Use of latent class analysis to identify multimorbidity patterns and associated

2	factors in Korean adults aged 50 years and older
3	
4	Short title: Identification of multimorbidity patterns and associated factors using latent class
5	analysis
6	
7	Bomi Park ^{1#} , Hye Ah Lee ² , Hyesook Park ^{1*}
8	
9	¹ Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Seoul,
10	Korea
11	² Clinical Trial Center, Mokdong Hospital, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea
12	
13	#Current status: National Cancer Control Institute, National Cancer Center, Goyang
14	
15	* Corresponding author
16	E-mail: hpark@ewha.ac.kr (HP: +82-10-4707-5753)

Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Introduction: Multimorbidity associated with significant disease and economic burdens is common among the aged. We identified chronic disease multimorbidity patterns in Koreans 50 years of age or older, and explored whether such patterns were associated with particular sociodemographic factors and health-related quality-of-life. **Methods:** The multimorbidity patterns of 10 chronic diseases (hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, osteoarthritis, tuberculosis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, diabetes mellitus, and thyroid disease) were identified via latent class analysis of data on 8,370 Korean adults aged 50+ years who participated in the sixth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013-2015). The associations between multimorbidity patterns, and sociodemographic factors and health-related quality of life, were subjected to regression analysis. **Results:** Three patterns of multimorbidity were identified: 1) a relatively healthy group (60.4% of the population); 2) a 'cardiometabolic conditions' group (27.8%); and, 3) an 'arthritis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, and thyroid disease' group (11.8%). The female (compared to male) gender was associated with an increased likelihood of membership of the *cardiometabolic* conditions group (odds ratio [OR]=1.32, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.15-1.51) and (to a much greater extent) the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group (OR=4.32, 95% CI=3.30-5.66). Low socioeconomic status was associated with membership of the two

multimorbidity classes. Membership of the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid

disease group was associated with a significantly poorer health-related quality-of-life than was membership of the other two groups.

Conclusion: The co-occurrence of chronic diseases was not attributable to chance. Multimorbidity patterns were associated with sociodemographic factors and quality-of-life. Our results suggest that targeted, integrated public health and clinical strategies dealing with chronic diseases should be based on an understanding of multimorbidity patterns; this would improve the quality-of-life of vulnerable multimorbid adults.

Introduction

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

Given aging populations, advances in medical care and public health policies, and improved living conditions, the co-occurrence of two or more chronic diseases in the same individual (multimorbidity) [1,2] is increasingly common [3-5]. A recent study of Koreans adults aged 50 and older found that more than one in four were multimorbid [6]. Multimorbidity is a public health concern, being associated with higher mortality, impaired functional status, a reduced quality-of-life, increased healthcare utilization, and a greater treatment burden [7-14]. The impact of multimorbidity can be much more complex (being synergistic) than the impact of individual diseases; health outcomes may differ by the disease combinations in play [3,15,16]. Thus, current single-disease-oriented public health strategies and clinical healthcare guidelines may be both incomplete and ineffective for multimorbid patients [17]. Certain chronic diseases tend to co-occur more often than expected by chance because they share pathophysiological pathways [18,19]. Identification of patterns of disease combinations and the characteristics of individuals exhibiting similar multimorbidity patterns may provide important information for policymakers and clinicians who seek to integrate strategic public health policy plans and healthcare management to address multimorbidity in at-risk groups more effectively. Even though multimorbidity has attracted increasing attention (because it is becoming the norm in the elderly; [17,20-25]), we still do not know how multiple chronic diseases cluster, the associated socioeconomic factors, and how multimorbidity affects quality-of-life.

Multimorbidity is highly complex. The use of a statistical approach to group a population into a limited number of subgroups with similar combinations of chronic diseases is much more practical than analysis of every possible disease combination. Therefore, we performed latent class analysis (LCA) based on the hypothesis that certain chronic diseases cluster. LCA identifies probabilistic rather than deterministic subgroups based on responses to a set of observed variables, and assumes that the pattern is explained by unobserved categorical latent variables of K classes [26,27]. Our objectives were: 1) to identify multimorbidity patterns in the general Korean population aged over 50 years using nationally representative survey data; and, 2) to explore whether such patterns were associated with certain sociodemographic characteristics and quality-of-life.

