is similar to that found in the mainland, then this would   |
| 93  | indicate that isolation, even for millennia, has not reduced genetic diversity or population  |
| 94  | viability of these bees.  |
| 95  |   |
| 96  |   |
|   |   |
| 97  | Materials and methods   |
| 97<br>98  | Materials and methods Sampled areas   |
| 97<br>98<br>99  | Materials and methods         Sampled areas         We made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands ranged   |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100   | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). We   |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101  | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentary  |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102   | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio et al. 2003).  |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103                                    | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio <i>et al.</i> 2003).We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo  |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103<br>104                             | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio <i>et al.</i> 2003).We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo(SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), and Minas Gerais (MG) states (Figure 1, Table S1). Samples   |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103<br>104<br>105                      | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio et al. 2003).We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo(SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), and Minas Gerais (MG) states (Figure 1, Table S1). Samplescollected in nearby cities or on the same island were grouped into the same population. In all,   |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103<br>104<br>105<br>106               | Materials and methods<br>Sampled areas<br>We made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands ranged<br>in size from 1.1 to 451 km <sup>2</sup> and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). We<br>studied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentary<br>island (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio <i>et al.</i> 2003).<br>We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo<br>(SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), and Minas Gerais (MG) states (Figure 1, Table S1). Samples<br>collected in nearby cities or on the same island were grouped into the same population. In all,<br>samples were grouped in 24 populations (Figure 1, Table 1). Bees were sampled on flowers  |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103<br>104<br>105<br>106<br>107        | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio <i>et al.</i> 2003).We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo(SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), and Minas Gerais (MG) states (Figure 1, Table S1). Samplescollected in nearby cities or on the same island were grouped into the same population. In all,samples were grouped in 24 populations (Figure 1, Table 1). Bees were sampled on flowersand preserved in 96% ethanol. For DNA extraction we used one middle leg per bee. The legs   |
| 97<br>98<br>99<br>100<br>101<br>102<br>103<br>104<br>105<br>106<br>107<br>108 | Materials and methodsSampled areasWe made collections from island and mainland sites as described in Table S1. Islands rangedin size from 1.1 to 451 km² and are 0.1 to 38 km from the mainland (Figure 1, Table 1). Westudied 10 continental islands (previously connected to the mainland) and one sedimentaryisland (Ilha Comprida) which arose about 5,000 years ago (Suguio et al. 2003).We collected 704 bees from 368 sites in Santa Catarina (SC), Paraná (PR), São Paulo(SP), Rio de Janeiro (RJ), and Minas Gerais (MG) states (Figure 1, Table S1). Samplescollected in nearby cities or on the same island were grouped into the same population. In all,samples were grouped in 24 populations (Figure 1, Table 1). Bees were sampled on flowersand preserved in 96% ethanol. For DNA extraction we used one middle leg per bee. The legswere dried at room temperature for 20 min right before DNA extraction according to Walsh et |

| 1 | 1 | n |
|---|---|---|
| - | т | υ |

# 111 Mitochondrial DNA sequencing

112 Two mitochondrial genes were partially sequenced: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (*COI*)

and cytochrome b (*Cytb*). Details about amplification and sequencing are given in Francisco

114 *et al.* (2014).

115

116 *Microsatellite genotyping* 

117 We analyzed 14 microsatellite loci, 12 specific: BM1, BM3, BM4, BM5, BM7, BM9, BM10,

118 BM11, BM12, BM13, BM17, and BM18 (Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development

119 Consortium *et al.* 2012) and two designed from *B. terrestris*: BT01 and BT06 (Funk *et al.* 

120 2006). Amplification conditions of BT01 and BT06 were the same as described for BM

121 primers, and their annealing temperatures were 48 °C and 54 °C, respectively.

122 Electrophoresis, visualization and genotyping were performed according to Francisco *et al.* 

123 (2011).

MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) was used to verify null alleles and
 scoring errors. COLONY 2.0.1.7 (Jones & Wang 2010) was used to verify whether individuals

collected in the same plant or places nearby (less than 5 km) were related. GENEPOP 4.1.2

127 (Rousset 2008) was used to verify Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in populations and

- loci and to detect linkage disequilibrium (LD). Markov chain was set for 10,000
- dememorizations, 1,000 batches and 10,000 iterations per batch. In cases of multiple

130 comparisons *P*-values were corrected by applying Sequential Goodness of Fit test by the

131 program SGOF 7.2 (Carvajal-Rodríguez *et al.* 2009).

132

126

133 Genetic diversity

| 134 | ARLEQUIN 3.5.1.3 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010) was used to calculate mitochondrial DNA                    |
|-----|--|
| 135 | (mtDNA) haplotype ( <i>h</i> ) and nucleotide ( $\pi$ ) diversity. GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall & Smouse 2006, |
| 136 | 2012) was used to calculate microsatellite allelic richness and expected heterozigosity ( $H_E$ ).     |
| 137 | Since sample sizes were different, allelic richness was standardized $(Ar)$ by rarefaction using       |
| 138 | the program HP-RARE 1.0 (Kalinowski 2005). Differences in Ar among populations were                    |
| 139 | estimated by Mann-Whitney two-tailed U Test. The inbreeding coefficient $(F_{IS})$ was                 |
| 140 | calculated for each population with 10,000 permutations by ARLEQUIN.                                   |
| 141 |  |
| 142 | Population differentiation   |
| 143 | The program MEGA 5.2.1 (Tamura et al. 2011) was used to calculate the number of base                   |
| 144 | substitutions per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between populations using the            |
| 145 | Kimura 2-parameter (K2p) model (Kimura 1980). Global Jost's $D_{est}$ (Jost 2008) was                  |
| 146 | calculated with 9,999 permutations for mtDNA and microsatellite data by GENALEX. Mantel                |
| 147 | tests between genetic and geographical distances were performed with 9,999 permutations by             |
| 148 | GENALEX to verify isolation by distance.   |
| 149 | Spatially clustering of individuals based on microsatellite data and the geographic                    |
| 150 | coordinates was performed by BAPS 6 (Corander et al. 2008; Cheng et al. 2013). The program             |
| 151 | was initially ran 5 times for each of $K = 1$ to 20 and then 10 times for each of $K = 1$ to 11.       |
| 152 | These results were used for admixture analysis with 200 iterations to estimate the admixture           |
| 153 | coefficients for the individuals, 200 simulated reference individuals per population and 20            |
| 154 | iterations to estimate the admixture coefficients of the reference individuals.                        |
| 155 |  |
| 156 |  |
| 157 | Results  |
|     |  |

158 Sample size

We visited 11 islands and found *B. morio* on all of them except Ilha Monte de Trigo. When a bee was collected <5 km away from another bee in the collection, we determined if the two bees could possibly have come from the same colony or a different colony. If COLONY indicated that the two specimens could be sisters, and the two bees shared the same mtDNA haplotype we discarded one of the pair from all subsequent analyses. Overall, from the 704 bees sampled, we used 659 for population analyses (Table 1).

