1 Non-medical prescribing policy in the United Kingdom

2	National	Health	Service:	systematic	review	and
---	-----------------	--------	-----------------	------------	--------	-----

3	narrative	synthesis
---	-----------	-----------

- 4 Short title: Non-medical prescribing policy in the United Kingdom National Health
- 5 Service

7

14

17

- 6 Emma Graham-Clarke^{1*}, Alison Rushton², Timothy Noblet^{2,3}, John Marriott¹
- 8 ¹School of Pharmacy, Institute of Clinical Sciences, College of Medical and Dental
- 9 Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 10 ²Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain, School of Sport, Exercise and
- 11 Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of
- 12 Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 13 ³Physiotherapy Department, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
- 15 * Corresponding author
- 16 E-mail: EMG315@bham.ac.uk (EGC)

Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Non-medical prescribing was introduced into the United Kingdom (UK) to improve patient care, through extending healthcare professionals' roles. More recent government health service policy focuses on the increased demand and the need for efficiency. This systematic review aimed to describe any changes in government policy position and the role that non-medical prescribing plays in healthcare provision. The systematic review and narrative analysis included policy and consultation documents that describe independent non-medical prescribing. A pre-defined protocol was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42015019786). Professional body websites, other relevant websites and the following databases were searched to identify relevant papers: HMIC, Lexis Nexis, UK Government Web Archive, UKOP, UK Parliamentary Papers and Web of Science. Papers published between 2006 and February 2018 were included. Following exclusions, 45 papers were selected for review; 23 relating to policy or strategy and 22 to consultations. Of the former, 13/23 were published 2006-2010 and the remainder since 2013. Two main themes are identified: chronological aspects and healthcare provision. The impact of government change and associated major healthcare service reorganisation resulted in the publication gap for policy documents. The role of non-medical prescribing has evolved to support efficient service delivery, and cost reduction. For many professions, prescribing appears

embedded into practice; however, pharmacy continues to produce policy
 documents, suggesting that prescribing is not yet perceived as normal practice.
 Prescribing appears to be more easily adopted into practice where it can form part
 of the overall care of the patient. Where new roles are required to be established,
 then prescribing takes longer to be universally adopted. While this research concerns
 policy and practice in the UK, this aspect of role adoption has wider potential
 implications.

Introduction

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Traditionally, prescribing of human medicines had been perceived as a medical role, with only medical professionals and dentists having full prescribing rights in the United Kingdom (UK). Two seminal reports challenged this view; the Cumberlege report [1] which paved the way for limited prescribing by health visitors and district nurses, and the Crown report [2], which recommended extending prescribing rights for the benefit of patients and to utilise the skills of healthcare professionals. The main UK healthcare provider, within which prescribers practice, is the National Health Service (NHS); established in 1948 to provide comprehensive healthcare to all, free at the point of delivery [3]. The UK also has a parallel smaller privately funded healthcare sector. Healthcare policy is directed by the UK government, reflecting the principles of the governing party at the time. Since 1948, this has been one of two main political parties (Labour, Conservative), apart from 2010-2015 when a Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition was in power. As a general principle, Conservative governments tend to support free markets and expansion of the private sector, whereas Labour governments support the NHS over the private sector. Rising costs and changes in healthcare practice have led to numerous reforms since the NHS was founded but, irrespective of the political stance, the founding principles remain [3, 4]. In 2000 the governing Labour Party published a White Paper 'The NHS Plan', which described the government's intention to modernise healthcare services, breaking down the traditional demarcations between professions and introducing new ways

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

of working to increase healthcare capacity, shorten waiting times, and thus improve the patient experience [5]. Nurse prescribing was highlighted as one of the 10 key roles defined by the Chief Nursing Officer and the White Paper also included broad reference to therapists extending their roles, with prescribing included within this [5]. To support these sweeping changes to traditional practice the government established the Modernisation Agency, tasked with supporting service redesign at a local level [6], and launched a consultation on extending nurse prescribing [7]. This was followed in 2002 by a consultation on the introduction of supplementary prescribing for nurses and pharmacists [8], with approval granted later that year [9]. Supplementary prescribing is described as a voluntary partnership between the supplementary prescriber, the doctor looking after the patient, and the patient. Additionally, a supplementary prescriber can only prescribe medication listed in an agreed clinical management plan [10]. The first supplementary nurse prescribers qualified in 2003, with pharmacists following in 2004. It quickly became apparent that supplementary prescribing, whilst ideal for complex and long-term conditions, had significant limitations with regard to acute care, hampering the government's desire to enhance patient care through expanding nurse and pharmacist roles and hence improving access to medication. This was articulated clearly in the consultation documents launched in 2005 to investigate expansion into independent prescribing [11, 12]. Legislation to implement independent prescribing by nurses and pharmacists was enacted in 2006 [13], and since that time independent prescribing rights have been gradually extended to a range of healthcare professionals, most recently paramedics [14].

Non-medical prescribing (NMP) is the umbrella term used to cover prescribing by professions other than doctors. The initial focus of government policy with regard to NMP was the desire to improve patient access to medicines. However, more recent documents from NHS England have focused on the increased demand for services and the need to drive efficiency so that maximum benefit can be obtained from the limited NHS budget [15, 16]. The role of NMP has been less apparent in these later documents, and it is unclear if this reflects a change in government policy.

The present study aimed to conduct a systematic literature review investigating potential changes in UK Government policy position with regard to NMP, since the introduction of independent prescribing for nurses and pharmacists. The study also aimed to determine the current role of independent NMP in the delivery of healthcare in the NHS.

Method

Protocol and registration

A systematic review with narrative synthesis was conducted to explore the evolution of government policy concerning independent NMP in the UK. A predefined protocol was developed following the PRISMA-P statement [17] and registered with PROSPERO (CRD42015019786) (S1 Protocol). The results are reported following the PRISMA statement (S1 appendix) [18].

Eligibility criteria

Documents describing policy concerning independent NMP in the UK were included. These included White and Green papers, policy statements, consultation documents and reports. Documents published since 2006 were included, as the legislation permitting nurse and pharmacist independent prescribing was enacted in that year [13].

Information sources

Advice was taken from expert librarians regarding appropriate electronic databases and websites to search (listed in Error! Reference source not found.) and to aid development of search strategies. Broad search terms (e.g. prescribing, non-medical) were used to capture as wide a range of documents as possible. Boolean operators and truncation were used if the database supported them. Iterative and 'snowball' search techniques were employed [19], with the primary searches complete to the end of February 2018, and secondary searches conducted as necessary (S2 Appendix). Documents obtained were mapped to identify gaps (for example, documents relating to the consultation process or profession specific policy documents) enabling targeted secondary searches to be conducted. Relevant citations in the reviewed documents were also obtained and personal files searched [19]. Full texts of the selected documents were screened to remove those that did not meet the eligibility criteria.