Methods

Data source and sample

We used data on adults 50 years of age and older who participated in the sixth Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) conducted in 2013-2015. KNHANES is a cross-sectional, nationally representative survey of the noninstitutionalized Korean population conducted by the Division of Chronic Disease Surveillance, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDCP). KNHANES uses a stratified, multistage cluster

sampling method based on geographical area, gender, and age. The details have been described previously [28].

Measures

Chronic disease was based on self-reports, on whether participants had ever been physician-diagnosed with any disease on a pre-specified list. Analysis was limited to the 10 most common chronic diseases (hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, osteoarthritis, tuberculosis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, diabetes mellitus, and thyroid disease; the prevalence of each is greater than 3% [29-31]) of the 28 diseases listed in the KNHANES. Multimorbidity was defined as the presence of two or more of these diseases in the same subject.

The sociodemographic variables evaluated included age, gender, household income, educational level, and occupation. The household income was the monthly income divided by the square root of household size [32], and was grouped into high and low using the median income as the cut-off. Educational level was categorized as low for those younger than 70 years who were high school graduates or less accomplished, and for those older than 70 years who were middle school graduates or less accomplished. In terms of occupational status, students and housewives were defined as unemployed; service workers, retailers, agriculture or fishery employees, technicians, mechanics, assemblers, and simple laborers were defined as manual workers; and managers, professionals, and office workers were considered to be non-manual workers. The health-related quality of life was assessed using the EuroQol 5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) instrument;

this is a generic measure of health status. The EQ-5D features five dimensions (mobility, self-care, engagement in usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression); each dimension has three response options (1 = no problem, 2 = some problems, and 3 = a severe problem). The health-related quality of life was scored as a single value (the EQ-5D index score) using a validated algorithm [33,34].

Statistical analysis

Disease prevalence, disease co-occurrence in the multimorbid, and the number of co-occurring diseases for each disease, were calculated. We used LCA to explore multimorbidity patterns. The optimal number of latent classes was determined based on the lowest Consistent Akaike Information Criterion (CAIC) and the adjusted Bayesian-Schwarz Information Criterion (adjusted BIC) [35-37], clinical significance, and interpretability [38]. After selection of an optimal model, each respondent was assigned to the class for which s/he had the highest computed membership probability. An average posterior probability greater than 70% indicates an optimal fit [39]. The characteristics of respondents in different latent classes were compared using the chi-squared test for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables. In addition, multinomial logistic regression was performed to assess the association between each sociodemographic factor (age, gender, household income, educational level, and occupation) and latent class membership. Associations were assessed using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Each association was adjusted in terms of the other variables in the multivariate

analysis. Regression analysis (using SAS PROC SURVEYREG) was performed to assess whether the health-related quality of life varied by latent class membership. The least square mean of the EQ-5D index score (with the 95% CI) was estimated for each latent class. The adjusted model considered gender, age, household income, educational level, and occupation. Bonferroni post-hoc testing was performed after all pairwise comparisons. As the EQ-5D index score is not normally distributed, it was log-transformed prior to analysis and then back-transformed. All tests were two-tailed, and a p-value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with the aid of SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All estimates were subjected to sample weighting to reflect the complexity of the KNHANES sampling design.

Ethics

The KNHANES was approved by the institutional review board of the KCDCP (approval nos. 2013-07CON-03-4C, 2013-12EXP-03-5C, and 2015-01-02-6C). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

A total of 8,370 participants aged over 50 years were included in analysis. The mean age was 62.5 years, and 46% were male. Of all respondents, 39% had two or more chronic diseases; the mean number of chronic diseases in multimorbid subjects was 2.6. Table 1 lists the prevalence and the proportions of multimorbidity, and the average number of comorbid diseases for each of

