```
1Sap flow through petioles and petiolules reveals leaf-level responses to light and vapor
 2pressure deficit in the tropical tree Tabebuia rosea (Bignoniaceae)
 4ADAM B. RODDY<sup>1</sup>, KLAUS WINTER<sup>2</sup>, TODD E. DAWSON<sup>1</sup>
 5
 7<sup>1</sup>Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720 USA
 82Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancón,
 9Republic of Panama
10
11
12 Author of contact:
13Adam B. Roddy
143040 Valley Life Sciences Building #3140
15Berkeley, ČA 94720
16USA
17tel: +1 510 642 1054
18fax: +1 510 643 6264
19email: adam.roddy@gmail.com
20
21
22
23
24
25Keywords: transpiration, leaf hydraulics, hysteresis, tropics
26Running title: LEAF-LEVEL SAP FLOW RESPONSES TO VPD AND LIGHT
27
```

28This is an un-refereed author version that has been submitted for publication.

1

29ABSTRACT

30Continuous measurements of sap flow have been widely used to measure water flux 31through tree stems and branches. However, these measurements lack the resolution 32necessary for determining fine-scale, leaf-level responses to environmental variables. 33We used the heat ratio method to measure sap flow rates through leaf petioles and 34leaflet petiolules of saplings of the tropical tree *Tabebuia rosea* (Bignoniaceae) to 35determine how leaf and leaflet sap flow responds to variation in light and vapor pressure 36deficit (VPD). We found that in the morning sap flow rates to east-facing leaves 37increased 26 minutes before adjacent west-facing leaves. Although leaves had higher 38integrated sap flow than their largest leaflet, this difference was not proportional to the 39difference in leaf area, which could be due to lower conduit area in petiolules than in 40petioles. In contrast to measurements on main stems, integrated daily sap flow was 41negatively correlated with daily mean VPD. Furthermore, leaves exhibited previously 42undescribed patterns of hysteresis in the sap flow-VPD and sap flow-PAR relationships. 43When hysteresis in the sap flow-PAR relationship was clockwise, the sap flow-VPD 44relationship was also clockwise; however, when hysteresis in the sap flow-PAR 45relationship was counterclockwise, the sap flow-VPD relationship displayed an 46intersected loop. These pattern differences highlight how substantially leaf-level 47processes may vary within a canopy and how leaf-level processes may not scale 48predictably to the stem level.

2

49

50

51

52

53INTRODUCTION

3

- Approximately 90% of all water converted from the liquid phase to the vapor 55phase in terrestrial ecosystems moves through plants (Jasechko et al. 2013); in the 56tropics this amounts to an estimated 32x10¹⁵ kg of water per year (Hetherington and 57Woodward 2003). Almost all of this water transits through plant leaves. Understanding 58how leaves respond to abiotic drivers is important for modeling efforts at scales from 59leaves to landscapes (Jarvis and McNaughton 1986). At the leaf level, knowing which 60drivers impact transpiration most under daily and seasonally varying conditions is critical 61to understanding what may limit the distribution and abundance of species across the 62globe.
- 63 Various sap flow methods are commonly used to estimate almost continuously 64tree responses to environmental conditions for extended time periods (Marshall 1958, 65Granier 1985, Burgess et al. 2001, Vandegehuchte and Steppe 2012). These 66measurements are most often made on boles or large branches of trees and can be 67used to estimate canopy-level responses to changing environmental conditions (Oren et 68al. 1999a, Ewers and Oren 2000, Traver et al. 2010). Despite recent technical 69advancements in using sap flow measurements in stems to estimate canopy processes, 70a number of problems remain. First, resistance and capacitance in the hydraulic 71pathway creates time lags in water movement between different points in the hydraulic 72pathway (Köcher et al. 2013). For tropical trees, lags in sap flow between branches in 73the canopy and the stem base can approach an hour (Meinzer et al. 2004). Second, 74different parts of the canopy respond to environmental conditions largely independently 75(Brooks et al. 2003), such that sap flow measurements on branches or boles may 76provide only an average response of many leaves or branches. For example, east-77facing branches of Sequoiadendron giganteum reached their maximum daily sap flow 78rates 6 hours before west-facing branches at the same height (Burgess and Dawson 792008). Although sap flow measurements on boles and branches have provided useful

80estimates of whole-tree water use (Wullschleger et al. 1998), their utility for describing 81leaf-level processes can be limited by a variety of factors including time lags, 82capacitance, hydraulic resistance, and variation in these factors along the root-to-leaf 83continuum.

- Rarely have researchers attempted to measure sap flow rates through petioles of 85individual leaves (Sheriff 1972). Recently, Clearwater (2009) adapted the heat ratio 86method (HRM; Burgess et al. 2001) originally used for measuring sap flow through large 87stems to measure sap flow through small diameter stems, fruit pedicels, and leaf 88petioles. Slight variations of this method have proven useful in measuring sap flux 89through petioles under field conditions in the neotropics (Roddy and Dawson 2012, 902013, Goldsmith et al. 2013) and through stems of anatomically and phylogenetically 91diverse species of the South African fynbos flora (Skelton et al. 2013). These studies 92show that measuring sap flow directly adjacent to transpiring leaves can deepen our 93understanding of how leaves respond to variation in environmental conditions across a 94range of timescales. Placing sensors in close proximity to the transpiring leaves has the 95advantage of fine-scale measurements akin to leaf gas exchange without the 96disadvantage of enclosing leaves in a cuvette that removes the leaf boundary layer and 97otherwise modifies the leaf microenvironment.
- Variation in sap flux is influenced by a variety of environmental conditions, 99including soil water availability, vapor pressure deficit (VPD), and solar radiation, and 100diurnal patterns may also vary seasonally (e.g. O'Grady et al. 1999, 2008, Zeppel et al. 1012004). Over diurnal cycles, a change in an environmental variable in the morning does 102not always produce an equivalent response in sap flow as it does in the afternoon. 103Such a pattern is termed hysteresis and has been commonly observed in the sap flow 104responses to light and VPD. For example, at a given VPD that occurs both in the 105morning and again in the afternoon, sap velocity is higher in the morning (when VPD is 106increasing) than in the afternoon (when VPD is decreasing), creating a clockwise