Table 1. Databases and websites searched

Databases and websites	Professional body websites
Google Scholar	Chartered Society of Physiotherapists
HMIC - Ovid	College of Optometrists
Lexis Nexis	College of Paramedics
UK Government Web Archive	General Optical Council
UKOP (UK Official Publications)	General Pharmaceutical Council
UK Parliamentary Papers - ProQuest	Health and Care Professions Council
Web of Science	Institute of Radiology
www.gov.uk	Nursing and Midwifery Council
www.health-ni.gov.uk	Royal College of Nursing
www.publications.scot.nhs.uk	Royal Pharmaceutical Society
www.scot.nhs.uk	The Association of Ambulance Chief Executives
www.wales.nhs.uk	The College of Podiatry
	The Royal College of Radiologists

Study selection

132

133

134

- Two reviewers (EGC and TN) independently conducted each stage, resolving
- differences by discussion, with a third reviewer (AR) available if required for
- mediation [20]. Numbers excluded were recorded [18, 20].

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

Data collection process and data items Selected papers were entered into a Microsoft ® Excel for Mac (version 16) spreadsheet. Home nation and professions covered by the reference were noted, and whether the reference related to policy or consultation. The full texts were read, and notes made of any reference to NMP, including the context. Risk of bias assessment Unlike research papers, whether qualitative or quantitative in nature, policy and consultation documents are not developed according to well-recognised principles. Risk of bias assessment is therefore not appropriate for this type of document and was not conducted. Policy documents are liable to be biased towards the ethos of the government in power at the time and documents produced by profession specific bodies towards their profession. The results will be reported according to the relevant government era and, where appropriate, the specific professional body. Data syntheses

Narrative synthesis was conducted on the selected documents [21, 22]. Following tabulation and data extraction, the selected documents were grouped depending on whether they concerned policy or consultation. To aid this process and to visualise the time distribution they were also plotted on a timeline, with a further timeline developed for the consultation documents. Using these techniques, a narrative

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

summary was able to be developed by one researcher (EGC), and the findings were then debated and critically assessed by all authors to reach agreement. One of the authors (EGC) is a practising pharmacist independent prescriber and NMP lead for an acute trust. In this role they support other non-medical prescribers and have an interest in NMP developments. This researcher standpoint is balanced by the other authors, who do not have prescribing qualifications. **Results Paper selection and characteristics** The search strategy identified 99 full text articles to be assessed for inclusion. Following exclusions, 45 papers were included in the review (Fig 1). Fig 1. PRISMA paper selection flow diagram Of the included papers, 23 relate to policy or strategic report documents (see Error! Reference source not found.), and 22 to the consultation process concerning extension of independent NMP responsibilities to various healthcare professions (see Error! Reference source not found.).

Table 2. Policy and Strategic Report Documents

							Profes	sional	Group)		
Title	Source	Apr-06 England Y	Nurse	Pharmacist	Physiotherapist	Podiatrist	Paramedic	Radiographer	Optometrist	АНР	NMP	
Improving Patients' Access to Medicines: A Guide to Implementing Nurse and Pharmacist Independent Prescribing within the NHS in England [23]	Department of Health	Apr-06	England	Y	Y							
Medicines Matters. A guide to mechanisms for the prescribing, supply and administration of medicines [24]	Department of Health	Jul-06	United Kingdom	Y	Y					Y		
Guidance for Nurse Independent Prescribers and for Community	Scottish Executive Health Department	Aug-06	Scotland	Y								

Practitioner Nurse Prescribers in									
Scotland: A Guide for									
Implementation [25]									
Improving Patients' Access to	Department of Health Social	Dec-06	Northern Ireland	Υ	Υ				
Medicines: A Guide to Implementing	Services and Public Safety								
Nurse and Pharmacist Independent									
Prescribing within the HPSS in									
Northern Ireland [26]									
The best medicine: the management	Commission for Healthcare	Jan-07	England	Y	Y				
of medicines in acute and specialist	Audit and Inspection								
trusts [27]									
Mental Health: New Ways of	Department of Health,	Apr-07	England	Υ					
Working for Everyone. Progress	National Institute for Mental								
Report [28]	Health in England National								
	Workforce Programme								

Non medical prescribing in Wales - A	Welsh Assembly	Jul-07	Wales	Y	Υ					
guide for implementation [29]	Government									
New Ways of Working for Everyone:	Department of Health,	Oct-07	England							Υ
A best practice implementation	National Institute for Mental									
guide [30]	Health in England National									
	Workforce Programme									
Consultation on A Safe Prescription:	The Scottish Government,	Nov-07	Scotland	Y					Υ	
Developing Nurse, Midwife and	Primary Care Division									
Allied Health Profession (NMAHP)										
Prescribing in NHS Scotland [31]										
Pharmacy in England: Building on	Department of Health	Apr-08	England		Y					
strengths – delivering the future (Cm										
7341) [32]										
Allied health professions prescribing	Department of Health	Jul-09	England			Υ	Υ	Y		
and medicines supply mechanisms										
scoping project report [33]										

A safe prescription; Developing	The Scottish Government	Sep-09	Scotland	Υ					Υ	
nurse, midwife and allied health										
profession (NMAHP) prescribing in										
NHS Scotland [34]										
Pharmacist Prescriber Training	Medical Education England	Jan-10	England		Y					
Working Group Report for the MPC										
Programme Board [35]										
Prescription for Excellence [36]	The Scottish Government	Sep-13	Scotland		Y					
Now or never: shaping pharmacy for	The Royal Pharmaceutical	Nov-13	England		Υ					
the future [37]	Society									
Seven Day Services in Hospital	The Royal Pharmaceutical	Jun-14	United Kingdom		Υ					
Pharmacy: Giving patients the care	Society									
they deserve [38]										
Our Plan for Primary Care in Wales	Welsh Assembly, NHS Wales	Nov-14	Wales	Υ	Υ		Υ			
up to March 2018 [39]										

A Planned Primary Care Workforce	Welsh Assembly, NHS Wales	Jun-15	Wales	Υ	Υ	Y		Υ	
for Wales: Approach and									
development actions to be taken in									
support of the plan for a primary									
care service in Wales up to 2018 [39]									
The future of primary care: Creating	Health Education England	Jul-15	United Kingdom	Υ	Y	Y			
teams for tomorrow [40]									
Transformation of seven day clinical	NHS England	Sep-16	England		Y				
pharmacy services in acute hospitals									
[41]									
Improving care for people with Long	The Royal Pharmaceutical	Nov-16	England		Y				
Term Conditions [42]	Society								
The General Practice Nursing	Health Education England	Mar-17	England	Y					
Workforce Development Plan [43]									

Facing the Facts, Shaping the Future:	Public Health England	Dec-17	England	Y	Υ				
A draft health and care workforce									
strategy for England to 2027 [44]									