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

the 10 chronic diseases included in the analysis. Hypertension (36.4%), dyslipidemia (22.1%), osteoarthritis (19.8%), and diabetes mellitus (14.4%) were the most prevalent diseases and at least one of the 10 diseases existed as a multimorbidity in over 60% of multimorbid patients; stroke occurred in 87.1% (the highest) and tuberculosis in 63.2% (the lowest). The number of cooccurring diseases varied between 2.2 and 2.9 depending on the index disease. Table 2 summarizes the LCA model fits. When up to four latent classes were considered, the smallest adjusted BIC (two-class model: 929.18; three-class: 797.28; four-class: 801.36) and CAIC (two-class model: 1016.91; three-class: 930.97; four-class: 981.01) were those of the three-class model; these classes were relatively healthy, those with cardiometabolic conditions, and those with arthritis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, and thyroid disease as revealed by the estimated probabilities of any particular chronic disease given membership of a latent class. Every respondent was assigned to one of the three classes based on the highest membership probability. The *relatively healthy* group included those with a low prevalence of all evaluated chronic conditions. The dyslipidemia response probabilities were very similar for the two multimorbid groups but dyslipidemia was classified as a cardiometabolic condition based on the clinical nature of the disease; thus, the cardiometabolic conditions group was populated by those with high probabilities of hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, and diabetes mellitus. The class membership probabilities were 60.4, 27.8, and 11.8% respectively, and the average posterior probabilities for all three classes exceeded 70% (Table 3). The mean age of the *cardiometabolic conditions* group was the highest (67 years) but the mean number of co-occurring chronic conditions was highest (2.9) in the arthritis, asthma,

allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group. Both the cardiometabolic conditions group (40.2% male vs. 59.8% female) and the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group had higher proportions of females (17.7 vs. 82.3%); males constituted over 50% of the relatively healthy group (51.4 vs. 48.6%). In particular, most subjects were female in the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group (82.3%). Socioeconomic status was similar in the cardiometabolic conditions group and the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group; both groups evidenced higher proportions (compared to the overall figures) of individuals with lower household incomes, lower levels of education, and of unemployed status (Table 4).

Table 1. The Prevalence and Characteristics of Certain Chronic Diseases in 8,370 KNHANES 2013-2015 Respondents Aged 50 Years and Older.

	Prevalence	Cases with multimorbiidty	Number of co-occurring diseases
Disease	% (SE)	%	Mean (SE)
Hypertension	36.4 (0.39)	69.4	2.2 (0.02)
Dyslipidemia	22.1 (0.26)	83.2	2.6 (0.03)
Stroke	4.1 (0.11)	87.1	2.9 (0.07)
Osteoarthritis	19.8 (0.26)	73.9	2.4 (0.03)
Tuberculosis	5.9 (0.14)	63.2	2.2 (0.06)
Asthma	3.6 (0.09)	83.5	2.8 (0.08)
Allergic rhinitis	8.1 (0.12)	67.6	2.3 (0.06)

Depression	5.6 (0.14)	74.7	2.6 (0.07)
Diabetes mellitus	14.4 (0.18)	84.4	2.7 (0.04)
Thyroid disease	3.9 (0.10)	74.9	2.5 (0.08)

KNHANES, Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SE, Standard error

175

176

177

179

180

Table 2. A Comparison of the Fit Statistics of Models Featuring Latent Class Analyses.

Number of latent classes	Likelihood G ²	ratio	Degrees of freedom	CAIC	Adjusted BIC
2	806.23		1002	1016.91	929.18
3	609.94		991	930.97	797.28
4	549.61		980	981.01	801.36

178 CAIC, Consistent Akaike Information Criterion; BIC, Bayesian Information Criterion

Table 3. Class Membership and Item Response Probabilities of the Three Latent Classes.

	Latent Class			
Characteristics	Relatively healthy	Cardiometabolic conditions	Arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, thyroid	
Class membership probabilities (%)	60.4	27.8	11.8	
Item response probabilities (%)				
Hypertension	0.18	0.75	0.42	
Dyslipidemia	0.06	0.45	0.49	
Stroke	0.01	0.12	0.02	
Osteoarthritis	0.12	0.26	0.47	

Tuberculosis	0.06	0.07	0.05
Asthma	0.02	0.04	0.14
Allergic rhinitis	0.07	0.04	0.24
Depression	0.03	0.04	0.21
Diabetes mellitus	0.04	0.39	0.13
Thyroid disease	0.02	0.03	0.14

Table 4. Characteristics of Study Respondents by Latent Class Membership.