107pattern of hysteresis throughout the day (Meinzer et al. 1997, O'Grady et al. 1999, 108Zeppel et al. 2004) that is consistent with hysteresis in canopy gas exchange (Takagi et 109al. 1998). Despite the ubiquity of hysteresis in sap flow data, its causes are rarely 110discussed. Hysteresis in a relationship indicates that factors other than the primary 111descriptor variable are constraining the response variable. For the relationship between 112sap flow and VPD, it is thought that hysteresis results from variation in hydraulic 113capacitance, resistance, or stomatal sensitivity to VPD (O'Grady et al. 1999). In the 114simplest case, no hysteresis in the sap flow-VPD relationship would mean that an 115increase and a decrease in VPD produce equivalent responses in sap flow and that 116there are no other factors influencing the sap flow response to VPD. However, a 117number of factors could cause deviation in the morning and the afternoon from this 118scenario of no hysteresis. First, trees often supply their morning transpiration from 119capacitive stores, which could elevate morning sap flow above that observed if there 120were no capacitance (Cowan 1972, Goldstein et al. 1998, Meinzer et al. 2004, 2008). 121Second, as stem water potential declines throughout the day, resistance in the hydraulic 122pathway increases, as is commonly observed in vulnerability curves (Meinzer et al. 1232009), which could depress afternoon sap flow below that if there were no resistance. 124Both of these hydraulic factors, resistance and capacitance, could jointly be responsible 125 for causing hysteresis in the sap flow-VPD relationship. Morning transpiration may draw 126 largely on stored water, and as this hydraulic capacitor discharges, resistance may 127become important in depressing afternoon sap flow.

In contrast to VPD hysteresis, causes of hysteresis in the sap flow-light 129relationship are less clear. While the sap flow-VPD relationship commonly exhibits a 130clockwise pattern of hysteresis, the sap flow-light relationship generally exhibits a 131counterclockwise pattern (Meinzer et al. 1997, Zeppel et al. 2004). Zeppel et al. (2004) 132argued that counterclockwise hysteresis in the sap flow-light relationship results from 133the combination of (1) the difference in timing between peak light and peak VPD and (2)

134different stomatal responses to light and to VPD. Because VPD reaches its daily peak a 135few hours after light reaches its daily maximum at solar noon, sap velocity will be higher 136in the afternoon, when VPD is higher and stomata are fully open. Zeppel et al. (2004) 137argue that stomatal conductance saturates at relatively low light levels in the morning, 138and that above this saturating light level, VPD becomes the predominant driver of 139transpiration and sap flow. These responses probably vary between leaves acclimated 140to different microenvironments (e.g. between sun- and shade-leaves).

- Using sap flow measurements on main stems of canopy trees to test these 142hypotheses for the causes of hysteresis are thus fraught with potential problems that 143focusing on leaves may circumvent. For leaves, sap flow responses to VPD are often 144similar to those for stems, although under some conditions leaves show different 145patterns (Roddy and Dawson 2013). In addition to clockwise hysteresis in the 146responses to VPD, sap flow through petioles sometimes exhibits an intersected loop (or 147'figure-eight') pattern in response to diurnal variation in VPD. Determining the sap flow 148responses to environmental variables of individual leaves provides an opportunity to 149better elucidate important dynamics of plant water use. Furthermore, incorporating 150explicit measurements of sap flow to individual leaves could help to improve upon 151methods for scaling up to whole canopy processes.
- In the present study, we measured sap flow rates through petioles and petiolules 153of saplings of the tropical tree *Tabebuia rosea* (Bignoniaceae) to understand how sap 154flow responds to variation in light and VPD. Because the figure-eight pattern of 155hysteresis in the sap flow-VPD relationship reported by Roddy and Dawson (2013) may 156result from an interaction with light, we also measured photosynthetically active 157radiation (PAR) levels on each leaf or leaflet to determine the conditions under which 158different patterns of hysteresis may occur. We were particularly interested in examining 159the differences in sap flow patterns between adjacent leaves and between leaves and 160leaflets because different microenvironmental conditions may cause sap flow patterns to

161differ between leaves on the same stem. Furthermore, differences in sap flux through 162petioles and petiolules may reflect variation in hydraulic architecture. If the hydraulic 163pathway constricts downstream, then sap velocity must increase as it moves towards 164leaflets. Our results highlight how measuring sap flow rates to individual leaves could 165deepen our understanding of the linkages between hydraulic architecture and plant 166water use.

167

168METHODS

169Plant Material

170 Tabebuia rosea (Bignoniaceae) grows to become a canopy tree in the lowland 171 forests of central Panama. While adults are often deciduous, seedlings are evergreen 172 with five palmate leaflets of varying size encircling the petiole. Plants were grown from 173seed in 20-liter, insulated pots outdoors under a glass roof at the plant growth facilities 174of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute in Gamboa, Panama, until a few days 175before sap flow measurements were begun. When the tops of the plants were ~70 cm 176above the soil surface, they were transferred to a glass chamber to protect them from 177strong afternoon winds. The lower ~1 m of this chamber was made of cement painted 178white, and doors on east- and west-facing sides of the chamber were left ajar to allow 179air circulation. At the beginning of the sap flow measurements, the tops of the plants 180were even with the top of the cement wall at the base of the chamber, and during the 181 course of the experiment two new sets of leaves were produced. Pots were kept well-182 watered except for one week when water was withheld to determine how sap flow rates 183 would respond to declining soil water. This week coincided with a dramatic increase in 184VPD. Sensors were installed when plants were approximately eight months old, a few 185days after transferring them to the glass chamber.

186

187Sap flow measurements

On each measured leaf, sap flow sensors were installed on the leaf petiole and 189 on the petiolule of the middle, largest leaflet. On each plant, two adjacent leaves on 190 opposite sides of the plant were chosen for measurement. At the time of installation, 191 these leaves were the newest, fully-expanded leaves on each plant. Plants were 192 positioned so that the axis defined by the two measured leaves on each plant were 193 oriented east-west. Of the total 12 sensors installed, five failed, leaving two sensors on 194 petiolules and five sensors on petioles.