AHP – allied health professional

NMP – Non-medical prescriber

Table 3. Consultation Documents

				Professional Group									
Title	Source	Date	Home Nation	Nurse	Pharmacist	Physiotherapist	Podiatrist	Paramedic	Radiographer	Optometrist			
Consultation on proposals to introduce independent	Medicines &	Aug-06	United Kingdom							Υ			
prescribing by optometrists (MLX 334) [45]	Healthcare												
	Products												
	Regulatory Agency												

Public consultation - independent prescribing of controlled	Home Office, Drug	Mar-07	United Kingdom	Υ	Υ				
drugs by nurse and pharmacist independent prescribers	Strategy Unit								
(MLX338) [46]									
Public consultation (MLX 334): Proposals to introduce	Medicines &	Aug-08	United Kingdom						Y
independent prescribing by optometrists – outcome [47]	Healthcare								
	Products								
	Regulatory Agency								
Proposals to introduce prescribing responsibilities for	Department of	Mar-10	United Kingdom					Υ	
paramedics: stakeholder engagement [48]	Health								
Engagement exercise: To seek views on possibilities for	Department of	Sep-10	United Kingdom				Υ		
introducing independent prescribing responsibilities for	Health								
podiatrists [49]									
Engagement exercise: To seek views on possibilities for	Department of	Sep-10	United Kingdom			Y			
introducing independent prescribing responsibilities for	Health								
physiotherapists [50]									

Proposals to introduce independent prescribing by	Department of	Jul-11	United Kingdom			Y		
podiatrists: impact assessment [51]	Health							
Consultation on proposals to introduce independent	Department of	Sep-11	United Kingdom			Y		
prescribing by podiatrists [52]	Health							
Consultation on proposals to introduce independent	Department of	Sep-11	United Kingdom		Υ			
prescribing by physiotherapists [53]	Health							
Summary of the Commission on Human Medicines meeting	Commission on	May-12	United Kingdom		Υ	Y		
held on Thursday 17th & Friday 18th May 2012 [54]	Human Medicines							
Summary of Public Consultation on Proposals to Introduce	Department of	Jul-12	United Kingdom		Υ			
Independent Prescribing by Physiotherapists [55]	Health							
Proposals to introduce independent prescribing by	Department of	Jul-12	United Kingdom		Υ			
physiotherapists: impact assessment [56]	Health							
Summary of Public Consultation on Proposals to Introduce	Department of	Jul-12	United Kingdom			Y		
Independent Prescribing by Podiatrists [57]	Health							
Independent prescribing by radiographers: Impact	NHS England	Jan-15	United Kingdom				Υ	
Assessment [58]								

Consultation on proposals to introduce independent	NHS England	Feb-15	United Kingdom				Υ	
prescribing by radiographers across the United Kingdom [59]								
Consultation on proposals to introduce independent	NHS England	Feb-15	United Kingdom			Υ		
prescribing by paramedics across the United Kingdom [60]								
Proposal to introduce independent prescribing by	NHS England	Feb-15	United Kingdom			Υ		
paramedics: impact assessment [61]								
Commission on Human Medicines and Expert Advisory Group	Commission on	Oct-15	United Kingdom			Υ	Υ	
Final Summary Minutes [62]	Human Medicines							
Independent prescribing by therapeutic radiographers [63]	NHS England	Jan-16	United Kingdom				Υ	
Summary of the responses to the public consultation on	NHS England	Feb-16	United Kingdom			Υ		
proposals to introduce independent prescribing by								
paramedics across the United Kingdom [64]								
Summary of the responses to the public consultation on	NHS England	Feb-16	United Kingdom				Υ	
proposals to introduce independent prescribing by								
radiographers across the United Kingdom [65]								

Summary of the Commission on Human Medicines meeting	Commission on	Sep-17	United Kingdom			Υ	
held on Thursday 7th September 2017 [66]	Human Medicines						

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

The policy and strategic report documents relate to a single profession (nursing 3, pharmacy 7), multiple professions (12), or generic NMP (one). The majority concern matters in the home nations (England 12, Scotland 4, Wales 3 and Northern Ireland 1) with only 3 concerning the United Kingdom. They can be divided into two chronological eras, with just over half published between 2006 and 2010, and the remainder published since 2013 (Fig 2). Fig 2. Timeline of selected documents Policy - black, Optometrist - brown, Radiographer - purple, Nurse/Pharmacist - yellow, Paramedic blue, Podiatrist – red, Physiotherapist- green Synthesis of results The Labour Government era 2006-2010 Four of the early documents comprised guidance issued by the home nations to support NMP. These were released as the relevant regulations governing prescribing were amended to permit independent NMP. The first was released by the Department of Health in April 2006, coinciding with the initial changes in legislation and regulations permitting independent prescribing by nurses and pharmacists [13, 23, 67]. This was followed by Scotland's guidance, released in July 2006, Northern Ireland's guidance in December 2006 and the Welsh guidance in 2007 [25, 26, 29]. All four documents are similar in nature; however, Scotland's relates to nurse prescribing only whereas the other three relate to nurse and pharmacist prescribing. This reflects the changes made by the home nations whereby England, Wales and

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Northern Ireland each introduced nurse and pharmacist independent prescribing simultaneously, whereas Scotland introduced nurse independent prescribing first, followed a year later by pharmacist independent prescribing. Although the bulk of these documents relates to practical implementation guidance, each states the core policy drivers behind NMP which were: improving patient care, without reducing safety making it easier to patients to access the medicines they require increasing patient choice utilising the skills of health professionals supporting team working The Welsh guidance included the additional benefits of improving healthcare capacity and enhancing patient access for advice and services. Scotland conducted a prescribing strategy consultation exercise, with the final strategy launched in 2009 [31, 34]. These documents covered independent prescribing by nurses and midwives and supplementary prescribing by allied health professionals but not pharmacist prescribers. They highlighted the variable adoption of NMP across Scotland and had the aim of improving uptake of NMP to support the NHS boards in delivering patient centred care. The remaining prescribing specific documents in this era were the scoping report on Allied Health Professional (AHP) prescribing and a report on pharmacist prescribing training [33, 35]. The former reviewed the developing role of AHPs and highlighted some of the limitations resulting from their inability to prescribe; identifying which

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

professions would benefit most from the ability to prescribe, either independently or as a supplementary prescriber, and also which professions should not become prescribers. Additionally, the professions were prioritised, with physiotherapy and podiatry identified as high priorities for independent prescribing, followed by radiology. The latter document reviewed pharmacist prescribing experiences and recommended several changes to training, both at undergraduate level and regarding the prescribing course. The remaining documents produced in this era, although generic in nature, include references to NMP. The first was a Department of Health document released in 2006 providing further guidance on medicine supply and reiterating the drive behind NMP [24]. The document included several proposed next stages for NMP: To consult on optometrist independent prescribing To promote nurse and pharmacist independent prescribing To review the prescribing needs of emerging roles This was followed by the Audit Commission report in 2007 on medicines management, which mentioned the development of nurse and pharmacist prescribing and described the distribution of prescribers at that time [27]. Data collection had been in 2005 and 2006 and therefore the majority of these data would have been collected from supplementary prescribers. They recommended that trusts identify where NMP would provide the maximum benefit clinically and that work should be performed to identify why some non-medical prescribers did not prescribe regularly.