		Latent Class			
Variable	Total	Relatively healthy	Cardiometabolic conditions	Arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, thyroid	<i>P</i> -value
	% (SE) or mean (95% CI)				
Age	62.5 (62.2-62.8)	60.8 (60.5-61.2)	67.0 (66.5-67.5)	63.4 (62.7-64.2)	< 0.0001
Gender					
Male	46.3 (0.5)	51.4 (0.7)	40.2 (1.3)	17.7 (1.8)	< 0.0001
Female	53.7 (0.5)	48.6 (0.7)	59.8 (1.3)	82.3 (1.8)	
Household income					
Low	52.4 (1.0)	47.4 (1.1)	65.8 (1.5)	57.0 (2.5)	
High	47.6 (1.0)	52.6 (1.1)	34.2 (1.5)	43.0 (2.5)	
Education					
Low	80.9 (0.8)	78.7 (0.9)	85.2 (1.0)	87.1 (1.6)	< 0.0001
High	19.1 (0.8)	21.3 (0.9)	14.8 (1.0)	12.9 (1.6)	
Occupation					

Unemployed	46.6 (0.8)	40.0 (0.9)	61.4 (1.3)	61.7 (2.2)	< 0.0001
Unmanual	11.4 (0.5)	13.6 (0.7)	6.6 (0.7)	5.2 (1.0)	
Manual	42.0 (0.9)	46.4 (1.0)	32.0 (1.2)	33.1 (2.2)	
Number of co-occurring diseases	1.2 (1.2-1.3)	0.6 (0.6-0.6)	2.6 (2.6-2.7)	2.9 (2.8-3.0)	<0.0001

SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval

Multinomial logistic regression analysis adjusted for age, gender, educational level, household income, and occupation showed that age increased the risk of being in either of the multimorbid groups compared to the *relatively healthy* group. The risks of membership of the *cardiometabolic conditions* group (OR=1.32, 95% CI=1.15-1.51) and the *arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease* group (OR=4.32, 95% CI=3.30-5.66) were significantly higher for females than males. Lower household income (OR=1.32, 95% CI=1.14-1.52) and lower educational level (OR=1.25, 95% CI=1.05-1.49) significantly increased the risk of membership of the *cardiometabolic conditions* group. In addition, unemployed status increased the risk of membership of both the *cardiometabolic conditions* group and the *arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease* group (Table 5).

Table 5. Sociodemographic Factors of the Latent Classes as Revealed by Multinomial Logistic Regression.

	Latent Class				
Variable	Relatively healthy	Cardiometabolic conditions	Arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, thyroid		
	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence interval)				
Unadjusted					
Age	1	1.07 (1.07-1.08)	1.03 (1.02-1.04)		
Gender (ref=Male)					
Female	1	1.57 (1.39-1.78)	4.90 (3.80-6.32)		

Household Income (ref=High)			
Low	1	2.13 (1.88-2.42)	1.47 (1.21-1.80)
Education (ref=High)			
Low	1	1.55 (1.32-1.82)	1.83 (1.40-2.39)
Occupation (ref=Unemployed)			
Unmanual	1	0.32 (0.25-0.41)	0.25 (0.16-0.38)
Manual	1	0.45 (0.40-0.51)	0.46 (0.38-0.57)
Adjusted			
Age	1	1.06 (1.05-1.07)	1.02 (1.01-1.03)
Gender (ref=Male)			
Female	1	1.32 (1.15-1.51)	4.32 (3.30-5.66)
Household Income (ref=High)			
Low	1	1.32 (1.14-1.52)	1.10 (0.88-1.37)
Education (ref=High)			
Low	1	1.25 (1.05-1.49)	1.12 (0.85-1.49)
Occupation (ref=Unemployed)			
Unmanual	1	0.80 (0.61-1.06)	0.56 (0.36-0.86)
Manual	1	0.70 (0.61-0.81)	0.68 (0.54-0.85)

CI, confidence interval

Table 6 shows the association between class membership and health-related quality-of-life. When the least-square means of the health-related quality-of-life of the latent classes were compared after adjustment for age, gender, household income, educational level, and occupation,

individuals in the *arthritis*, *asthma*, *allergy*, *depression*, *and thyroid disease* group evidenced a significantly lower health-related quality-of-life (0.84) than the other two groups (0.93 for the *relatively healthy* group; 0.88 for the *cardiometabolic conditions* group) as revealed by Bonferroni post-hoc analysis.