Sap flow sensors and measurements were based on the design and theory of 196Clearwater (2009) with some slight modifications described previously (Roddy and 197Dawson 2012, 2013) and again briefly here. Sensors were constructed from a silicone 198backing and were connected to 10 cm leads with Molex connectors that were then 199connected by 10 m leads to an AM16/32 multiplexer and CR23X datalogger (Campbell 200Scientific Inc., Logan, UT). Sensors were held in place with parafilm, and sensors and 201connections were insulated with multiple layers of bubblewrap and aluminum foil at least 2022 cm above and below the sensor. Consistent with previous applications of the HRM, 203we measured initial temperatures for 10 seconds prior to firing a 4-second heat pulse, 204monitored temperatures every 2 seconds for 200 seconds after the heat pulse, and 205initiated the measurement routine every 10 minutes.

The heat pulse velocity, v_h (cm s⁻¹), was calculated from the temperature ratio as: $v_h = \frac{k}{x} \ln \left(\frac{\delta T_1}{\delta T_2} \right)$

208where v_h is the heat pulse velocity in cm s⁻¹, k is the thermal diffusivity (cm² s⁻¹), x is the 209distance from the heater to each of the thermocouples (cm), and δT_1 and δT_2 are the 210temperature rises (°C) above and below the heater, respectively (Marshall 1958, 211Burgess et al. 2001, Clearwater et al. 2009). We estimated the thermal diffusivity as: 212

$$k = \frac{x^2}{4t_m}$$

213where t_m is the time (seconds) between the heat pulse and the maximum temperature 214rise recorded x cm above or below the heater under conditions of zero sap flow 215(Clearwater et al. 2009). We measured t_m every morning before dawn when 216atmospheric vapor pressures were lowest (between 0500 and 0600 hrs). At this time, 217the vapor pressure deficit was almost always below 0.3 kPa, and therefore we assumed $218v_h$ was approximately zero. Thermal diffusivity, k, was calculated for each thermocouple 219(upstream and downstream) from these predawn measurements of t_m , averaged for 220each sensor, and used to calculate v_h from the heat ratios for the subsequent 24 hours. 221Measurements of k on nights with VPD always above 0.3 kPa were discarded and 222 replaced with the most recently measured k when VPD < 0.3 kPa. We estimated the 223temperature ratio under zero-flow conditions by excising petioles and petiolules above 224and below the sensor at predawn at the end of the experiment, greasing the cut ends, 225 placing the segments in a darkened box, and recording the temperature ratios for the 226subsequent ~4 hours. The average of these zero-flow temperature ratios corresponded 227 very well with the temperature ratios recorded predawn under low VPD (less than ~0.3 228kPa) conditions. The sensor-specific average temperature ratio under zero-flow 229 conditions was subtracted from all calculated heat ratios. This corrected heat ratio was 230then used to calculate v_h .

232Measurements of light and vapor pressure deficit

233Light measurements were made using S1787 photodiodes (Hamamatsu Photonics, 234Hamamatsu City, Japan). Photodiodes were connected to 15 cm long copper wires with 235Molex connectors and then to 10 m leads, which were connected to a CR5000 236datalogger measuring in differential mode. Circuits created by each photodiode were 237closed with a 100 Ohm resistor. Photodiodes were installed just above each leaflet with 238a sap flow sensor, and the photodiode was positioned to be parallel to the axis of the 239central vein of the leaflet. Light measurements were made every minute and averaged

240every 10 minutes. Voltage measurements from the photodiodes were converted to PAR 241based on a calibration of all photodiodes against a PAR sensor (LI-SB190, LiCor 242Biosciences, Logan, UT), during which time all sensors were situated adjacent to each 243other in a clearing that received full sunlight.

Vapor pressure deficit was calculated from temperature and relative humidity 245measurements made every 10 minutes with a HOBO U23 datalogger (Onset Computer 246Corp., Bourne, MA) that was housed in a covered, white, PVC, Y-shaped tube and hung 247level with the tops of the plants.

249 Data analysis

248

10

All analyses were performed using R (R Core Team 2012). Raw velocity 251measurements were processed following previously published methods (Roddy and 252Dawson 2012, 2013, Skelton et al. 2013). Measurements of v_h were smoothed using 253the 'loess' function, which fits a polynomial to a subset of the data in a moving window 254of 35 points.

For analyses of structure (leaf vs. leaflet) or aspect (east- vs. west-facing leaves), 256sap flow measurements from individual sensors in each group were averaged. To 257estimate the total sap flow during the day and night, we integrated the time course of vh 258measurements for each day and night using the 'auc' function in the package *MESS*, 259which calculates the area under the curve using the trapezoid rule. Daytime was 260defined as being between 600 and 1800 hours, which corresponded to morning and 261evening twilight. To minimize the effects of nocturnal refilling, we defined nighttime as 262being between 100 and 600 hours, which assumed that diurnal water potential declines 263had mostly recovered within seven hours after sunset. To analyze the effects of VPD on 264integrated sap flow rates, we linearly regressed integrated sap flow against mean VPD. 265In all regressions for leaves and leaflets in the day and in the night, the linear model

266was determined to be as good or better than both the logarithmic and power functions 267by comparing the residual standard errors.

Differences in the timing of morning sap flow between east- and west-facing 269leaves were compared at a critical v_h of 1.5 cm hr⁻¹. We chose this critical value 270because it was higher than any measured nighttime velocities and lower than most 271daytime velocities. We estimated the time at which $v_h = 1.5$ cm hr⁻¹ by assuming a linear 272relationship (y = mx + b) between the two sequential morning measurements that 273spanned v_h of 1.5 cm hr⁻¹. To compare east- versus west-facing leaves and leaves 274versus leaflets, we used linear mixed effect models with day as the random variable, 275which accounts for repeated measures.

277**RESULTS**

276

Daily maximum VPD varied from 2.8 kPa to 6.9 kPa during the experiment, while 279daily maximum PAR at the top of the canopy varied from 1125 to 1640 μ mol m⁻² sec⁻¹. 280The daily maximum sap flow rate through petioles varied between 1.4 cm hr⁻¹ to 4.5 cm 281hr⁻¹. This lowest daily maximum v_h occurred at the end of a week without water, during 282which time five of the seven hottest, driest days occurred. Nighttime v_h through petioles 283varied throughout the study, but was always below 1.0 cm hr⁻¹ and below 0.5 cm hr⁻¹ on 284all but seven nights. Overall, thermal diffusivity, k, ranged from 0.00136 to 0.00170 cm² 285s⁻¹. There were slight differences in k between sensors, but k was relatively constant 286throughout the experiment for each sensor, consistent with previously reported values 287for k from a diverse set of plant structures and species (Clearwater et al. 2009, Roddy 288and Dawson 2012, 2013, Skelton et al. 2013).