165

## 166 *MtDNA diversity*

Typically, we obtained 392 bp of sequence from the COI gene (GenBank accession numbers 167 168 KM505163-KM505866) and identified 33 haplotypes. We generated 403 bp of sequence from 169 the Cytb gene had (KM505867-KM506570) and detecting 53 haplotypes. We used the 170 concatenated sequences (795 bp) for all population analyses. All 659 sequences from the 24 171 populations generated 100 haplotypes (Table S2). The number of haplotypes per population ranged from 1 (Ilha da Vitória) to 23 (Angra dos Reis) (Table 1). Since h and  $\pi$  are correlated 172 (r = 0.881, P < 0.001, n = 24) we hereafter use  $\pi$  as our measure of mtDNA diversity. High 173 174 mtDNA diversity was found in all populations but Ilha da Vitória and Teodoro Sampaio (Table 1). 175

For mainland populations, mtDNA diversity was not significantly correlated with sampling area (r = -0.074, P = 0.731, n = 14) or median elevation (r = -0.034, P = 0.876, n =14). For island populations, mtDNA diversity was not significantly correlated to island area (r= 0.475, P = 0.166, n = 10), but it was negatively correlated to the distance from the mainland (r = -0.748, P = 0.013, n = 10).

181

182 *Microsatellite diversity* 

183 After the Sequential Goodness of Fit correction, locus BT01 showed significant deviation

from HWE in Ilha de São Sebastião (P = 0.004) and Prudentópolis (P = 0.005). Loci BM9

and BM17 showed deviation from HWE in Apiaí (P = 0.007) and Ilha Comprida (P = 0.001),

- 186 respectively. Since those were occasional instances, no locus was removed from analyses. No
- 187 significant LD was found between any pair of loci (all P > 0.05).

188 The number of alleles per locus ranged from four to 26, with an average of  $14.1 \pm 1.6$ 

(Table S3). Mean  $H_E$  was 0.75  $\pm$  0.04. Ar was standardized for 5 individuals and ranged from

190 3.3 (Ilha da Vitória) to 4.9 (Ilha Anchieta) (Table 1). Ilha da Vitória and Teodoro Sampaio

also showed the lowest Ar and  $H_E$  values (Table 1). Ar was not significantly different between

192 Ilha da Vitória and Teodoro Sampaio (U = 86, P = 0.0581), but it was between these two

populations and the others (U < 47, P < 0.05). Ar and  $H_E$  were positively correlated (r =

194 0.936, P < 0.001) and Ar will be used as indicative of microsatellite diversity hereafter.

As observed for mtDNA data, microsatellite diversity of mainland populations was not significantly correlated with sampling area (r = -0.171, P = 0.424, n = 14) or median elevation (r = -0.031, P = 0.884, n = 14). Microsatellite diversity of island populations was not

significantly correlated to island area (r = 0.284, P = 0.427, n = 10), but it was negatively

199 correlated to the distance from the mainland (r = -0.885, P = 0.001, n = 10).

Nine populations had  $F_{IS}$  significantly greater than zero (P < 0.05); five from the mainland (Angra dos Reis, Apiaí, Prudentópolis and Teodoro Sampaio) and four from islands (Ilha Grande, Ilha de São Sebastião, Ilha Comprida and Ilha do Mel). The highest  $F_{IS}$  (0.10) was found in Teodoro Sampaio.

204

205 Diversity between mainland and islands

206 Populations were grouped according to their location: mainland or islands (Table 1). MtDNA

- diversity was higher in populations from the mainland  $(0.0435 \pm 0.0263)$  than populations
- from the islands ( $0.0370 \pm 0.0236$ ). Ar was standardized for 260 individuals and populations

| 209 | from the mainland showe | ed high diversity | (12.65), followed | l by islands (11.71 | ). Mann-Whitney |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|     |                         |                   |                   |                     |                 |

- two-tail U Test showed no significant differences among Ar values (U = 105, P = 0.748).
- 211

## 212 MtDNA differentiation

- Fourty seven of the100 concatonated haplotypes were shared by two or more populations.
- 214 We built a haplotype network where the frequency and distribution of haplotypes are shown
- 215 (Figure S1). The network features a high number of interrelationships among the haplotypes
- and that a striking number of nucleotide substitutions separate the Teodoro Sampaio
- 217 population from the others.
- Global  $D_{est}$  was 0.344 (P < 0.001). The highest differentiation based on K2p was
- between the Teodoro Sampaio population relative to all other populations (2.106% to
- 220 2.512%) (Table 2). Teresópolis also showed substantial divergence from other populations.
- 221 Mostly, however, populations were poorly differentiated. Mantel tests showed a significant
- positive correlation between geographic and K2p distances (r = 0.259, P = 0.046, n = 276).
- 223

#### 224 *Microsatellite differentiation*

Global  $D_{est}$  was low (0.071, P < 0.001). Pairwise comparisons also detected low population structure, since most of  $D_{est}$  values were low (Table 3). Highest values were detected between the Teodoro Sampaio and Ilha da Vitória populations relative to all other populations (0.218 to 0.385). Pairwise  $D_{est}$  was not significantly correlated with geographic distances (r = 0.210, P = 0.070, n = 276). The spatial cluster approach used by BAPS determined K = 2 as the most likely optimal number of clusters (probability of 99.99%) (Figure 1).

- 231
- 232

#### 233 Discussion

The genetic diversity of *B. morio* populations is similar on mainland and island sites. Furthermore, genetic diversity is not significantly correlated with island area, although it is lower in populations from islands that are more distant from the mainlad. It is noteworthy that *B. morio* shows limited genetic divergence between island and mainland populations and among most of the mainland sampling sites. We suggest that the dispersal ability of *B. morio* combined with its capacity to live in urban environments, and the characteristics of the studied islands explain the genetic structure of the Brazilian populations.