Table 6. Associations between Multimorbidity Patterns and EQ-5D Index Scores.

	Relatively healthy	Cardiometabolic conditions	Arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, thyroid	P-value ^a
Unadjusted	0.92 (0.91, 0.92)	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)	0.80 (0.79, 0.82)	< 0.0001
Adjusted				
Model 1	0.91 (0.90, 0.92)	0.86 (0.85, 0.87)	0.82 (0.81, 0.84)	< 0.0001
Model 2	0.93 (0.92, 0.94)	0.88 (0.87, 0.89)	0.84 (0.83, 0.86)	< 0.0001

- EQ-5D, European Quality of Life 5 Dimension
- Values are presented as geometric mean (95% confidence interval)
- ^aP-value was calculated from Bonferroni post-hoc testing
- 211 Model 1: Age, gender

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

213

214

215

216

212 Model 2: Age, gender, household income, education, occupation

Discussion

We used LCA to identify three distinct multimorbidity patterns in the general Korean population: (1) a relatively healthy group; (2) a group with cardiocerebrovascular conditions

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

including hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, and diabetes mellitus; and, (3) a group with arthritis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, and thyroid disease. We found that individuals of the three classes exhibited different sociodemographic characteristics and varied in terms of health-related quality of life. It is difficult to directly compare our results to those of previous studies given the methodological differences in terms of study setting, disease spectrum and number, demographic factors, baseline health status of participants, and the statistical methods used [29], but the multimorbidity patterns we identified are in general agreement with those of prior works. Such similarities may indicate that chronic diseases aggregate because they share underlying risk factors (so one disease increases the risk of another) or that causalities are shared [19]. We found that hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, and diabetes mellitus were very likely to co-occur, as have several prior studies evaluating subjects with (predominantly) cardiocerebrovascular conditions [17,19,21,40-42]. It is known that hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes mellitus are risk factors for cerebrovascular diseases, and may precede such diseases [43-46]. Although the mechanism behind the combination of arthritis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, depression, and thyroid disease remains unclear, similar groupings were evident in other studies. Arthritis and depression were cogrouped by Simoes et al. [19], asthma and allergy by Larsen et al. [47], and arthritis, respiratory diseases, and psychiatric symptoms formed a latent class in the work of Islam [17,42].

Most previous studies on multimorbidity used a non-model approach such as counts of the most common disease combinations and their observed-to-expected ratios [48-50]; such methods are too simplistic. Recently, several studies have used statistical approaches such as cluster

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

analysis [31,51,52], factor analysis [21,25,40,41], and LCA [17,22,36,42,53-55] to identify nonrandom multimorbidity clusters. We used LCA to identify subgroups based on structural equation modeling [56]. LCA is better than conventional clustering because LCA employs probability-based classification methods to choose an optimal number of classes based on various diagnostic tests [27,57]. This allowed us to group individuals into a limited number of latent classes and then analyze the differences between the classes. Our analysis not only indicated what other diseases were likely to co-occur in subjects with certain diseases but also allowed us to explore whether individuals with certain sociodemographic characteristics were more vulnerable to multimorbidity and the associated adverse health outcomes. The multimorbid groups were of lower socioeconomic status than the relatively healthy group. Individuals with cardiometabolic conditions were older than individuals in the *relatively healthy* and the *arthritis, asthma, allergy*, depression, and thyroid disease groups. In addition, a remarkable female predominance was evident in the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group. Female predominance in a musculoskeletal class and a headache-mental illness class was noted by Larsen et al. [47]. Furthermore, we found that older age, being female, and lower socioeconomic status increased the risk of membership of the cardiometabolic conditions group and/or the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group, as compared to the relatively healthy group. In particular, being female increased the risk of membership of the arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease group more than four-fold; also, individuals assigned to

this latent class had a lower quality-of-life and were younger than members of other groups. Females are thus significantly more likely to develop the disease cluster of arthritis, asthma, allergy, depression, and thyroid disease than males and, thus, endure a lower quality-of-life for longer than males. Such gender inequality in healthy life expectancy, caused by multimorbidity, warrants public health interventions targeting the female elderly.