On every morning, sap flow rates to east-facing leaves increased more quickly 290than did sap flow rates to west-facing leaves. East-facing leaves had sap flow rates of 2911.5 cm hr^{-1} on average 26 minutes before sap flow rates to west-facing leaves reached 292the same threshold (t = 5.67, df = 23, P < 0.001; Figure 1). In addition, on 18 out of 25

293days, west-facing leaves reached their daily peak sap flow rate later in the day than 294east-facing leaves. However, sap flow rates to east-facing leaves did not decline any 295earlier in the evening than west-facing leaves, and east-facing leaves generally had 296higher nighttime sap flow rates than west-facing leaves, perhaps indicative of greater 297refilling.

298 Patterns of sap flow to leaves and leaflets also differed. Leaflets generally had 299lower sap flow rates than leaves, and the daily integrated sap flow through petioles and 300 petiolules differed significantly (t = 7.42, df = 24, P < 0.001; Figure 2). While water was 301 withheld for one week, daily maximum sap velocities for both leaves and leaflets 302declined such that leaflet sap flow rates were about half of those to leaves (Figure 2a). 303On the day immediately following re-watering, leaves and leaflets had almost equivalent 304sap flow rates, which continued to increase on subsequent days despite declining daily 305maximum VPD during these days. Daytime integrated sap flow to leaves and leaflets 306was negatively correlated with mean VPD (Figure 3), both when including all days and 307when the last five days of the drought treatment were excluded (Table 1). There was a 308significant, negative relationship only between nighttime integrated sap flow of leaflets 309and mean nighttime VPD, but only when all data, including the drought days, were 310included. There was no relationship between nighttime VPD and integrated sap flow for 311 leaves. Maximum v_h for leaves occurred at a slightly higher VPD than it did for leaflets 312(2.21 kPa vs. 2.06 kPa; grey symbols in Figure 3).

Patterns of sap flow hysteresis can be grouped into two classes, exemplified by 314data from two days from the same leaf shown in Figure 4. Data in Figure 4 are 315consistent with the patterns seen for other sensors on other days. The first type of 316hysteresis pattern is denoted by clockwise hysteresis in the relationship between v_h and 317VPD (Figure 4a). On this day, hysteresis in the v_h -PAR relationship was also clockwise 318(Figure 4b). The second type of hysteresis is defined by an intersected loop, or figure-319eight pattern, in the relationship between v_h and VPD (Figure 4d). On this day, the v_h -

320PAR relationship had a counterclockwise pattern (Figure 4e). Nonetheless, the 321relationship between PAR and VPD for these two days was similar, showing a 322counterclockwise pattern for both days (Figure 4c,f).

323324

325 DISCUSSION

326 Leaf physiology responds rapidly to changes in the leaf microenvironment in 327ways not previously appreciated (Zhang et al. 2013), and leaves may protect stems 328 from low water potentials that can lead to loss of xylem functioning (Sperry 1986, Hao et 329al. 2008, Chen et al. 2009, 2010, Johnson et al. 2011, Bucci et al. 2012, Zhang et al. 3302013). As a result, there has been burgeoning interest in the diurnal variability of leaf 331hydraulic functioning (Brodribb and Holbrook 2004, 2007, Johnson et al. 2009, Johnson 332et al. 2011, Wheeler et al. 2013). The new techniques for measuring leaf-level sap flow 333used here may become critical in quantifying diurnal variability in leaf functioning. Our 334results highlight how water use can differ significantly even between adjacent leaves, 335further justifying the need for fine-scale measurements like ours in quantifying leaf 336responses to environmental drivers. Notably, our sap flow measurements on individual 337leaves suggest that there may be important functional linkages between hydraulic 338architecture and water use. While a number of studies have shown these linkages for 339stems, substantially fewer attempts have been made to connect leaf hydraulic 340architecture to water use under natural conditions.

East- and west-facing leaves showed a number of differences in their patterns of 342daily sap flow (Figure 1a). Midday depression of v_h occurred in leaves on both sides of 343the plant, although not always at the same time of day, probably due to a combination of 344factors including differences in leaf energy balance and differences in the temporal and 345spatial dynamics of leaf water potential changes. Furthermore, aspect significantly 346affected the timing and rates of sap flow. Sap flow rates to east-facing leaves

347increased, on average, 26 minutes earlier in the morning than they did to west-facing 348leaves, due to earlier increases in leaf-level PAR to east-facing leaves than to west-349 facing leaves. Despite these differences in timing, leaf hydraulic conductance of east-350and west-facing leaves may be similar if higher transpiration rates in east-facing leaves 351are accompanied by greater declines in leaf water potential. Leaf microclimatic 352conditions can cause substantial differences between even adjacent leaves on the 353same branch (Figure 1), which can influence the dynamics of branch sap flow (Burgess 354and Dawson 2008). How much influence water use by one leaf may have on water use 355by another, adjacent leaf is likely related to xylem hydraulic architecture. In addition to 356flowing longitudinally from roots to leaves, water may also flow laterally within a stem 357(MacKay and Weatherley 1973, James et al. 2003, Schulte and Costa 2010). The 358degree of this lateral flow varies among species and results from lateral connections 359between adjacent xylem vessels. Highly sectored xylem leads to close coupling of 360water uptake by roots on one side of the plant and water use by leaves on the same 361 side of the plant. In this case, adjacent leaves on different sides of the plants would 362draw upon largely different pools of water in the stem. High sectoriality in xylem 363 architecture allows plant parts to function independently, such that branches or leaves 364may compete little for water (Brooks et al. 2003, Orians et al. 2005). In contrast, highly 365integrated xylem (low sectoriality) leads to tighter hydraulic linkages between adjacent 366leaves on different sides of the stem axis. While we do not know how well integrated 367the xylem of adjacent leaves in *T. rosea* may be, orthostichous leaves (vertically aligned 368along the shoot axis) generally have more interconnected vasculature than do non-369 orthostichous leaves (those on different sides of a shoot; Watson and Casper 1984, 370Orians et al. 2005). Thus, adjacent east- and west-facing *T. rosea* leaves probably 371function more independently than would two east-facing, orthostichous leaves. Sap 372flow to individual leaves varies among leaves on the same branch, and the magnitude 373 of this variation may itself vary among species depending on xylem architecture.