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

The "New Ways of Working in Mental Health" project released two documents in 2007, a progress report and an implementation guide [28, 30]. The progress report reiterated the five core drivers behind NMP and described how NMP should be incorporated into the changes in working practice such as multidisciplinary team working. The implementation guide provided theoretical examples of changed practice which incorporated NMP. The final document in this era was the pharmacy White Paper [32]. This highlighted the roles that pharmacists could play in improving the healthcare of patients, including the example of prescribing in long-term conditions. Although some case studies were described, most of the suggested roles for prescribers were aspirational. The Coalition and Conservative Governments era 2013-2017 The first two documents in this era both concerned the role of pharmacy in providing patient centred health care. The first of these was the Scottish Government's vision for pharmacy which envisaged integration of pharmacists into all aspects of healthcare [36]. Central to this vision was the aim of having all pharmacists qualified as independent prescribers. The second document was a report by the Royal Pharmaceutical Society on pharmacy activity and future potential [37]. Various examples of prescribing practice are described but the comment is made that it is not sufficient simply to provide prescribing courses, that roles must also be developed that utilise this skill. The report contrasts the English

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

and Scottish governments approach to pharmacy, to the detriment of the English government's approach. There are three further pharmacy specific documents in this era, with two of these concerning seven-day hospital pharmacy services. The first was a report by the Royal Pharmaceutical Society discussing potential approaches to providing a seven-day service and the associated challenges [38]. Examples where seven-day pharmacy services had been implemented were given, with many of the contributors anticipating the use of pharmacist prescribers to support delivery. The second report, from NHS England, describes the need to deliver clinical pharmacy services seven days a week, highlighting the impact that pharmacy services make and describing the importance of prescribing to support the multi-professional team [41]. The final pharmacy specific document was the Royal Pharmaceutical Society produced policy paper, concerning care for patients with long-term conditions [42]. This highlights the role that pharmacists can play in supporting these patients, and makes a number of key recommendations, the first of which is that pharmacists should have the opportunity to become prescribers. The Welsh Assembly produced a plan for primary care in 2014, followed by a primary care workforce development plan in 2015 [39, 68]. The first of these documents highlighted the increasing pressure on general practice from a combination of increasing demand, a shortage of general practitioners and financial constraints. The focus was on health rather than ill-health and to provide person centred care within the local community, using the most appropriate healthcare professional for the task. Advanced practice such as NMP was seen to relieve pressure on general

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

practitioners. The associated workforce plan described the potential role of NMP for various professions and provided examples. A Health Education England commissioned report on primary care, published in 2015, described how primary care could be delivered using a wide range of healthcare professionals [40]. Included in the recommendations was the role of the prescribing pharmacist, and the potential for physiotherapist prescribers. This was followed in 2017 by the general practice nursing workforce plan [43]. Prescribing is described as complementing the nursing role, but challenges are acknowledged particularly in enabling time for training. Finally, there was the draft workforce strategy for England which was released for consultation in December 2017 [44]. This specifically mentioned prescribing in the pharmacy section and also commented that increased numbers of nurse prescribers would be required in the community and primary-care sectors. No mention was made of prescribing by any other nonmedical healthcare professional. **Consultation documents** Two consultations were launched during the period 2006-2008; the first concerned the introduction of independent prescribing for optometrists, and the second regarding controlled drug prescribing by nurse and pharmacist independent prescribers. The consultation process for the introduction of independent prescribing by optometrists was launched in August 2006, with the outcome announced in 2008, and associated legislation passed the same year [45, 47, 69]. This time period contrasts with the second consultation in 2007 on controlled drug

prescribing, where agreement that this should be permitted was reached, but changes in legislation were not enacted until 2012 [46, 70, 71]. Following the 2009 AHP scoping report, stakeholder engagement exercises were launched in 2010 to investigate independent prescribing rights for both podiatry and physiotherapy, followed by consultation exercises in 2011 and the outcome and approval in 2012, the whole process taking a little under two years [49-57]. The consultation for radiographers was launched in 2015 with approval, for therapeutic radiographers only, granted in 2016 (diagnostic radiographers were excluded) [58, 59, 62, 63, 65]. These relatively short consultation exercises contrast strongly with that of the paramedics. The initial document mentioning paramedic prescribing was published in 2005 [72], with the stakeholder engagement exercise held in 2010, a year before that of the podiatrists and physiotherapists [48-50]. The potential for paramedic prescribing was reiterated in the 2013 urgent care report, which described the changing role of paramedics, and the potential for further role extension [73]. The paramedic and radiographer consultation exercises ran simultaneously, but final approval for paramedics was only granted in 2017 [60, 61, 64, 66, 74]. A comment is made in the related paramedic impact assessment that the consultation exercise was delayed because of capacity issues [61]. The relative timescales are visually depicted in Fig 3.

Fig 3. Consultation Timeline

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

Discussion

Summary of evidence

This is the first such review bringing together the UK policy documents concerning NMP to describe the role of this evolving skill. Review of the evidence reveals two main themes, which are expanded on below. The first theme highlights issues arising from inspecting the chronological aspects of the selected documents. The second theme covers the evolving approach to healthcare provision and describes how NMP has become embedded into routine practice for many non-medical prescribers. However, differences in practice remain and these are highlighted.

Chronological aspects

Inspection of the timeline of included papers reveals a noticeable gap between 2010 and 2013, when no reports or strategic documents concerning NMP were released by a government body. The beginning of this period coincides with the change in government in 2010 from Labour to the Coalition. Two factors are likely to be responsible for this dearth of publications. Firstly, the Coalition embarked on an overall reorganisation of the NHS in England, initiated in the 2010 White Paper 'Equity and Excellence', and enacted through the Health and Social Care Act in 2012 [75, 76]; focussing on the high level structure rather than finer detail. Secondly, the country had been in economic recession since 2008 and the Coalition's 2010 budget introduced austerity measures designed to reduce the nation's budget deficit and improve economic growth [77, 78]. The government attempted to protect the NHS