241 The dispersal of *B. morio* is intimately related to its nesting and reproductive behavior. 242 Colony reproduction in *Bombus* begins when a young queen leaves the mother nest alone and 243 is fertilized by a male. Males and queens may have multiple partners, though this is rare for 244 queens (Garófalo et al. 1986; Estoup et al. 1995). The mated queen begins to look for a suitable place to build the new nest. Once a nest site is found, the queen starts oviposition and 245 246 performs all activities, such as foraging, cell provisioning and feeding the larvae (Garófalo 1979). When workers emerge, labor division is set (Michener 2007) and the queen never 247 leaves the nest again (Laroca 1976). The lack of dependence on the mother nest means that 248 249 daughter colonies can be established a considerable distance from the natal nest. In Europe, 250 *Bombus* queens have been observed several kilometers off shore over water (Macfarlane & 251 Gurr 1995; Widmer et al. 1998; Darvill et al. 2010). In New Zealand, queens of B. terrestris 252 colonized islands up to 30 km from the mainland (Macfarlane & Gurr 1995). 253 Our data suggest that both female and male *B. morio* have high dispersal abilities, 254 although males have higher. Some population structure and isolation by distance were 255 detected in the mitochondrial analyses, but our microsatellite data showed negligible genetic structure even over distances exceeding 1,000 km. The homogeneity of *Bombus* populations 256

- has also been observed in European and North American populations (Estoup *et al.* 1996;
- Ellis et al. 2006; Lozier & Cameron 2009; Lozier et al. 2011). In B. terrestris, males can fly

| 259 | up to 10 km, including over water (Kraus et al. 2009). Most likely, long distance dispersal |
|-----|---|
| 260 | allows <i>B. morio</i> to minimize the effects of isolation on islands.                     |

- 261 *Bombus morio* was easily found at all mainland locations, even in urban environments.
- 262 *Bombus* can commonly be seen visiting flowers along roadsides (Lozier *et al.* 2011),
- 263 including *B. morio* (personal observations). In Europe and North America several bumble bee
- species are common in urban environments because the gardens and parks provide a diversity
- and abundance of flowers throughout the breeding season (Chapman et al. 2003; Goulson
- 266 2010; Lozier et al. 2011). Similarly, B. morio thrives in Brazilian urban environments, and
- this ecological capacity no doubt contributes to its dispersal.

268 The vast majority of island and mainland populations of *B. morio* have moderate/high 269 nuclear genetic diversity. In contrast, studies of other *Bombus* species on islands have found 270 high differentiation and low genetic diversity (Estoup et al. 1996; Widmer et al. 1998; Shao et 271 al. 2004; Darvill et al. 2006, 2010; Schmid-Hempel et al. 2007; Goulson et al. 2011; Lye et al. 2011; Lozier et al. 2011; Moreira et al. 2015). This discrepancy may be due to the fact the 272 islands studied here are closer to the mainland than in the other studies. When we visited the 273 274 most isolated islands we found low genetic diversity (Ilha da Vitória) or absence of bumble 275 bees (Ilha Monte de Trigo).

276 The failure in collecting *B. morio* on Ilha Monte de Trigo may be due to any of the 277 following: insufficient collection effort, ancestral absence from the island when it was 278 isolated, or its extinction after isolation. Our collection effort was eight hours, so it is not 279 possible to assert that the species does not occur on this island, although this amount of time 280 was sufficient to find *B. morio* on all other islands. Its distance from the mainland, 10.2 km, may prevent queen (re)colonization. Nonetheless, B. morio is present on Ilha da Vitória, a 281 more distant island (38 km from the mainland and 11 km from the nearest island). We only 282 found five bees on Ilha da Vitória and its population showed low genetic diversity, suggesting 283

that the Ilha da Vitória population is small and may be threatened. Interestingly, the
population of the orchid bee *Euglossa cordata*, which like *B. morio*, has good dispersal
abilities, from Ilha da Vitória has low genetic diversity and is strongly differentiated from
adjacent populations, both on the nearby islands Ilha de Búzios and Ilha de São Sebastião and
from those on the mainland (Boff *et al.* 2014).

289 Bombus morio mitochondrial genetic diversity is high, except in Ilha da Vitória and Teodoro Sampaio. Many populations had h > 0.9. In fact, bees collected off the same flower 290 291 or plant often had different haplotypes. Chapman et al. (2003) also observed that both B. 292 terrestris and B. pascuorum workers visiting the same plant are often from different colonies. 293 This high genetic diversity also suggests that populations of *B. morio* did not experience 294 genetic bottlenecks during the Pleistocene. Indeed, *Bombus* species have some characteristics 295 as robustness, hairiness and thermoregulatory adaptations that allow them to survival in 296 temperate and cold regions (Hines 2008).

For both markers, the island populations and its nearby mainland populations are 297 undifferentiated, most likely because of frequent migration. For example, the Ilha da Vitória 298 299 population is not differentiated from Ilha de Búzios, the nearest island, with respect to mitochondrial haplotypes. The single haplotype found on Ilha da Vitória (H3) is the most 300 301 common on Ilha de Búzios, and is also found on all other populations studied, except Teodoro 302 Sampaio. Although it is possible that this haplotype is a relict of the ancestral population 303 formed at the time of isolation, it is more likely that it is a result of a more recent colonization 304 by queens from Ilha de Búzios.

Inbreeding is not a current concern for the island populations we studied. Although  $F_{IS}$ was significantly different from zero on three island populations,  $F_{IS}$  was high only on Ilha Comprida. However, this population has high genetic diversity and is not genetically isolated, so the high  $F_{IS}$  is likely to be eroded with time. 309 Both markers indicated low genetic diversity in the Teodoro Sampaio population and 310 high differentiation between Teodoro Sampaio and the other populations. Genetic drift may be 311 the primary driver of this result. The Teodoro Sampaio population did not share haplotypes 312 with any other population, whereas other populations all shared at least one haplotype. In addition, haplotypes are very similar, being distinguished by only one nucleotide in most 313 314 cases. The percentage of variable sites between Teodoro Sampaio and other populations (from 2.065 to 2.454%) was higher than that seen among all other populations, whose maximum 315 316 value was 0.554% (São Sebastião × Teresópolis). The significant genetic divergence of the 317 Teodoro Sampaio population from all others suggests that this population is a subspecies of B. 318 morio. 319 To our knowledge, this is the first comparative study of the genetic architecture of 320 mainland and island populations of a Neotropical bumble bee species. Our study shows that 321 even in a highly fragmented landscape B. morio survives in urban environments and enjoys a high level of genetic diversity. This suggests B. morio populations are self-sustaining, and that 322 323 this species will remain as an important pollinator in Brazil.