Our study had certain limitations. Analysis was based on a limited number of chronic diseases recorded by the KNHANES only from non-institutionalized Koreans who were able to participate in the survey. In addition, we only considered disease occurrence and, thus, not duration or severity. Finally, we cannot discuss causal relationships between diseases because the survey was cross-sectional in nature. However, using a large and nationally representative sample, we identified multimorbidity patterns, and associations between such patterns and both sociodemographic factors and health-related quality-of-life. Our findings deepen our understanding of non-random associations between diseases; this will aid the design of useful, effective, holistic healthcare and preventative strategies addressing the needs of multimorbid individuals and those at high risk of multimorbidity. In addition, given that the multimorbidity patterns are associated with poor quality-of-life and sociodemographic inequalities, targeted multimorbidity management is important to reduce the burden on the vulnerable population and to address the associated social inequalities.

References

- 1. Fortin M, Bravo G, Hudon C, Vanasse A, Lapointe L. Prevalence of multimorbidity among
- adults seen in family practice. Ann Fam Med. 2005;3: 223-228.
- 280 2. van den Akker M, Buntinx F, Knottnerus JA. Comorbidity or multimorbidity. Eur J Gen
- 281 Pract. 1996;2: 65-70.
- 3. Valderas JM, Starfield B, Sibbald B, Salisbury C, Roland M. Defining comorbidity:
- implications for understanding health and health services. Ann Fam Med. 2009;7: 357-363.
- 4. Parekh AK, Goodman RA, Gordon C, Koh HK. Managing multiple chronic conditions: a
- strategic framework for improving health outcomes and quality of life. Public Health Rep.
- 286 2011;126: 460-471.
- 5. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of
- multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-
- sectional study. Lancet. 2012;380: 37-43.
- 290 6. Park B, Ock M, Lee HA, Lee S, Han H, Jo MW, et al. Multimorbidity and health-related
- 291 quality of life in Koreans aged 50 or older using KNHANES 2013-2014. Health Qual Life
- 292 Outcomes. 2018;16: 186.
- 7. Fortin M, Lapointe L, Hudon C, Vanasse A, Ntetu AL, Maltais D. Multimorbidity and
- 294 quality of life in primary care: a systematic review. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2004;2:
- 295 51-51.

- 8. Salisbury C, Johnson L, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Montgomery AA. Epidemiology and impact of multimorbidity in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. Br J Gen Pract.
- 298 2011;61: e12-21.

312

- 9. Wallace E, Salisbury C, Guthrie B, Lewis C, Fahey T, Smith SM. Managing patients with multimorbidity in primary care. BMJ. 2015;350: h176.
- 10. Lehnert T, Heider D, Leicht H, Heinrich S, Corrieri S, Luppa M, et al. Review: health care utilization and costs of elderly persons with multiple chronic conditions. Med Care Res Rev. 2011;68: 387-420.
- 11. Fortin M, Bravo G, Hudon C, Lapointe L, Almirall J, Dubois MF, et al. Relationship between multimorbidity and health-related quality of life of patients in primary care. Qual Life Res. 2006;15: 83-91.
- 12. Menotti A, Mulder I, Nissinen A, Giampaoli S, Feskens EJ, Kromhout D. Prevalence of morbidity and multimorbidity in elderly male populations and their impact on 10-year allcause mortality: the FINE study (Finland, Italy, Netherlands, Elderly). J Clin Epidemiol. 2001;54: 680-686.
 - 13. Librero J, Peiro S, Ordinana R. Chronic comorbidity and outcomes of hospital care: length of stay, mortality, and readmission at 30 and 365 days. J Clin Epidemiol. 1999;52: 171-179.
- 14. Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G. Prevalence, expenditures, and complications of multiple chronic conditions in the elderly. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162: 2269-2276.