374 Patterns of sap flow through petioles were similar to patterns observed for 375 petioles of other tropical species (Roddy and Dawson 2012, 2013), but, in some cases, 376different from patterns observed for main stems. On some days, patterns of hysteresis 377in the v_h -VPD relationship were similar to those seen for main stems of canopy trees 378(Meinzer et al. 1997, O'Grady et al. 1999, 2008, Zeppel et al. 2004; Figure 4a). In this 379type of hysteresis, v_h was higher in the morning than in the afternoon for a given VPD, 380creating a clockwise loop in the relationship between v_h and VPD. On days when the v_h -381VPD relationship showed a clockwise loop, the v_b -PAR relationship also had a clockwise 382hysteresis loop (Figure 4b). In contrast, for main stems, a clockwise v_h -VPD loop is 383normally accompanied by a counterclockwise v_h -PAR loop (Zeppel et al. 2004). On 384days with this first type of hysteresis, v_h was higher in the morning than in the afternoon, 385with maximum daily v_h occurring closer in time to peak PAR than to peak VPD. On 386these days, PAR peaked early in the day, saturating stomatal conductance and leading 387to high v_h even when VPD was moderate. However, we observed a second type of 388hysteresis, characterized by a counterclockwise loop in the v_h -PAR relationship (Figure 3894e) that, unlike for main stems, was accompanied by a markedly different relationship 390between v_h and VPD. When the v_h -PAR relationship exhibited counterclockwise 391hysteresis, the v_h -VPD relationship was characterized by an intersected loop, or figure-392eight (Figure 4d). Although this intersected loop has been reported previously for main 393stems (Meinzer et al. 1999, O'Grady et al. 1999, 2008), its meaning has not been fully 394discussed or understood. This pattern occurred when afternoon v_h was higher than 395morning v_h , causing maximum daily v_h to occur closer in time to peak daily VPD than to 396peak daily PAR. If morning transpiration is low and does not result in substantial water 397potential declines, then v_h may peak in the afternoon when VPD peaks, as occurred on 398the second day shown. Why morning sap flow on this day was so low remains unclear 399but may be due to low water potentials, which we did not measure. Regardless, this 400second type of hysteresis exhibiting an intersected loop requires (1) a bimodal peak in

401the daily v_h pattern (i.e. midday depression of gas exchange, which commonly occurs in 402tropical species) and (2) maximum daily v_h to occur in the afternoon. Because slight 403midday depression of v_h occurred on both days shown in Figure 4, midday depression 404alone may not lead to the intersected loop hysteresis. The second day did, however, 405have a higher afternoon VPD both in absolute terms (maximum of 4.77 compared to 4063.44 kPa) and relative to PAR (Figure 4c,f), which was probably partly responsible for 407increased afternoon transpiration.

408 The most probable cause underlying such different patterns of hysteresis for 409individual leaves and for main stems is likely to be a matter of scale. Sap flow through 410stems integrates the individual sap flow responses of many leaves in drastically different 411microclimates. One of the most obvious sources of within-canopy variation is between 412different parts of a plant canopy that undergo different diurnal patterns of incident PAR, 413yet measurements on main stems ignore most of this within-canopy variation. In the 414present study, leaf aspect influenced patterns of sap flow, and previous studies on 415branches have shown that aspect influences both absolute rates of sap flow and the 416timing of peak sap flow within the day (Steinberg et al. 1990, Akilan et al. 1994, Martin 417et al. 2001, Alarcón et al. 2003, Burgess and Dawson 2008; Figure 1). Time lags 418between daily peaks of sap flow for east- and west-facing branches of large trees would 419result in different patterns of hysteresis depending on branch aspect (Burgess and 420Dawson 2008), and these patterns for branches may be similar to the second type of 421hysteresis (the figure-eight) we report for individual leaves. By measuring incident PAR 422to each leaf, we attempted in the present study to account for some of the variation in 423leaf microclimate that influences sap flow. However, we still ignored some important 424factors, such as leaf temperature and its effects on leaf saturation vapor pressure and 425the vapor pressure gradient (VPG) driving transpiration. This may be an acceptable 426oversight because atmospheric humidity has a greater impact on stomatal conductance 427than does leaf temperature (Fredeen and Sage 1999, Mott and Peak 2010). In addition

16

428to microclimatic variation, leaves and stems differ in their hydraulic architecture, which 429could influence sap flow patterns and hysteresis. Leaf water balance changes rapidly 430as efflux and influx of water vary asynchronously on the timescale of seconds (Sheriff 431and Sinclair 1973, Sheriff 1974). Water balance of stems may not change as rapidly, 432however, because of the compensatory effects of having numerous parallel pathways 433for water entry and loss. Thus, transpiration and sap flow may vary over much shorter 434timescales for leaves than for stems. Examining and quantifying sap flow hysteresis 435may provide new insights into hydraulic functioning in response to various abiotic 436factors influencing transpiration (Zeppel et al. 2004, Pfautsch and Adams 2013, Roddy 437and Dawson 2013).

438 Integrated daily plant water use, as measured by sap flow, generally increases 439 with increasing mean and maximum daily VPD for plants from a wide variety of habitats, 440including canopy trees and shrubs (e.g. Zeppel et al. 2004, Pfautsch and Adams 2013, 441Skelton et al. 2013). However, in our experiment integrated daily leaf water use 442decreased with increasing mean daily VPD (Figure 3), whether days of declining soil 443water content were included in the analysis or not (Table 1). There was a significant 444negative relationship between integrated nocturnal sap flow and VPD for leaflets, but 445not for leaves, although this relationship was driven by very low nighttime sap flow 446during the drought (Table 1). For both leaves and leaflets, the VPD at which maximum 447daily v_h occurred was remarkably well conserved across days (2.21 and 2.06 kPa, 448respectively) and was, interestingly, the same whether maximum v_h occurred in the 449morning or in the afternoon (Figure 4a,d). These patterns opposite to those seen in 450main stems may result from higher than normal VPDs during our experiment. Leaves of 451 T. rosea saplings may rarely encounter such high daytime VPD under natural 452conditions, and stomatal sensitivity to VPD may be responsible for the negative 453relationship we observed (Oren et al. 1999b). At VPD above ~2 kPa, instantaneous sap 454flow rates often declined, consistent with stomatal closure to regulate transpiration rate

17

455and leaf water potential. For *T. rosea* saplings, the VPD at maximum v_h was higher than 456the VPD at maximum g_s of other species, perhaps because of the higher than normal 457VPD during our experiment and the time lag between reaching maximum g_s and 458maximum v_h due to hydraulic resistance.