324

325

### 326 Acknowledgments

327 We are grateful to Paulo Henrique P. Gonçalves for his help with the sampling and to Susy

328 Coelho and Julie Lim for technical assistance. We thank Adílson de Godoy, Carlos Chociai,

329 Flávio Haupenthal, Geraldo Moretto, Marcos Wasilewski, Marcos Antonio, Renato Marques,

330 José Moisés, André Trindade, Teófilo, Eduardo da Silva, Guaraci Cordeiro, Marcos Fujimoto,

331 PC Fernandes, Samuel Boff, Thaiomara Alves, the managers and the staff of the Parks, the

- residents of Ilha da Vitória, Ilha de Búzios and Ilha Monte de Trigo, and countless people
- 333 who assisted us in the fieldwork. We thank Dr. Jeffrey Lozier for comments on an early

- 334 version of this manuscript. For permits, we thank Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos
- 335 Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA) and Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da
- Biodiversidade (ICMBio) (18457-1), Instituto Florestal do estado de São Paulo (260108 -
- 337 000.000.002.517/0 2008), Instituto Ambiental do estado do Paraná (128/09) and Instituto
- Estadual do Ambiente do Rio de Janeiro (E-07/300.011/0). This work was supported by
- Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (04/15801-0; 08/07417-6; 08/08546-
- 4; 10/18716-4; 10/50597-5) and Australian Research Council. This work was developed in the
- Research Center on Biodiversity and Computing (BioComp) of the Universidade de São
- 342 Paulo (USP), supported by the USP Provost's Office for Research.

## 343 **References**

|     | ^           |        |           |         |           |              |        |          |        |     |         |
|-----|-------------|--------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|--------|----------|--------|-----|---------|
| 211 | Angolo S (  | (1000) | Mhaada    | Iitoral | Daulista  | Sagrataria d | o Moio | Ambianta | CVIV   | São | Doulo   |
| 344 | Aligelo S ( | (1707) | ) imas ao | Luorai  | r annsia. | Secretaria u |        | Ambleme  | - SMA, | Sau | r auto. |

- Ayala FJ (1965) Evolution of fitness in experimental populations of *Drosophila serrata*.
   *Science*, **150**, 903–905.
- Bálint M, Domisch S, Engelhardt CHM *et al.* (2011) Cryptic biodiversity loss linked to global
  climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 313–318.
- Bell RC, Brasileiro CA, Haddad CFB, Zamudio KR (2012) Evolutionary history of *Scinax*treefrogs on land-bridge islands in south-eastern Brazil. *Journal of Biogeography*, 39,
  1733–1742.
- Boff S, Soro A, Paxton RJ, Alves-dos-Santos I (2014) Island isolation reduces genetic
  diversity and connectivity but does not significantly elevate diploid male production in a
  neotropical orchid bee. *Conservation Genetics*, 15, 1123–1135.
- Breeze TD, Bailey AP, Balcombe KG, Potts SG (2011) Pollination services in the UK: How
  important are honeybees? *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **142**, 137–143.
- Brosi BJ, Briggs HM (2013) Single pollinator species losses reduce floral fidelity and plant
   reproductive function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **110**, 13044–13048.
- Brosi BJ, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR (2007) Bee community shifts with landscape context in a
   tropical countryside. *Ecological Applications*, 17, 418–430.
- Butchart SHM, Walpole M, Collen B *et al.* (2010) Global biodiversity: indicators of recent
   declines. *Science*, **328**, 1164–1168.
- Carvajal-Rodríguez A, Uña-Alvarez J, Rolán-Alvarez E (2009) A new multitest correction
   (SGoF) that increases its statistical power when increasing the number of tests. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10, 209.
- 367 CBD (Convention on Biological Diversity) (2013) Major threats.
- Chapman RE, Wang J, Bourke AFG (2003) Genetic analysis of spatial foraging patterns and
   resource sharing in bumble bee pollinators. *Molecular Ecology*, 12, 2801–2808.
- Cheng L, Connor TR, Sirén J, Aanensen DM, Corander J (2013) Hierarchical and spatially
   explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 1224–1228.
- Cook JM, Crozier RH (1995) Sex determination and population biology in the Hymenoptera.
   *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **10**, 281–286.
- 375 Corander J, Sirén J, Arjas E (2008) Bayesian spatial modeling of genetic population structure.
   376 *Computational Statistics*, 23, 111–129.
- 377 Corrêa ICS (1996) Les variations du niveau de la mer durant les derniers 17.500 ans BP:

378 l'exemple de la plate-forme continentale du Rio Grande do Sul-Brésil. Marine Geology, 130, 163-178. 379 Cortopassi-Laurino M, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL, Roubik DW et al. (2006) Global 380 Meliponiculture: challenges and opportunities. *Apidologie*, **37**, 1–18. 381 Darvill B, Ellis JS, Lye GC, Goulson D (2006) Population structure and inbreeding in a rare 382 383 and declining bumblebee, Bombus muscorum (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Molecular 384 *Ecology*, **15**, 601–611. 385 Darvill B, O'Connor S, Lye GC et al. (2010) Cryptic differences in dispersal lead to 386 differential sensitivity to habitat fragmentation in two bumblebee species. Molecular 387 Ecology, 19, 53-63. 388 Darwin C (1859) On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation 389 of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. John Murray, London. 390 Diamond JM (1984) Historic extinctions: A rosetta stone for understanding prehistoric extinctions. In: Quaternary Extinctions: A Prehistoric Revolution (eds Martin PS, Klein 391 RG), pp. 824–862. The University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ. 392 393 Dirzo R, Raven PH (2003) Global state of biodiversity and loss. Annual Review of 394 Environment and Resources, 28, 137–167. 395 Ellis JS, Knight ME, Darvill B, Goulson D (2006) Extremely low effective population sizes, 396 genetic structuring and reduced genetic diversity in a threatened bumblebee species, Bombus sylvarum (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Molecular Ecology, 15, 4375-4386. 397 398 Estoup A, Scholl A, Pouvreau A, Solignac M (1995) Monoandry and polyandry in bumble bees (Hymenoptera; Bombinae) as evidenced by highly variable microsatellites. 399 400 Molecular Ecology, 4, 89–93. 401 Estoup A, Solignac M, Cornuet J-M, Goudet J, Scholl A (1996) Genetic differentiation of continental and island populations of Bombus terrestris (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in 402 403 Europe. *Molecular Ecology*, **5**, 19–31. 404 Excoffier L, Lischer HE (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources, 405 406 10, 564-567. 407 Francisco FO, Brito RM, Santiago LR et al. (2011) Isolation and characterization of 15 microsatellite loci in the stingless bee Plebeia remota (Apidae: Meliponini). 408 409 Conservation Genetics Resources, 3, 417–419. 410 Francisco FO, Santiago LR, Brito RM, Oldroyd BP, Arias MC (2014) Hybridization and asymmetric introgression between Tetragonisca angustula and Tetragonisca fiebrigi. 411 412 Apidologie, 45, 1–9. Frankham R (1997) Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland 413