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

from financial cuts implemented more generally across all services, however the funding growth rate for the NHS in England was curtailed to 1.4% a year compared with 6% a year under the previous Labour government [79]. Government priorities were therefore concerned with major reform of the NHS structure and introduction of commissioning groups, rather than the continued development of existing practices. The change in government also probably explains the delay in extending controlled drug prescribing for nurses and pharmacist independent prescribers. Extending controlled drug prescribing rights requires the agreement of the Department of Health, the Home Office, the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency and the Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD), and, subsequently, amendments to the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001 and medicines legislation [46]. The consultation closed in June 2007, and in November 2007 the ACMD wrote to the Under-Secretary of State at the Home Office, and the Minister of State for Public Health at the Department of Health, to support the proposals and the change in legislation [80]. However, the required change in legislation was only enacted in 2012, and it can be surmised that with the Coalition's priorities focused on reorganisation of the whole NHS, extending controlled drug prescribing to nurse and pharmacist independent prescribers was accorded low priority [70, 71]. The consultation processes for the AHPs (physiotherapists, podiatrists and radiographers) were all concluded within a reasonable timeframe, despite the change in government occurring between publication of the AHP scoping report and initiation of the physiotherapy and podiatry consultation exercises [33, 49, 50]. The

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

AHP scoping report had demonstrated a clear role for prescribing for each of these professions in streamlining and improving patient care. In addition, the report prioritised which professions should be considered first, taking into consideration the strength of case for prescribing for each profession and the capacity of the Department of Health and Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency to conduct the necessary consultations. As an aside, the consultation exercises reflect the NHS reorganisation, with the physiotherapy and podiatry consultation exercises conducted under the auspices of the Department of Health, and subsequent consultation exercises under NHS England. In comparison, the lack of clarity concerning how prescribing would be utilised by paramedics, and their evolving role, explains the extended time period between the initial recommendation regarding paramedic independent prescribing and final approval. At the time of the initial report paramedics had recently become registered with the Health Care Professions Council, and the NHS advanced practice role was developing [72]. Consequently, the training focus shifted from resuscitation, to assessing and treating the patient at home. By the time of the urgent care report in 2013, treatment by a paramedic at home was considered an essential component of the strategy to reduce demand on emergency care services [73]. Furthermore, when the formal consultation process began, advanced paramedics had started to work in a range of settings such as emergency care departments as well as the more traditional ambulance service. Following the consultation, the Commission on Human Medicines (CHM) was unable to recommend prescribing by paramedics because of concern that paramedics would need training in a large range of

conditions to ensure patient safety [62]. The minutes for the 2017 CHM meeting simply say that they endorse the recommendations for independent prescribing for paramedics, and it is to be presumed that they had been provided with reassurance concerning the training and role of paramedics [66].

Healthcare provision - evolution of policy

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

The five drivers for prescribing documented in the implementation guidance reiterated the aims of the 2000 NHS White Paper to improve patient care and break down the traditional demarcations between professions [5, 23, 25, 26, 29]. These and other early documents such as the "Medicines Matters, and the Mental Health New Ways of Working" project were published before full independent prescribing was embedded [24, 28, 30]. As such, they discuss the potential for NMP to improve patient care and, in particular with the mental health documents, develop new ways of working. Medicines Matters explicitly commented that NMP was unsuitable for patients with complex conditions, recommending the use of supplementary prescribing instead [24]. The pharmacy White Paper listed prescribing as one of the activities that pharmacists could undertake including the care of long-term conditions but many of the examples are theoretical [32]. The 2009 AHP scoping report highlights the changing role of, for example physiotherapists or podiatrists, commenting that they may now be responsible for a full package of patient care but were hampered by the inability to prescribe independently [33]. Again, this document describes potential or theoretical benefits.

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

However, when the Scottish government published their NMP strategy, they were able to draw on a number of published papers providing evidence of the benefits [34], although in reality the only full independent prescribers included were nurses. Likewise the pharmacist prescriber training report in 2010 was also able to draw on practice examples to illustrate various different ways that independent prescribing had been implemented [35]. The 2010 White Paper 'Equity and excellence: liberating the NHS' signalled a change in direction for the health service, putting the patient at the centre of care with 'no decision about me without me' [75] but without the previous emphasis on workforce development; a point highlighted in a later staffing report [81]. The need for responsive and patient centred care, within the constraints of limited finances, was further developed in the subsequent Five-Year Forward View [16]. This document sets the need to provide more integrated care, giving patients greater control, against the background of increasing demand, rising costs resulting from new technologies, and budgetary constraints. Although prescribing is not specifically mentioned, there is a call to challenge traditional ways of working and to use the most appropriate healthcare professional for the task in hand. This approach is echoed by the Welsh Assembly primary care plan, which describes a future model of primary-care in which the general practitioner acts as the leader over a multi professional team, who between them care for the patient [39]. The Welsh Assembly associated workforce development plan depends on other healthcare professionals taking on roles traditionally associated with general practitioners or secondary care, highlighting this with numerous examples of

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

healthcare professionals taking on new or advanced roles [68]. One such example is the monitoring of low risk glaucoma patients by optometrists, and the document comments that there will be an increased need for optometrists to train as prescribers as they develop these advanced roles. NMP is perceived as integral to these developments. The English primary care report [40] describes a number of approaches to reducing the burden on general practitioners. Included in this are new models of practice such as the work of physicians' associates, but as The Health Foundation comments, their role in relieving pressure on doctors will be limited if they are unable to prescribe [81]. The primary care report also describes prescribing in relation to physiotherapists, who are able to provide streamlined care for patients, and pharmacists to support their medicines optimisation activities, such as review of patients at risk of polypharmacy and adverse drug events [40]. Nurse prescribing is not specifically mentioned, although the report does identify that nurses have many responsibilities, including the care of patients with long-term conditions. More recently, the draft workforce strategy describes advanced practice for a number of professions such as nursing and paramedics but does not define what this entails [44]. It also describes podiatry and physiotherapy being potential first contact points for patients with musculoskeletal disorders. Prescribing would support all of these activities but is not explicitly mentioned and it could be perceived that NMP is seen to be so routine and embedded in practice for these professions that it warrants no mention. This compares with the pharmacy situation, where the same document put pharmacist independent prescribing as one of the priority areas to address and describes a project to put advanced pharmacists with prescribing skills into emergency departments. Other reports also make explicit

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

mention of pharmacy prescribing as one of the tools to enhance medicines optimisation practices [41, 42] suggesting that pharmacist prescribing is still not embedded into routine practice. A review of the professional distribution of policy documents supports this supposition concerning NMP becoming routine practice, with the majority involving generic NMP or covering multiple NMP professions (see Error! Reference source not found.). Of the three nursing specific policy documents, two date from before 2010, and the final one from 2017 [25, 28, 43]. Pharmacy alone of the professions is associated with multiple policy documents since 2013; with three by the Royal Pharmaceutical Society and one by each of the Scottish government and NHS England [36-38, 41, 42]. Similar recent policy documents were unable to be identified for any other of the NMP professions, despite in-depth searching. This may reflect the need for pharmacists to develop new roles and skills as the traditional dispensing role diminishes as a consequence of technological advances such as electronic prescribing and robotic dispensing. With medicines central to pharmacy practice, it is appropriate that these roles support medicines optimisation; however, these are not existing roles that a pharmacist can move into, rather they are roles that require creating. The pharmacy orientated policy documents are required to describe to both pharmacists and commissioners how pharmacist prescribing could work in practice. This compares with other healthcare professions, such as physiotherapy, where medicines formed an adjunct to their main practice area allowing roles to be expanded. Pharmacy could also be perceived to be an innately