459 In response to declining soil water availability, daily maximum v_h declined for both 460leaves and leaflets despite increasing VPD during this time. Rewatering caused an 461immediate increase in leaflet v_h , such that it almost equaled leaf v_h (Fig. 2). 462Nonetheless, integrated leaflet sap flow was, on average, 30% less than leaf sap flow. 463Assuming the ratio of leaflet area to conduit cross-sectional area (LA:SA ratio) is the 464same for all leaflets, then instantaneous and integrated leaflet sap flow, as a fraction of 465leaf sap flow, should be proportional to leaflet area. However, both instantaneous and 466integrated leaflet sap flow were higher than this prediction, probably because cross-467sectional conduit area of petiolules is lower than that of petioles. This could result from 468a combination of conduit taper and differences in the number of conduits between ranks 469(McCulloh et al. 2009, 2010). Although we did not measure conduit dimensions, our 470results highlight the potential linkages between leaf hydraulic architecture and diurnal 471 patterns of water use at different scales. As of yet, there has been remarkably little 472effort to connect xylem structure-function relationships to continuous, sap flow 473measurements of plant water use.

476CONCLUSIONS

474

475

Understanding leaf-level responses to abiotic conditions is critical for modeling 478plant responses to future climate change. In the present study, we found that leaves 479often exhibit sap flow responses to abiotic drivers that are notably different from 480responses of stems, for two main reasons: (1) stems integrate over many leaves, each 481with their own microclimate and (2) moving the sap flow sensor closer to the sites of

482transpiration removes the confounding influence of capacitance distal to most stem or 483branch sap flow sensors. Thus, sap flow measurements on main stems may not 484accurately describe leaf-level processes. Furthermore, we found significant variation in 485sap flow patterns between adjacent leaves that are related to differences in the leaf 486microenvironment. Differences in sap flow between leaves and leaflets are likely due to 487differences in hydraulic architecture that influence patterns of water use. Future 488measurements of sap flow through petioles could better elucidate the biotic and abiotic 489drivers of transpiration dynamics under natural growth conditions.

490

491

492ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

493Alexander Cheesman, Jorge Aguilar, Anna Schürkmann, Kevin Tu, and Joseph Wright 494provided technical assistance, and Daniel Johnson provided useful comments on a 495previous version of the manuscript.

496

497FUNDING

498This work was supported by grants from the American Philosophical Society and the 499Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and a National Science Foundation Graduate 500Research Fellowship to A.B.R.

501REFERENCES

502Akilan K, Considine JA, Marshall JK (1994) Water use by Geraldton wax 503 (Chamelaucium uncinatum Schauer) as measured by heat balance stem flow 504 gauges. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science 22:285-294. 505Alarcón JJ, Domingo R, Green SR, Nicolás E, Torrecillas A (2003) Estimation of 506 hydraulic conductance within field-grown apricot using sap flow measurements. 507 Plant and soil 251:125-135. 508Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM (2004) Diurnal depression of leaf hydraulic conductance in a 509 tropical tree species. Plant Cell Environ 27:820-827. 510Brodribb J, Holbrook M (2007) Forced depression of leaf hydraulic conductance in situ: 511 effects on the leaf gas exchange of forest trees. Functional Ecology 21:705-712. 512Brooks RJ, Schulte PJ, Bond BJ, Coulombe R, Domec JC, Hinckley TM, McDowell N, 513 Phillips N (2003) Does foliage on the same branch compete for the same water? 514 Experiments on Douglas-fir trees. Trees-Structure and Function 17:101-108. 515Bucci SJ, Scholz FG, Campanello PI, Montti L, Jimenez-Castillo M, Rockwell FA, 516 Manna LL, Guerra P, Bernal PL, Troncoso O, Enricci J, Holbrook MN, Goldstein 517 G (2012) Hydraulic differences along the water transport system of South 518 American Nothofagus species: do leaves protect the stem functionality? Tree 519 Physiol 32:880-893. 520Burgess SS, Adams MA, Turner NC, Beverly CR, Ong CK, Khan AA, Bleby TM (2001) 521 An improved heat pulse method to measure low and reverse rates of sap flow in 522 woody plants. Tree Physiol 21:589-598. 523Burgess SSO, Dawson TE (2008) Using branch and basal trunk sap flow 524 measurements to estimate whole-plant water capacitance: a caution. Plant and 525 Soil 305:5-13.

526Chen JW, Zhang Q, Li XS, Cao KF (2009) Independence of stem and leaf hydraulic 527 traits in six Euphorbiaceae tree species with contrasting leaf phenology. Planta 528 230:459-468. 529Chen JW, Zhang Q, Li XS, Cao KF (2010) Gas exchange and hydraulics in seedlings of 530 Hevea brasiliensis during water stress and recovery. Tree Physiol 30:876-885. 531Clearwater MJ, Luo Z, Mazzeo M, Dichio B (2009) An external heat pulse method for 532 measurement of sap flow through fruit pedicels, leaf petioles and other small-533 diameter stems. Plant Cell Environ 32:1652-1663. 534Cowan IR(1972) An electrical analogue of evaporation from, and flow of water in plants. 535 Planta 106:221-226. 536Ewers BE, Oren R (2000) Analyses of assumptions and errors in the calculation of 537 stomatal conductance from sap flux measurements. Tree Physiol 20:579-589. 538Fredeen AL, Sage RF (1999) Temperature and humidity effects on branchlet gas-539 exchange in white spruce: an explanation for the increase in transpiration with 540 branchlet temperature. Trees 14:161-168. 541Goldsmith GR, Matzke NJ, Dawson TE (2013) The incidence and implications of clouds 542 for cloud forest plant water relations. Ecol Lett 16:307-314. 543Goldstein G, Andrade JL, Meinzer FC, Holbrook NM, Cavelier J, Jackson P, Celis A 544 (1998) Stem water storage and diurnal patterns of water use in tropical forest 545 canopy trees. Plant Cell Environ 21:397-406. 546Granier A(1985) Une nouvelle méthode pour la mesure du flux de sève brute dans le 547 tronc des arbres. Annales des Sciences Forestieres 42:193-200. 548Hao GY, Hoffmann WA, Scholz FG, Bucci SJ, Meinzer FC, Franco AC, Cao KF, 549 Goldstein G (2008) Stem and leaf hydraulics of congeneric tree species from 550 adjacent tropical savanna and forest ecosystems. Oecologia 155:405-415. 551Hetherington AM, Woodward FI (2003) The role of stomata in sensing and driving 552 environmental change. Nature 424:901-908.