| 414               | populations? <i>Heredity</i> , <b>78</b> , 311–327.  |
|-------------------|--|
| 415<br>416        | Frankham R (1998) Inbreeding and extinction: island populations. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , <b>12</b> , 665–675.  |
| 417<br>418        | Franks SJ (2010) Genetics, evolution, and conservation of island plants. <i>Journal of Plant Biology</i> , <b>53</b> , 1–9.  |
| 419<br>420        | Funk CR, Schmid-Hempel R, Schmid-Hempel P (2006) Microsatellite loci for <i>Bombus</i> spp.<br><i>Molecular Ecology Notes</i> , 6, 83–86.  |
| 421<br>422<br>423 | Garófalo CA (1979) Observações preliminares sobre a fundação solitária de colônias de<br><i>Bombus (Fervidobombus) atratus</i> Franklin (Hymenoptera, Apidae). <i>Boletim de Zoologia</i><br><i>da Universidade de São Paulo</i> , <b>4</b> , 53–64.                       |
| 424<br>425        | Garófalo CA, Zucchi R, Muccillo G (1986) Reproductive studies of a neotropical bumblebee,<br><i>Bombus atratus</i> (Hymenoptera, Apidae). <i>Revista Brasileira de Genética</i> , <b>9</b> , 231–243.  |
| 426<br>427        | Gaston KJ (2009) Extinction. In: <i>Encyclopedia of Islands</i> (eds Gillespie RG, Clague DA), pp. 281–286. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.  |
| 428<br>429        | Goulson D (2010) <i>Bumblebees: Behaviour, Ecology, and Conservation</i> . Oxford University Press, Oxford.  |
| 430<br>431<br>432 | Goulson D, Kaden JC, Lepais O, Lye GC, Darvill B (2011) Population structure, dispersal and colonization history of the garden bumblebee <i>Bombus hortorum</i> in the Western Isles of Scotland. <i>Conservation Genetics</i> , <b>12</b> , 867–879.                      |
| 433<br>434<br>435 | Grazziotin FG, Monzel M, Echeverrigaray S, Bonatto SL (2006) Phylogeography of the <i>Bothrops jararaca</i> complex (Serpentes: Viperidae): past fragmentation and island colonization in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , <b>15</b> , 3969–3982. |
| 436<br>437        | Heard TA (1999) The role of stingless bees in crop pollination. <i>Annual Review of Entomology</i> , <b>44</b> , 183–206.  |
| 438<br>439        | Hines HM (2008) Historical biogeography, divergence times, and diversification patterns of<br>bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: <i>Bombus</i> ). <i>Systematic Biology</i> , <b>57</b> , 58–75.  |
| 440<br>441        | Hooper DU, Adair EC, Cardinale BJ <i>et al.</i> (2012) A global synthesis reveals biodiversity loss as a major driver of ecosystem change. <i>Nature</i> , <b>486</b> , 105–108.   |
| 442<br>443        | Jaenike JR (1973) A steady state model of genetic polymorphism on islands. <i>The American Naturalist</i> , <b>107</b> , 793–795.  |
| 444<br>445        | Jones OR, Wang J (2010) colony: a program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> , <b>10</b> , 551–555.   |
| 446<br>447        | Jost L (2008) $G_{ST}$ and its relatives do not measure differentiation. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , <b>17</b> , 4015–4026.   |
| 448               | Kalinowski ST (2005) hp-rare 1.0: a computer program for performing rarefaction on   |

| 449               | measures of allelic richness. Molecular Ecology Notes, 5, 187–189.  |
|-------------------|---|
| 450<br>451        | Keller LF, Waller DM (2002) Inbreeding effects in wild populations. <i>Trends in Ecology and Evolution</i> , <b>17</b> , 230–241.   |
| 452<br>453<br>454 | <ul><li>Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. <i>Journal of Molecular Evolution</i>, <b>16</b>, 111–120.</li></ul>   |
| 455<br>456        | Kraus FB, Wolf S, Moritz RFA (2009) Male flight distance and population substructure in the bumblebee <i>Bombus terrestris</i> . <i>Journal of Animal Ecology</i> , <b>78</b> , 247–252.  |
| 457<br>458        | Laroca S (1976) Sobre a bionomia de <i>Bombus morio</i> (Hymenoptera, Apoidea). <i>Acta Biológica Paranaense</i> , <b>5</b> , 107–127.  |
| 459<br>460<br>461 | Lozier JD, Cameron SA (2009) Comparative genetic analyses of historical and contemporary collections highlight contrasting demographic histories for the bumble bees Bombus pensylvanicus and B. impatiens in Illinois. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , <b>18</b> , 1875–1886.       |
| 462<br>463<br>464 | Lozier JD, Strange JP, Stewart IJ, Cameron SA (2011) Patterns of range-wide genetic<br>variation in six North American bumble bee (Apidae: <i>Bombus</i> ) species. <i>Molecular</i><br><i>Ecology</i> , <b>20</b> , 4870–4888.   |
| 465<br>466<br>467 | Lye GC, Lepais O, Goulson D (2011) Reconstructing demographic events from population genetic data: the introduction of bumblebees to New Zealand. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , <b>20</b> , 2888–2900.   |
| 468<br>469        | MacArthur RH, Wilson EO (1967) <i>The Theory of Island Biogeography</i> . Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.  |
| 470<br>471        | Macfarlane RP, Gurr L (1995) Distribution of bumble bees in New Zealand. <i>New Zealand Entomologist</i> , <b>18</b> , 29–36.   |
| 472<br>473        | Mayr E (1942) Systematics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press, New York, NY.   |
| 474               | Mayr E (1967) The challenge of island faunas. Australian Natural History, 15, 369–374.  |
| 475               | Michener CD (2007) The Bees of the World. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.  |
| 476<br>477<br>478 | Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium, Arias MC, Arnoux E <i>et al.</i><br>(2012) Permanent Genetic Resources added to Molecular Ecology Resources Database 1<br>December 2011 - 31 January 2012. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> , <b>12</b> , 570–572. |
| 479<br>480<br>481 | Moreira AS, Horgan FG, Murray TE, Kakouli-Duarte T (2015) Population genetic structure of <i>Bombus terrestris<i></i>i&gt; in Europe: Isolation and genetic differentiation of Irish and British populations. <i>Molecular Ecology</i>, <b>24</b>, 3257–2368.</i>               |
| 482<br>483        | Moure JS, Sakagami SF (1962) As mamamgabas sociais do Brasil (Bombus Latreille) (Hymenoptera, Apoidea). <i>Studia Entomologica</i> , <b>51</b> , 65–194.  |