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

conservative profession, and the policy documents would thus serve to overcome a reluctance to adopt innovative working practices. It is notable that there has been a shift regarding the role that NMP plays in the care of patients. The 2006 document, Medicines Matters, envisaged independent prescribers utilising a comparatively small personal formulary of drugs, which excluded controlled drugs and unlicensed medicines, to treat uncomplicated conditions [24]. Since independent prescribing for nurses and pharmacists was launched, their prescribing rights have been gradually extended to include unlicensed medicines and controlled drugs [46, 82] and more recent documents describe the role NMP has in the care of long-term conditions and complex patients [40, 42]. This is echoed by the changing role of medical staff in patient care. The early implementation guidance described medical staff retaining an overview of patient care, with nurse and pharmacist prescribing intended to improve patients access to medicines [23, 25, 26, 29]. Subsequent consultation processes (podiatry, physiotherapy, radiography and paramedics) have seen a change such that examples given in these documents describe the provision of a complete package of care without the need to involve other healthcare professionals. Indeed, the consequent reduction in costs through reducing appointments is listed as a benefit in the impact assessments [51, 56, 58, 61]. More recently, the Health Education England primary care report envisages that general practitioners will be treating patients with complex conditions, with other healthcare professionals providing routine care [40].

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of the present review include the systematic, iterative approach to identifying relevant documents, using document mapping techniques to identify gaps in the evidence. The dynamic nature of this healthcare area inevitably means that this review provides a snapshot of the situation between 2006 and 2018, which may well be superseded by unanticipated developments. The selected papers relate to the UK and the devolved nations only and this may limit generalisability to other countries. Additionally, although the legislation permits the use of NMP in UK private healthcare, the policy documents concern the use of NMP in the NHS and this may further limit generalisability for alternative healthcare systems.

Despite extensive searches there may well be further policy documents available, such as from the home nations or professional bodies that are not identifiable through a search strategy.

Conclusions

In conclusion it can be seen that this review has revealed that the government approach to NMP has changed over the 12 year period from 2006. Although originally intended as a means of improving patient choice and access to medicines, the emphasis has subtly changed to NMP supporting medical practitioners and reducing costs. Patients are expected to be cared for, and treated by, the most appropriate health care professional such as a physiotherapist for a musculoskeletal problem. This frees medical time, allowing medical practitioners to treat more

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

complex cases. Costs are reduced by streamlining care through reducing multiple appointments with different healthcare professionals, and by using the most appropriately qualified professional. This review has also highlighted the role that NMP now plays in patient care, with prescribing perceived as one skill in the advanced practice armamentarium used to treat and support patients, enabling patients to benefit from receiving a complete package of care from a single healthcare professional. As prescribing has become embedded into day to day practice for the majority of the NMP professions, so the need to highlight prescribing in policy documents has diminished (as seen in the recent workforce development document), just as it is no longer felt necessary to describe in detail advanced practice in these professions. As new models of practice are developed, such as use of physician's associates, so the demand for NMP to expand to other healthcare professional groups continues, with the implication that prescribing is integral to these roles. However, this review has found that while NMP has become embedded into routine practice for many professions, this is not universal. Despite pharmacists having achieved independent prescribing rights in 2006, it would appear from the repeated policy documents describing the need for pharmacist prescribers that it is still not embedded into pharmacists' routine practice. Medicines remain at the core of pharmacy practice through supply and optimisation but, until the new roles become established, prescribing has yet to be perceived as a 'normal' pharmacist activity.

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

This review has also highlighted the impact that a change in government can have, as shown by the gap in policy document publication during the Coalition's review and reorganisation of the NHS, and the delays in legislation concerning controlled drugs. These delays are not inevitable, as shown by the physiotherapist and podiatrist consultations which were conducted during this period. While these findings concern a publicly funded health service in a single country, and may therefore be considered to have limited generalisability, there are messages that may resonate in other settings. These concern the impact of reorganisation on service development and how uptake of a novel skill is adopted by professions. **References** Cumberlege J. Neighbourhood nursing: a focus for care. The Cumberlege 1. Report. London: HMSO1986. 2. Crown J. Review of prescribing, supply and administration of medicines. Final report. London: Department of Health1999. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130105143320/http://www.dh.gov.uk /prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 4077153.pdf. 3. Greengross P, Grant K, Collini E. The History and Development of The UK National Health Service 1948 - 1999. London: DFID Health Systems Resource Centre1999. Available from:

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08d91e5274a31e000192c/Thehistory-and-development-of-the-UK-NHS.pdf. 4. The history of NHS reform: Nuffield Trust; (no date) [cited 4 July 2018]. Available from: http://nhstimeline.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/. 5. Department of Health. The NHS Plan - a plan for investment, a plan for reform. London: The Stationery Office Limited; 2000. Available from: www.dh.gov.uk/prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/@ps/docume nts/digitalasset/dh 118522.pdf. 6. NHS Modernisation Agency and Affiliated Programmes (no date) [cited 20 August 2018]. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publications and statistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/Browsable/DH 4991386. 7. Department of Health. Consultation on proposals to extend nurse prescribing. 2000. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20040803061541/http://www.dh.gov.uk /assetRoot/04/06/82/27/04068227.pdf. 8. Groundbreaking new consultation aims to extend prescribing powers for pharmacists and nurses [Internet]. London; 2002; 16 April 2002 [cited 30 October 2018]. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20051012062254/http://www.dh.gov.uk

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

/PublicationsAndStatistics/PressReleases/PressReleasesNotices/fs/en?CONTENT ID= 4013114&chk=JkprtS 9. Pharmacists to prescribe for the first time nurses will prescribe for chronic illness [Internet]. London; 2002; 21 November 2002 [cited 30 October 2018]. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20051012062249/http://www.dh.gov.uk /PublicationsAndStatistics/PressReleases/PressReleasesNotices/fs/en?CONTENT ID= 4025974&chk=BOhuz1 10. Department of Health. Supplementary Prescribing by Nurses, Pharmacists, Chiropodists/Podiatrists, Physiotherapists and Radiographers within the NHS in England: A guide for implementation. London 2005. Report No.: 4941. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130124065910/http://www.dh.gov.uk /prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 4110033.pdf. 11. Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency. Consultation on options for the future of independent prescribing by extended formulary nurse prescribers. 2005. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20050425224658/http://www.dh.gov.uk /Consultations/LiveConsultations/LiveConsultationsArticle/fs/en?CONTENT ID=4104 057&chk=xNuvDV.