316 15. McPhail SM. Multimorbidity in chronic disease: impact on health care resources and costs. Risk Manag Healthc Pol. 2016;9: 143-156. 317 16. Starfield B. Threads and varns: weaving the tapestry of comorbidity. Ann Fam Med. 318 2006;4: 101-103. 319 17. Islam MM, Valderas JM, Yen L, Dawda P, Jowsey T, McRae IS. Multimorbidity and 320 comorbidity of chronic diseases among the senior Australians: prevalence and patterns. 321 PLoS One. 2014;9: e83783. 322 18. Kessler R. Comorbidity. Amsterdam, NY: Elsevier Science Ltd; 2001. 323 19. Simoes D, Araujo FA, Severo M, Monjardino T, Cruz I, Carmona L, et al. Patterns and 324 consequences of multimorbidity in the general population: there is no chronic disease 325 management without rheumatic disease management. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 326 327 2017;69: 12-20. 20. García-Olmos L, Salvador CH, Alberquilla Á, Lora D, Carmona M, García-Sagredo P, et 328 al. Comorbidity patterns in patients with chronic diseases in general practice. PLoS One. 329 2012;7: e32141. 330 21. Schafer I, von Leitner EC, Schon G, Koller D, Hansen H, Kolonko T, et al. Multimorbidity 331 patterns in the elderly: a new approach of disease clustering identifies complex 332 interrelations between chronic conditions. PLoS One. 2010;5: e15941. 333 22. Kuwornu JP, Lix LM, Shooshtari S. Multimorbidity disease clusters in Aboriginal and non-334 Aboriginal Caucasian populations in Canada. Chronic Dis Inj Can. 2014;34: 218-225. 335

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

23. Cornell J, Pugh J, Williams J, Kazis L, Lee A, Parchman M, et al. Multimorbidity clusters: clustering binary data from multimorbidity clusters: clustering binary data from a large administrative medical database. Appl Multivar Res. 2009;12: 163-182. 24. Poblador-Plou B, van den Akker M, Vos R, Calderón-Larrañaga A, Metsemakers J, Prados-Torres A. Similar multimorbidity patterns in primary care patients from two European regions: results of a factor analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9: e100375. 25. Prados-Torres A, Poblador-Plou B, Calderon-Larranaga A, Gimeno-Feliu LA, Gonzalez-Rubio F, Poncel-Falco A, et al. Multimorbidity patterns in primary care: interactions among chronic diseases using factor analysis. PLoS One. 2012;7: e32190. 26. Kongsted A, Nielsen AM. Latent class analysis in health research. J Physiother. 2017;63: 55-58. 27. Vermunt J, Magindson J. Latent class cluster analysis. In: Hagenaars J, McCutcheon A, editors. Applied latent class analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002. pp. 89-106. 28. Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, et al. Data resource profile: the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (KNHANES). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43: 69-77. 29. Prados-Torres A, Calderon-Larranaga A, Hancco-Saavedra J, Poblador-Plou B, van den Akker M. Multimorbidity patterns: a systematic review. J Clin Epidemiol. 2014;67: 254-266.

356 30. Hussain MA, Katzenellenbogen JM, Sanfilippo FM, Murray K, Thompson SC. Complexity in disease management: a linked data analysis of multimorbidity in aboriginal 357 and non-Aboriginal patients hospitalised with atherothrombotic disease in Western 358 Australia. PLoS One. 2018;13: e0201496. 359 31. Marengoni A, Rizzuto D, Wang HX, Winblad B, Fratiglioni L. Patterns of chronic 360 multimorbidity in the elderly population. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57: 225-230. 361 32. OECD. Terms of Reference OECD Project on the Distribution of Household Incomes. 362 2018. 20 August 2018. Available from: http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/IDD-ToR.pdf. 363 33. Lee YK, Nam HS, Chuang LH, Kim KY, Yang HK, Kwon IS, et al. South Korean time 364 trade-off values for EQ-5D health states: modeling with observed values for 101 health 365 states. Value Health. 2009;12: 1187-1193. 366 34. Kim MH, Cho YS, Uhm WS, Kim S, Bae SC. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of 367 the Korean version of the EQ-5D in patients with rheumatic diseases. Qual Life Res. 368 2005;14: 1401-1406. 369 35. Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Autom. 1974;19: 370 716-723. 371 36. Schwarz G. Estimating the dimension of a model. Ann Statist. 1978;6: 461-464. 372 37. Nylund KL, Asparouhov T, Muthén BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class 373 analysis and growth mixture modeling: a monte carlo simulation study. Struct Equ Model 374 Multidiscip J. 2007;14: 535-569. 375