| 484<br>485<br>486        | van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) micro-checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. <i>Molecular Ecology Notes</i> , <b>4</b> , 535–538.   |
|--------------------------|--|
| 487<br>488               | Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) genalex 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. <i>Molecular Ecology Notes</i> , <b>6</b> , 288–295.  |
| 489<br>490               | Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research – an update. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , <b>28</b> , 2537–2539.  |
| 491<br>492<br>493<br>494 | Pellegrino KCM, Rodrigues MT, Waite AN <i>et al.</i> (2005) Phylogeography and species limits<br>in the <i>Gymnodactylus darwinii</i> complex (Gekkonidae , Squamata): genetic structure<br>coincides with river systems in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. <i>Biological Journal of the</i><br><i>Linnean Society</i> , <b>85</b> , 13–26. |
| 495<br>496<br>497        | Rocha-Filho LC, Cerântola NCM, Garófalo CA, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL, Del Lama MA (2013) Genetic differentiation of the Euglossini (Hymenoptera, Apidae) populations on a mainland coastal plain and an island in southeastern Brazil. <i>Genetica</i> , <b>141</b> , 65–74.  |
| 498<br>499               | Rousset F (2008) genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. <i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i> , <b>8</b> , 103–106.   |
| 500<br>501<br>502        | Schmid-Hempel P, Schmid-Hempel R, Brunner PC, Seeman OD, Allen GR (2007) Invasion<br>success of the bumblebee, <i>Bombus terrestris</i> , despite a drastic genetic bottleneck.<br><i>Heredity</i> , <b>99</b> , 414–422.  |
| 503<br>504               | Shaffer ML (1981) Minimum population sizes for species conservation. <i>BioScience</i> , <b>31</b> , 131–134.  |
| 505<br>506               | Shao Z-Y, Mao H-X, Fu W-J <i>et al.</i> (2004) Genetic structure of Asian populations of <i>Bombus ignitus</i> (Hymenoptera: Apidae). <i>Journal of Heredity</i> , <b>95</b> , 46–52.  |
| 507<br>508               | Steffan-Dewenter I, Westphal C (2008) The interplay of pollinator diversity, pollination services and landscape change. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , <b>45</b> , 737–741.   |
| 509                      | Stokstad E (2006) Global loss of biodiversity harming ocean bounty. Science, 314, 745.   |
| 510<br>511<br>512        | Suguio K, Angulo RJ, Carvalho AM <i>et al.</i> (2005) Paleoníveis do mar e paleolinhas de costa.<br>In: <i>Quaternário do Brasil</i> (eds Souza CRG, Suguio K, Oliveira AMS, Oliveira PE), pp.<br>114–129. Associação Brasileira de Estudos do Quaternário, São Paulo.   |
| 513<br>514<br>515        | Suguio K, Tatumi SH, Kowata EA, Munita CS, Paiva RP (2003) Upper Pleistocene deposits of the Comprida Island (São Paulo State) dated by thermoluminescence method. <i>Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências</i> , <b>75</b> , 91–96.  |
| 516<br>517<br>518        | Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N <i>et al.</i> (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics<br>Analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony<br>methods. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i> , 28, 2731–2739.  |
| 519                      | Wallace AR (1869) The Malay Archipelago, the land of the orang-utan and the bird of  |

| 520<br>521        | paradise; a narrative of travel, with studies of man and nature. Vol I. Macmillan,<br>London.  |
|-------------------|--|
| 522<br>523        | Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R (1991) Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. <i>BioTechniques</i> , <b>10</b> , 506–513.  |
| 524<br>525<br>526 | Whitehorn PR, Tinsley MC, Brown MJF, Darvill B, Goulson D (2011) Genetic diversity, parasite prevalence and immunity in wild bumblebees. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B</i> , <b>278</b> , 1195–202.  |
| 527<br>528<br>529 | Widmer A, Schmid-Hempel P, Estoup A, Scholl A (1998) Population genetic structure and<br>colonization history of Bombus terrestris s.l. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) from the Canary<br>Islands and Madeira. <i>Heredity</i> , <b>81</b> , 563–572.                       |
| 530               | Wright S (1931) Evolution in mendelian populations. Genetics, 16, 97–159.  |
| 531<br>532<br>533 | Zayed A, Packer L (2005) Complementary sex determination substantially increases<br>extinction proneness of haplodiploid populations. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy</i><br><i>of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , <b>102</b> , 10742–10746. |
| 534               |  |

**Table 1.** Population characteristics and genetic diversity in *Bombus morio* populations. SA: sampled area in square kilometers. ME: median

elevation in meters. N: sample size. NH: number of haplotypes.  $h \pm$  sd: haplotype diversity and standard deviation.  $\pi \pm$  sd: nucleotide diversity

and standard deviation.  $Ar \pm$  se: allelic richness after rarefaction for 5 individuals and standard error.  $H_E \pm$  se: expected heterozigosity and standard error.  $E_{\pm}$  inbrashing coefficient

538 standard error.  $F_{IS}$ : inbreeding coefficient.