604 Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency. Consultation on 12. 605 proposals to introduce independent prescribing by pharmacists. 2005. Available 606 from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20141008042455/http://www.mhra.gov. 607 608 uk/home/groups/comms-ic/documents/websiteresources/con007684.pdf. 609 13. The National Health Service (Miscellaneous Amendments Relating to 610 Independent Prescribing) Regulations 2006, Stat. 913 (1 May 2006). 611 14. NHS England. Better treatment for patients as advanced paramedics 612 prescribe medicines 2018 [cited 26 April 2018]. Available from: 613 https://www.england.nhs.uk/2018/03/paramedic-prescribing/. 614 15. NHS England. The NHS belongs to the people: A call to action. London 2013. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/nhs-615 belongs.pdf. 616 617 16. NHS England. Five Year Forward View2014 24 October 2014. Available from: 618 https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/5yfv-web.pdf. 619 17. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. 620 Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (

PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews. 2015;4(1):1-9. Epub 2015/01/03.

621

622

doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-4-1.

623 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting 18. 624 Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLOS 625 Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097. Epub 2009/07/22. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097. 626 19. Greenhalgh T, Peacock R. Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in 627 systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources. BMJ. 628 2005;331(7524):1064-5. Epub 2005/10/19. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38636.593461.68. 629 20. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. 630 The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of 631 studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLOS 632 Med. 2009;6(7):e1000100. Epub 2009/07/22. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100. 633 21. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, Petticrew M, Arai L, Rodgers M, et al. Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews. ESRC 634 Methods Programme 2006. 635 636 22. Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, Gerhardus A, Wahlster P, van der Wilt GJ, et 637 al. Guidance on choosing qualitative evidence synthesis methods for use in health 638 technology assessments of complex interventions [online]. 2016. Available from: 639 http://www.integrate-hta.eu/downloads/. 640 23. Department of Health. Improving Patients' Access to Medicines: A Guide to Implementing Nurse and Pharmacist Independent Prescribing within the NHS in 641 England. Leeds 2006. Available from: 642

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http:/www.dh.gov.uk/

643

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 4 133747.pdf. 24. Department of Health. Medicines Matters. A guide to mechanisms for the prescribing, supply and administration of medicines. London 2006. Available from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20091106163742/http://www.dh.gov.u k/dr consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 06 4326.pdf. 25. NHS Scotland. Guidance for Nurse Independent Prescribers and for Community Practitioner Nurse Prescribers in Scotland. Edinburgh 2006. Available from: https://www.gov.scot/Publications/2006/08/23133351/0. 26. Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety. Improving Patients' Access to Medicines: A Guide to Implementing Nurse and Pharmacist Independent Prescribing within the HPSS in Northern Ireland. Belfast2006. Report No.: 104/06. Available from: https://www.publichealth.hscni.net/sites/default/files/directorates/files/Guide%20t o%20implementing%20nurse%20and%20pharmacist%20independent%20prescribin g%20in%20NI 0.pdf. 27. Commission for Healthcare Audit and Inspection. The best medicine: the management of medicines in acute and specialist trusts. London; January 2007 2007. Available from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20081203024742/http://www.healthcar ecommission.org.uk/ db/ documents/The Best Medicine acute trust tagged.pdf.

- 666 28. National Institute for Mental Health in England National Workforce 667 Programme. Mental Health: New Ways of Working for Everyone. Progress Report. London 2007. Available from: 668 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/www.dh.gov.uk/prod consum dh/gro 669 670 ups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 074495.pdf. 671 29. Pharmaceutical Division. Non medical prescribing in Wales - A guide for 672 implementation. Cardiff2007. Available from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20081112234902/http://www.wales.nh 673 674 s.uk/sites3/page.cfm?orgid=371&pid=21001. 675 30. National Institute for Mental Health in England National Workforce 676 Programme. New Ways of Working for Everyone: A best practice implementation 677 guide. London 2007. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130105064321/http://www.dh.gov.uk 678 679 /prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh 680 079106.pdf. 681 31. Primary Care Division. Consultation on A Safe Prescription: Developing Nurse, 682 Midwife and Allied Health Profession (NMAHP) Prescribing in NHS Scotland. Edinburgh 2007. Available from: 683
- 685 32. Department of Health. Pharmacy in England: Building on strengths –

https://www.gov.scot/Publications/2007/11/08120246/0.

684

delivering the future. Norwich: The Stationery Office; 2008. Available from:

- https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pharmacy-in-england-building-on-687 688 strengths-delivering-the-future. 689 33. Marks D. Allied health professions prescribing and medicines supply 690 mechanisms scoping project report. Leeds: Department of Health2009. Report No.: 691 Gateway ref: 12185. Available from: 692 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130107105354/http://www.dh.gov.uk 693 /prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh 103949.pdf. 694 34. NHS Scotland. A safe prescription; Developing nurse, midwife and allied health profession (NMAHP) prescribing in NHS Scotland. Edinburgh 2009. Available 695 696 from: https://www2.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/286359/0087194.pdf. 697 35. Medical Education England. Pharmacist Prescriber Training Working Group Report for the MPC Programme Board. 2010. Available from: 698 https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20160509163634/https://www.hee.nhs 699 700 .uk/sites/default/files/documents/Modernising%20Pharmacy%20Careers%20Progra 701 mme%20Report%202010.pdf. 702 36. The Scottish Government. Prescription for Excellence. Edinburgh 2013. Available from: https://www.gov.scot/resource/0043/00434053.pdf. 703 704 37. Smith J, Picton C, Dayan M. Now or never: shaping pharmacy for the future.
- 705 London: The Royal Pharmaceutical Society; November 2013. Available from:
- 706 https://www.rpharms.com/Portals/0/RPS%20document%20library/Open%20access/
- 707 Publications/Now%20or%20Never%20-%20Report.pdf.

- 708 38. Royal Pharmaceutical Society. Seven Day Services in Hospital Pharmacy:
- 709 Giving patients the care they deserve. London2014. Available from:
- 710 https://www.rpharms.com/Portals/0/RPS%20document%20library/Open%20access/
- 711 Professional%20standards/Professional%20standards%20for%20Hospital%20pharm
- 712 acy/rps-seven-day-report.pdf.
- 713 39. NHS Wales. Our Plan for Primary Care in Wales up to March 2018. 2014.
- 714 Available from:
- 715 http://www.wales.nhs.uk/sitesplus/documents/986/our%20plan%20for%20primary
- 716 <u>%20care%20in%20wales%20up%20to%20march%202018.pdf.</u>
- 717 40. Health Education England. The future of primary care: Creating teams for
- 718 tomorrow. July 2015. Available from:
- 719 https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20Future%20of%20Pri
- 720 mary%20Care%20report.pdf.
- 721 41. NHS England. Transformation of seven day clinical pharmacy services in acute
- hospitals. 5 September 2016 2016. Report No.: Gateway ref: 5764. Available from:
- 723 https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/7ds-clinical-pharmacy-
- 724 acute-hosp.pdf.
- 725 42. Royal Pharmaceutical Society. Improving care for people with Long Term
- 726 Conditions. London; November 2016. Available from:
- 727 https://www.rpharms.com/Portals/0/RPS%20document%20library/Open%20access/
- 728 Policy/LTC%20-%20England.pdf.