553James SA, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Woodruff D, Jones T, Restom T, Mejia M, 554 Clearwater M, Campanello P (2003) Axial and radial water transport and internal 555 water storage in tropical forest canopy trees. Oecologia 134:37-45. 556Jarvis PG, McNaughton KG (1986) Stomatal control of transpiration: scaling up from 557 leaf to region. Advances in ecological research 15:1-49. 558Jasechko S, Sharp ZD, Gibson JJ, Birks SJ, Yi Y, Fawcett PJ (2013) Terrestrial water 559 fluxes dominated by transpiration. Nature 496:347-350. 560Johnson DM, McCulloh KA, Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Eissenstat DM (2011) Hydraulic 561 patterns and safety margins, from stem to stomata, in three eastern U.S. tree 562 species. Tree Physiol 31:659-668. 563Johnson DM, Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, McCulloh KA (2009) Leaf xylem embolism, 564 detected acoustically and by cryo-SEM, corresponds to decreases in leaf 565 hydraulic conductance in four evergreen species. Plant Cell Environ 32:828-836. 566Köcher P, Horna V, Leuschner C (2013) Stem water storage in five coexisting temperate 567 broad-leaved tree species: significance, temporal dynamics and dependence on 568 tree functional traits. Tree Physiol 33:817-832. 569MacKay JFG, Weatherley PE (1973) The effects of transverse cuts through the stems of 570 transpiring woody plants on water transport and stress in the leaves. J Exp Bot 571 24:15-28. 572Marshall DC(1958) Measurement of sap flow in conifers by heat transport. Plant Physiol 573 33:385-396. 574Martin TA, Brown KJ, Kučera J, Meinzer FC, Sprugel DG, Hinckley TM (2001) Control of 575 transpiration in a 220-year-old Abies amabilis forest. Forest Ecology and 576 Management 152:211-224. 577McCulloh K, Sperry JS, Lachenbruch B, Meinzer FC, Reich PB, Voelker S (2010)

578

Moving water well: comparing hydraulic efficiency in twigs and trunks of

579 coniferous, ring-porous, and diffuse-porous saplings from temperate and tropical 580 forests. New Phytol 186:439-450. 581McCulloh KA, Sperry JS, Meinzer FC, Lachenbruch B, Atala C (2009) Murray's law, the 582 'Yarrum' optimum, and the hydraulic architecture of compound leaves. New 583 Phytol 184:234-244. 584Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Franco AC, Bustamante M, Igler E, Jackson P, Caldas L, 585 Rundel PW (1999) Atmospheric and hydraulic limitations on transpiration in 586 Brazilian cerrado woody species. Functional Ecology 13:273-282. 587Meinzer FC, Hinckley TM, Ceulemans R (1997) Apparent responses of stomata to 588 transpiration and humidity in a hybrid poplar canopy. Plant Cell Environ 20:1301-589 1308. 590Meinzer FC, James SA, Goldstein G (2004) Dynamics of transpiration, sap flow and use 591 of stored water in tropical forest canopy trees. Tree Physiol 24:901-909. 592Meinzer FC, Johnson DM, Lachenbruch B, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR (2009) Xylem 593 hydraulic safety margins in woody plants: coordination of stomatal control of 594 xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance. Functional Ecology 23:922-930. 595Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Domec JC, Goldstein G, Campanello PI, Gatti MG, 596 Villalobos-Vega R (2008) Coordination of leaf and stem water transport 597 properties in tropical forest trees. Oecologia 156:31-41. 598Mott KA, Peak D (2010) Stomatal responses to humidity and temperature in darkness. 599 Plant Cell Environ 33:1084-1090. 600O'Grady AP, Eamus D, Hutley LB (1999) Transpiration increases during the dry season: 601 patterns of tree water use in eucalypt open-forests of northern Australia. Tree 602 Physiol 19:591-597. 603Oren R, Phillips N, Ewers BE, Pataki DE, Megonigal JP (1999a) Sap-flux-scaled 604 transpiration responses to light, vapor pressure deficit, and leaf area reduction in 605 a flooded *Taxodium distichum* forest. Tree Physiol 19:337-347.

- 606Oren R, Sperry JS, Katul GG, Pataki DE, Ewers BE, Phillips N, Schäfer KVR (1999b)
- Survey and synthesis of intra-and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to
- vapour pressure deficit. Plant Cell Environ 22:1515-1526.
- 609Orians CM, Smith SD, Sack L (2005) How are leaves plumbed inside a branch?
- Differences in leaf-to-leaf hydraulic sectoriality among six temperate tree species.
- 611 J Exp Bot 56:2267-2273.
- 612O'Grady AP, Worledge D, Battaglia M (2008) Constraints on transpiration of Eucalyptus
- 613 globulus in southern Tasmania, Australia. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
- 614 148:453-465.
- 615Pfautsch S, Adams MA (2013) Water flux of Eucalyptus regnans: defying summer
- drought and a record heatwave in 2009. Oecologia 172:317-326.
- 617R Core Team (2012) R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 618 http://www.R-project.org/>.
- 619Roddy AB, Dawson TE (2012) Determining the water dynamics of flowering using
- miniature sap flow sensors. Acta Horticulturae 951:47-53.
- 621Roddy AB, Dawson TE (2013) Novel patterns of hysteresis in the response of leaf-level
- sap flow to vapor pressure deficit. Acta Horticulturae 991:261-267.
- 623Schulte PJ, Costa DG (2010) Xylem anisotropy and water transport--a model for the
- double sawcut experiment. Tree Physiol 30:901-913.
- 625Sheriff DW (1972) A new apparatus for the measurement of sap flux in small shoots with
- the magnetohydrodynamic technique. J Exp Bot 23:1086-1095.
- 627Sheriff DW (1974) Fluctuations in water uptake into and vapour efflux from leaves. J
- 628 Exp Bot 25:580-582.
- 629Sheriff DW, Sinclair R (1973) Fluctuations in leaf water balance, with a period of 1 to 10
- 630 minutes. Planta 113:215-228.