| Location | Population                   | SA<br>(km <sup>2</sup> ) | DM<br>(km) | ME (m) | N  | NH | $h \pm sd$        | $\pi \pm \mathrm{sd}$ | $Ar \pm se$   | $H_E \pm se$      | $F_{\rm IS}$          |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|----|----|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Mainland | Teresópolis (TERE)           | 160                      |            | 895    | 20 | 6  | $0.574\pm0.122$   | $0.0318 \pm 0.0211$   | $4.65\pm0.38$ | $0.731 \pm 0.036$ | 0.0529 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Resende (RESE)               | 40                       |            | 452    | 27 | 11 | $0.903\pm0.030$   | $0.0447 \pm 0.0273$   | $4.61\pm0.42$ | $0.723 \pm 0.040$ | 0.0095 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Passa Quatro (PASQ)          | 199                      |            | 923    | 23 | 10 | $0.913 \pm 0.028$ | $0.0494 \pm 0.0299$   | $4.73\pm0.45$ | $0.723 \pm 0.049$ | $0.0124^{ns}$         |
|          | Angra dos Reis (ANGR)        | 1541                     |            | 17     | 47 | 23 | $0.958 \pm 0.012$ | $0.0540 \pm 0.0315$   | $4.79\pm0.37$ | $0.745\pm0.035$   | 0.0618**              |
|          | São Sebastião (SSEB)         | 137                      |            | 16     | 54 | 16 | $0.930\pm0.012$   | $0.0490 \pm 0.0290$   | $4.74\pm0.37$ | $0.744\pm0.035$   | 0.0330 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Iguape (GUAP)                | 109                      |            | 6      | 28 | 15 | $0.894 \pm 0.043$ | $0.0441 \pm 0.0202$   | $4.74\pm0.37$ | $0.737 \pm 0.038$ | 0.0249 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Apiaí (APIA)                 | 293                      |            | 482    | 20 | 14 | $0.963 \pm 0.025$ | $0.0530 \pm 0.0319$   | $4.85\pm0.38$ | $0.742\pm0.035$   | 0.0963**              |
|          | Guaratuba (GUAR)             | 396                      |            | 14     | 20 | 15 | $0.968 \pm 0.025$ | $0.0466 \pm 0.0287$   | $4.77\pm0.38$ | $0.740\pm0.039$   | $0.0411^{ns}$         |
|          | Blumenau (BLUM)              | 314                      |            | 53     | 21 | 12 | $0.943 \pm 0.027$ | $0.0448 \pm 0.0277$   | $4.66\pm0.35$ | $0.728 \pm 0.042$ | 0.0101 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | São José (SJOS)              | 1296                     |            | 13     | 57 | 17 | $0.933\pm0.013$   | $0.0488 \pm 0.0288$   | $4.60\pm0.35$ | $0.729 \pm 0.036$ | -0.0177 <sup>ns</sup> |
|          | Prudentópolis (PRUD)         | 900                      |            | 858    | 26 | 16 | $0.948 \pm 0.027$ | $0.0416 \pm 0.0258$   | $4.70\pm0.40$ | $0.727 \pm 0.045$ | 0.0634*               |
|          | Porto União (PUNI)           | 18                       |            | 762    | 17 | 7  | $0.875\pm0.044$   | $0.0407 \pm 0.0259$   | $4.39\pm0.34$ | $0.706 \pm 0.038$ | -0.0233 <sup>ns</sup> |
|          | Foz do Iguaçu (FOZI)         | 4556                     |            | 271    | 23 | 12 | $0.901\pm0.041$   | $0.0429 \pm 0.0266$   | $4.70\pm0.40$ | $0.733 \pm 0.041$ | 0.0812**              |
|          | Teodoro Sampaio (TSAM)       | 3481                     |            | 416    | 16 | 3  | $0.242\pm0.135$   | $0.0176 \pm 0.0138$   | $3.73\pm0.43$ | $0.558 \pm 0.070$ | 0.1033**              |
| Island   | Ilha Grande (IGRA)           | 193 <b>*</b>             | 2.00       | 11     | 60 | 14 | $0.870\pm0.023$   | $0.0414 \pm 0.0251$   | $4.74\pm0.38$ | $0.747\pm0.036$   | 0.0414*               |
|          | Ilha Anchieta (IANC)         | 8.28*                    | 0.49       | 14     | 16 | 10 | $0.917\pm0.049$   | $0.0587 \pm 0.0353$   | $4.86\pm0.36$ | $0.742\pm0.030$   | 0.0093 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Ilha do Tamanduá (ITMD)      | 1.11*                    | 0.54       | 8      | 5  | 4  | $0.900\pm0.161$   | $0.0333 \pm 0.0262$   | $4.71\pm0.42$ | $0.693\pm0.035$   | 0.0185 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Ilha de São Sebastião (IBEL) | 335.93 <b>*</b>          | 1.76       | 22     | 51 | 16 | $0.915\pm0.018$   | $0.0492 \pm 0.0291$   | $4.72\pm0.36$ | $0.745\pm0.036$   | 0.0490**              |
|          | Ilha de Búzios (IBUZ)        | 7.55*                    | 24.09      | 12     | 12 | 4  | $0.561\pm0.154$   | $0.0346 \pm 0.0234$   | $4.48\pm0.40$ | $0.700\pm0.041$   | $0.0152^{ns}$         |
|          | Ilha da Vitória (IVIT)       | 2.21*                    | 37.97      | 77     | 5  | 1  | $0.000\pm0.000$   | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$   | $3.29\pm0.22$ | $0.581 \pm 0.037$ | -0.1204 <sup>ns</sup> |
|          | Ilha Monte de Trigo (IMTG)   | 200 <b>*</b>             | 0.31       | 0      | 21 | 10 | $0.890\pm0.046$   | $0.0462 \pm 0.0284$   | $4.65\pm0.36$ | $0.735\pm0.034$   | 0.1030**              |
|          | Ilha Comprida (ICOM)         | 225*                     | 0.08       | 12     | 21 | 10 | $0.809\pm0.080$   | $0.0373 \pm 0.0239$   | $4.68\pm0.36$ | $0.714\pm0.047$   | 0.0103 <sup>ns</sup>  |
|          | Ilha do Cardoso (ICAR)       | 27.62*                   | 2.70       | 15     | 20 | 6  | $0.779\pm0.065$   | $0.0216 \pm 0.0157$   | $4.53\pm0.33$ | $0.721 \pm 0.035$ | 0.0602*               |
|          | Ilha do Mel (IMEL)           | 451 <b>^</b>             | 0.50       | 11     | 49 | 16 | $0.899 \pm 0.021$ | $0.0480 \pm 0.0285$   | $4.55\pm0.35$ | $0.719 \pm 0.039$ | -0.0041 <sup>ns</sup> |

539 **\***: island area; <sup>ns</sup>: not significant; \*: P < 0.05; \*\*: P < 0.01

540 **Table 2.** Estimates of evolutionary divergence of mitochondrial DNA sequence pairs between populations of *Bombus morio*. The number of base

541 substitutions per site obtained from averaging over all sequence pairs between populations are shown. Analyses were conducted using the

542 Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura, 1980) and involved 659 nucleotide sequences. Population abbreviations as in Table 1.

|      | TERE  | RESE  | PASQ  | ANGR  | IGRA  | IANC  | ITMD  | SSEB  | IBEL  | IBUZ  | IVIT  | GUAP  | ICOM  | ICAR  | APIA  | GUAR  | IMEL  | BLUM  | SJOS  | ISCA  | PRUD  | PUNI  | FOZI  |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| RESE | 0.005 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| PASQ | 0.005 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ANGR | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IGRA | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IANC | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ITMD | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| SSEB | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IBEL | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IBUZ | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IVIT | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| GUAP | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ICOM | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| ICAR | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| APIA | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| GUAR | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| IMEL | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| BLUM | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| SJOS | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |       |
| ISCA | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |       |
| PRUD | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 |       |       |       |
| PUNI | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 |       |       |
| FOZI | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |       |
| TSAM | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.024 |