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

38. Masyn K. Latent class analysis and finite mixture modeling. In: Little T, editor. The Oxford handbook of quantitative methods in psychology. New York: Oxford University Press; 2013. pp. 551-611. 39. Nagin D. Group-based modeling of development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2005. 40. Holden L, Scuffham PA, Hilton MF, Muspratt A, Ng SK, Whiteford HA. Patterns of multimorbidity in working Australians. Popul Health Metr. 2011;9: 15. 41. Kirchberger I, Meisinger C, Heier M, Zimmermann AK, Thorand B, Autenrieth CS, et al. Patterns of multimorbidity in the aged population. Results from the KORA-age study. PLoS One. 2012;7: e30556. 42. Whitson HE, Johnson KS, Sloane R, Cigolle CT, Pieper CF, Landerman L, et al. Identifying patterns of multimorbidity in older americans: application of latent class analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64: 1668-1673. 43. Anderson KM, Odell PM, Wilson PW, Kannel WB. Cardiovascular disease risk profiles. Am Heart J. 1991;121: 293-298. 44. Jackson R, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, Milne RJ, Rodgers A. Treatment with drugs to lower blood pressure and blood cholesterol based on an individual's absolute cardiovascular risk. Lancet. 2005;365: 434-441. 45. Cooper R, Cutler J, Desvigne-Nickens P, Fortmann SP, Friedman L, Havlik R, et al. Trends

and disparities in coronary heart disease, stroke, and other cardiovascular diseases in the

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

United States: findings of the national conference on cardiovascular disease prevention. Circulation. 2000;102: 3137-3147. 46. Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Blaha MJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2014;129: e28-e292. 47. Larsen FB, Pedersen MH, Friis K, Glumer C, Lasgaard M. A latent class analysis of multimorbidity and the relationship to socio-demographic factors and health-related quality of life. A national population-based study of 162,283 Danish adults. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0169426. 48. Freund T, Kunz CU, Ose D, Szecsenyi J, Peters-Klimm F. Patterns of multimorbidity in primary care patients at high risk of future hospitalization. Popul Health Manag. 2012;15: 119-124. 49. Wong A, Boshuizen HC, Schellevis FG, Kommer GJ, Polder JJ. Longitudinal administrative data can be used to examine multimorbidity, provided false discoveries are controlled for. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64: 1109-1117. 50. van den Bussche H, Koller D, Kolonko T, Hansen H, Wegscheider K, Glaeske G, et al. Which chronic diseases and disease combinations are specific to multimorbidity in the elderly? Results of a claims data based cross-sectional study in Germany. BMC Public Health. 2011;11: 101.

415 51. John R, Kerby DS, Hennessy CH. Patterns and impact of comorbidity and multimorbidity among community-resident American Indian elders. Gerontologist. 2003;43: 649-660. 416 52. Newcomer SR, Steiner JF, Bayliss EA. Identifying subgroups of complex patients with 417 cluster analysis. Am J Manag Care. 2011;17: e324-e332. 418 53. Schüz B, Wurm S, Warner LM, Tesch-Römer C. Health and subjective well-being in later 419 adulthood: different health states—different needs? Appl Psychol Health Well Being. 420 2009;1: 23-45. 421 54. Pugh MJ, Finley EP, Copeland LA, Wang CP, Noel PH, Amuan ME, et al. Complex 422 comorbidity clusters in OEF/OIF veterans: the polytrauma clinical triad and beyond. Med 423 Care. 2014;52: 172-181. 424 55. Swartz JA. Chronic medical conditions among jail detainees in residential psychiatric 425 treatment: a latent class analysis. J Urban Health. 2011;88: 700-717. 426 56. Hagenaars J, McCutcheon A. Applied latent class analysis. Cambridge, New York: 427

57. Magidson J, Vermunt J. Latent class models for clustering: a comparison with K-means.

Cambridge University Press; 2009.

Can J Market Res. 2002;20: 37-44.

428

429