631Skelton RP, West AG, Dawson TE, Leonard JM (2013) External heat-pulse method 632 allows comparative sapflow measurements in diverse functional types in a 633 Mediterranean-type shrubland in South Africa. Functional Plant Biology 40:1076 634Sperry JS(1986) Relationship of xylem embolism to xylem pressure potential, stomatal 635 closure, and shoot morphology in the palm Rhapis excelsa. Plant Physiol 80:110-636 116. 637Steinberg SL, McFarland MJ, Worthington JW (1990) Comparison of trunk and branch 638 sap flow with canopy transpiration in pecan. J Exp Bot 41:653-659. 639Takagi K, Tsuboya T, Takahashi H (1998) Diurnal hystereses of stomatal and bulk 640 surface conductances in relation to vapor pressure deficit in a cool-temperate 641 wetland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 91:177-191. 642Traver E, Ewers BE, Mackay DS, Loranty MM (2010) Tree transpiration varies spatially 643 in response to atmospheric but not edaphic conditions. Functional Ecology 644 24:273-282. 645 Vandegehuchte MW, Steppe K (2012) Sapflow+: a four-needle heat-pulse sap flow 646 sensor enabling nonempirical sap flux density and water content measurements. 647 New Phytol 196:306-317. 648Watson MA, Casper BB (1984) Morphogenetic constraints on patterns of carbon 649 distribution in plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 15:233-258. 650Wheeler JK, Huggett BA, Tofte AN, Rockwell FE, Holbrook NM (2013) Cutting xylem 651 under tension or supersaturated with gas can generate PLC and the appearance 652 of rapid recovery from embolism. Plant Cell Environ 653Wullschleger SD, Meinzer FC, Vertessy RA (1998) A review of whole-plant water use 654 studies in tree. Tree Physiol 18:499-512. 655Zeppel MJB, Murray BR, Barton C, Eamus D (2004) Seasonal responses of xylem sap 656 velocity to VPD and solar radiation during drought in a stand of native trees in 657 temperate Australia. Functional Plant Biology 31:461

658Zhang YJ, Meinzer FC, Qi JH, Goldstein G, Cao KF (2013) Midday stomatal
 659 conductance is more related to stem rather than leaf water status in subtropical
 660 deciduous and evergreen broadleaf trees. Plant Cell Environ 36:149-158.

661 Figure legends

662

663Figure 1. (a) Three days of sap flow for east- (dashed) and west-facing (solid) leaves. 664Tick marks on the horizontal axis indicate at midnight. (b) Boxplot of the time lag in sap 665flow rates between east- and west-facing leaves.

666

667Figure 2. (a) Eight days of sap flow for leaves (solid) and leaflets (dashed). The first five 668days corresponded to the end of a week without watering. Tick marks on the horizontal 669axis indicate at midnight. (b) Boxplot of the daily time-integrated sap flow through 670leaves and leaflets.

671

672Figure 3. The relationship between daily integrated sap flow and mean daily VPD for 673leaves (triangles) and leaflets (circles). Black points represent the last five days during 674the drought treatment. Grey points at the bottom mark the mean VPD (and standard 675error) at which maximum daily sap flow occurred for leaves and leaflets.

676

677Figure 4. The pairwise relationships between v_h , VPD, and PAR for two days (top and 678bottom rows). (a,d) The v_h -VPD relationship differed between the two days, as did the 679(b,e) v_h -PAR relationship. (c,f) However, the VPD-PAR relationship was approximately 680the same for the two days.


681
682Table 1. Summary statistics for the linear regressions between integrated sap distance
683and mean VPD for leaves and leaflets in the day and in the night, whether including
684data from the week of drought or not.

		Leaves				Leaflets			
		R ²	t	d.f.	Р	R ²	t	d.f.	Р
Day	All	0.26	3.05	23	<0.01	0.40	4.15	23	<0.00 1
	No drought	0.22	2.51	18	0.02	0.20	2.42	18	0.03
Night	All	0.04	0.94	23	0.36	0.28	3.19	23	<0.01
	No drought	0.02	0.55	18	0.59	0.13	1.98	18	0.06

Figure legends

- Figure 1. (a) Three days of sap flow for east- (dashed) and west-facing (solid) leaves. Tick marks on the horizontal axis indicate at midnight. (b) Boxplot of the time lag in sap flow rates between east- and west-facing leaves.
- Figure 2. (a) Eight days of sap flow for leaves (solid) and leaflets (dashed). The first five days corresponded to the end of a week without watering. Tick marks on the horizontal axis indicate at midnight. (b) Boxplot of the daily time-integrated sap flow through leaves and leaflets.
- Figure 3. The relationship between daily integrated sap flow and mean daily VPD for leaves (triangles) and leaflets (circles). Black points represent the last five days during the drought treatment. Grey points at the bottom mark the mean VPD (and standard error) at which maximum daily sap flow occurred for leaves and leaflets.
- Figure 4. The pairwise relationships between v_h , VPD, and PAR for two days (top and bottom rows). (a,d) The v_h -VPD relationship differed between the two days, as did the (b,e) v_h -PAR relationship. (c,f) However, the VPD-PAR relationship was approximately the same for the two days.
- Table 1. Summary statistics for the linear regressions between integrated sap distance and mean VPD for leaves and leaflets in the day and in the night, whether including data from the week of drought or not.

Figure 1







Figure 2






Figure 3




Figure 4




Table 1

		Leaves				Leaflets			
		R ²	t	d.f.	Р	R ²	t	d.f.	Р
Day	All	0.26	3.05	23	<0.01	0.40	4.15	23	<0.001
	No drought	0.22	2.51	18	0.02	0.20	2.42	18	0.03
Night	All	0.04	0.94	23	0.36	0.28	3.19	23	<0.01
	No drought	0.02	0.55	18	0.59	0.13	1.98	18	0.06