|      | TERE  | RESE    | PASQ   | ANGR   | IGRA    | IANC    | ITMD   | SSEB    | IBEL    | IBUZ    | IVIT  | GUAP    | ICOM    | ICAR    | APIA    | GUAR    | IMEL   | BLUM   | SJOS    | ISCA  | PRUD    | PUNI   | FOZI  |
|------|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|-------|
| RESE | 0.017 |         |        |        |         |         |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| PASQ | 0.047 | -0.004* |        |        |         |         |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| ANGR | 0.052 | 0.016   | 0.021  |        |         |         |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IGRA | 0.042 | 0.008*  | 0.013* | 0.011  |         |         |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IANC | 0.048 | 0.007*  | 0.016* | 0.008* | -0.001* |         |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| ITMD | 0.123 | 0.093   | 0.110  | 0.024* | 0.041*  | -0.026* |        |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| SSEB | 0.055 | 0.009*  | 0.023  | 0.021  | 0.012   | -0.005* | 0.028* |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IBEL | 0.048 | 0.003*  | 0.024  | 0.007* | 0.009*  | 0.012*  | 0.032* | 0.002*  |         |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IBUZ | 0.042 | -0.011* | 0.004* | 0.009* | 0.004*  | -0.017* | 0.038* | -0.011* | -0.012* |         |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IVIT | 0.323 | 0.276   | 0.259  | 0.236  | 0.278   | 0.247   | 0.244  | 0.252   | 0.266   | 0.227   |       |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| GUAP | 0.058 | 0.010*  | 0.026  | 0.014* | 0.006*  | -0.004* | 0.027* | 0.003*  | 0.005*  | -0.009* | 0.296 |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| ICOM | 0.062 | 0.009*  | 0.037  | 0.013* | 0.012*  | -0.013* | 0.005* | -0.007* | 0.002*  | -0.030* | 0.218 | -0.010* |         |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| ICAR | 0.078 | 0.015*  | 0.032  | 0.014* | 0.018   | 0.001*  | 0.036* | 0.002*  | 0.001*  | -0.013* | 0.245 | -0.006* | 0.007*  |         |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| APIA | 0.048 | -0.006* | 0.025  | 0.024  | -0.004* | -0.011* | 0.011* | 0.005*  | -0.003* | -0.016* | 0.280 | -0.015* | -0.017* | -0.005* |         |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| GUAR | 0.075 | 0.019*  | 0.036  | 0.023  | 0.011*  | 0.003*  | 0.038* | 0.023   | 0.010*  | 0.013*  | 0.322 | -0.007* | 0.007*  | 0.003*  | -0.027* |         |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| IMEL | 0.062 | 0.018*  | 0.049  | 0.026  | 0.015*  | 0.003*  | 0.043* | 0.007*  | 0.013*  | -0.010* | 0.299 | -0.001* | 0.002*  | 0.003*  | -0.012* | 0.011*  |        |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| BLUM | 0.060 | 0.031   | 0.032  | 0.025  | 0.017   | 0.012*  | 0.078  | 0.021   | 0.013*  | 0.000*  | 0.275 | 0.004*  | 0.013*  | 0.007*  | 0.009*  | -0.005* | 0.012* |        |         |       |         |        |       |
| SJOS | 0.066 | 0.027   | 0.058  | 0.034  | 0.026   | 0.023   | 0.062  | 0.017   | 0.016   | 0.014*  | 0.283 | 0.012*  | 0.014*  | 0.002*  | 0.011*  | 0.020   | 0.007* | 0.000* |         |       |         |        |       |
| ISCA | 0.098 | 0.050   | 0.078  | 0.053  | 0.044   | 0.043   | 0.081  | 0.026   | 0.025   | 0.021*  | 0.286 | 0.030   | 0.029   | 0.007*  | 0.030   | 0.034   | 0.012* | 0.002* | -0.008* |       |         |        |       |
| PRUD | 0.060 | 0.030   | 0.018* | 0.014* | 0.030   | 0.037   | 0.073  | 0.025   | 0.016   | -0.007* | 0.293 | 0.019   | 0.023*  | 0.022   | 0.017*  | 0.021*  | 0.045  | 0.033  | 0.048   | 0.057 |         |        |       |
| PUNI | 0.076 | 0.038   | 0.030  | 0.023  | 0.039   | 0.013*  | 0.052* | 0.018*  | 0.034   | 0.030*  | 0.255 | 0.015*  | 0.018*  | 0.019*  | 0.038   | 0.038   | 0.048  | 0.056  | 0.055   | 0.077 | 0.043   |        |       |
| FOZI | 0.052 | 0.001*  | 0.023  | 0.015* | 0.025   | 0.016*  | 0.063  | 0.016*  | 0.007*  | -0.010* | 0.311 | 0.002*  | 0.005*  | 0.023   | -0.001* | 0.003*  | 0.019* | 0.034  | 0.048   | 0.055 | -0.009* | 0.024* |       |
| TSAM | 0.327 | 0.261   | 0.308  | 0.231  | 0.276   | 0.240   | 0.252  | 0.286   | 0.264   | 0.262   | 0.385 | 0.255   | 0.238   | 0.255   | 0.244   | 0.264   | 0.267  | 0.301  | 0.306   | 0.309 | 0.249   | 0.230  | 0.245 |

# **Table 3.** Pairwise index of differentiation $(D_{est})$ from microsatellite data of *Bombus morio*. Population abbreviations as in Table 1.

545 Colours highlight  $D_{est}$  values. Green:  $D_{est} < 0.05$ ; yellow:  $0.05 < D_{est} < 0.15$ ; orange:  $0.15 < D_{est} < 0.25$ ; red:  $D_{est} > 0.25$ ; \*P > 0.05; values without asterisk P < 0.05.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/027813; this version posted September 29, 2015. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

## 547 Figure legend

| 549 | Figure 1. | Posterior | probability | assignment | (vertical | axis) | of individual | genotypes | (horizontal |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
|-----|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|---------------|-----------|-------------|

- axis) for K = 2 in *Bombus morio* according to the program BAPS (upper panel). Below, map of
- the studied area with the approximate location of the sampled populations. Population names
- are 1: Teresópolis, 2: Resende, 3: Passa Quatro, 4: Angra dos Reis, 5: Ilha Grande, 6: Ilha
- Anchieta, 7: Ilha do tamanduá, 8: São Sebastião, 9: Ilha de São Sebastião, 10: Ilha de Búzios,
- 11: Ilha da Vitória, 12: Iguape, 13: Ilha Comprida, 14: Ilha do Cardoso, 15: Apiaí, 16:
- 555 Guaratuba, 17: Ilha do Mel, 18: Blumenau, 19: São José, 20: Ilha de Santa Catarina, 21:
- 556 Prudentópolis, 22: Porto União, 23: Foz do Iguaçu, and 24: Teodoro Sampaio. Detailed
- 557 location of the islands visited (lower panels). IGRA: Ilha Grande; IANC: Ilha Anchieta;
- 558 ITMD: Ilha do Tamanduá; IVIT: Ilha da Vitória; IBUZ: Ilha de Búzios; IBEL: Ilha de São
- 559 Sebastião; IMTG: Ilha Monte de Trigo. ICOM: Ilha Comprida; ICAR: Ilha do Cardoso;
- 560 IMEL: Ilha do Mel. ISCA: Ilha de Santa Catarina.