- 729 43. Health Education England. The General Practice Nursing Workforce
- 730 Development Plan. March 2017. Available from:
- 731 https://hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20general%20practice%20nu
- 732 rsing%20workforce%20development%20plan.pdf.
- 733 44. Public Health England. Facing the Facts, Shaping the Future: A draft health
- and care workforce strategy for England to 2027. 2017. Available from:
- 735 https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/Facing%20the%20Facts%2C
- 736 %20Shaping%20the%20Future%20-
- 737 %20a%20draft%20health%20and%20care%20workforce%20strategy%20for%20Engl
- 738 and%20to%202027.pdf.
- 739 45. Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency. Consultation on
- 740 proposals to introduce independent prescribing by optometrists. London 2006.
- 741 Available from:
- 742 https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20061016154410/http://www.mhra.go
- 743 <u>v.uk/home/idcplg?IdcService=SS_GET_PAGE&useSecondary=true&ssDocName=CON</u>
- 744 <u>2024332&ssTargetNodeId=373</u>.
- 745 46. Drug Strategy Unit. Public consultation independent prescribing of
- 746 controlled drugs by nurse and pharmacist independent prescribers. London 2007.
- 747 Available from:
- 748 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20070905223650/http://www.homeoffic
- 749 e.gov.uk/documents/cons-2007-indpres?view=Binary.

- 750 47. Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency. Public consultation
- 751 (MLX 334): Proposals to introduce independent prescribing by optometrists -
- outcome London 2008 [cited 28 February 2018]. Available from:
- 753 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130515160823/http://www.mhra.gov.
- 754 <u>uk/Publications/Consultations/Medicinesconsultations/MLXs/CON2024332?ssSourc</u>
- 755 eNodeld=387.
- 756 48. Crowther N. Proposals to introduce prescribing responsibilities for
- 757 paramedics: stakeholder engagement. London 2010. Available from:
- 758 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130105055008/http://www.dh.gov.uk
- 759 /en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_1143
- 760 55.
- 761 49. Department of Health. Engagement exercise: To seek views on possibilities
- 762 for introducing independent prescribing responsibilities for podiatrists. Leeds 2010.
- 763 Available from:
- 764 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac
- 765 <u>hment_data/file/216033/dh_119374.pdf</u>.
- 766 50. Department of Health. Engagement exercise: To seek views on possibilities
- 767 for introducing independent prescribing responsibilities for physiotherapists. Leeds
- 768 2010. Available from:
- 769 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac
- 770 hment data/file/216032/dh 119375.pdf.

771 Department of Health. Proposals to introduce independent prescribing by 51. 772 podiatrists: impact assessment. 2011. Available from: 773 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac 774 hment data/file/213480/DH-1019-Proposals-to-introduce-independent-prescribing-775 by-podiatrists.pdf. 776 52. Department of Health. Consultation on proposals to introduce independent 777 prescribing by podiatrists. Leeds 2011. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130104224853/http://www.dh.gov.uk 778 779 /prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/documents/digitalasset/dh 131019.pdf. 780 53. Department of Health. Consultation on proposals to introduce independent 781 prescribing by physiotherapists. Leeds 2011. Available from: https://consultations.dh.gov.uk/cno-ahp/prescribingmedicines/user_uploads/ip-782 783 consultation-doc-physios.pdf. 784 54. Commission on Human Medicines. Summary of the Commission on Human 785 Medicines meeting held on Thursday 17th & Friday 18th May 2012. 2012. Available 786 from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121105181741/http://www.mhra.go 787 788 v.uk/home/groups/l-cs-el/documents/committeedocument/con172304.pdf. 789 55. Department of Health. Summary of Public Consultation on Proposals to 790 Introduce Independent Prescribing by Physiotherapists. Leeds 2012. Available from:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/21

792 6881/Physiotherapist-Consultation-Summary.pdf.

791

793 Department of Health. Proposals to introduce independent prescribing by 56. 794 physiotherapists: impact assessment. 2012. Available from: 795 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac 796 hment data/file/213478/DH-1018-Proposals-to-introduce-independent-prescribing-797 by-physiotherapists1.pdf. 798 57. Department of Health. Summary of Public Consultation on Proposals to 799 Introduce Independent Prescribing by Podiatrists. Leeds 2012 Available from: 800 https://consultations.dh.gov.uk/cno-ahp/podiatrists-prescribing/results/2900265---801 podiatrist-consultation-summary-v1-1-200712.pdf. 802 58. NHS England. Independent prescribing by radiographers: Impact Assessment. 803 2015. Available from: https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/independent-prescribing-804 805 radiographers/user uploads/consult-stag-impct-assmnt-radiographer.pdf. 806 59. Allied Health Professions Medicines Project Team. Consultation on proposals 807 to introduce independent prescribing by radiographers across the United Kingdom. 808 2015. Available from: https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/independent-prescribing-809 810 radiographers/user uploads/consult-indepndnt-prescrib-radiographers.pdf. 811 60. Allied Health Professions Medicines Project Team. Consultation on proposals 812 to introduce independent prescribing by paramedics across the United Kingdom. Leeds 2015. Available from: 813

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/independent-prescribingparamedics/user uploads/consult-indpndnt-prescrbng-paramedics.pdf. 61. NHS England. Proposal to introduce independent prescribing by paramedics: impact assessment. 2015. Available from: https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/independent-prescribingparamedics/user uploads/consult-stag-impct-assmnt-paramedics.pdf. 62. Commission on Human Medicines. Summary of The Commission on Human Medicines Meeting held on Thursday 15 October and Friday 16 October 2015. 2015. Available from: https://app.box.com/s/jv487awvqzzsrdql0o34h9gg350ceyd4/1/3477158784/474245 <u>19277/1</u>. 63. NHS England. Independent prescribing by therapeutic radiographers. 2016. Available from: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukia/2016/38/pdfs/ukia 20160038 en.pdf. 64. Allied Health Professions Medicines Project Team. Summary of the responses to the public consultation on proposals to introduce independent prescribing by paramedics across the United Kingdom. Leeds 2016. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Paramedics-summaryconsult-responses.pdf. 65. Allied Health Professions Medicines Project Team. Summary of the responses to the public consultation on proposals to introduce independent prescribing by

- radiographers across the United Kingdom. Leeds 2016. Available from:
- 836 https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/radiographers-
- 837 <u>summary-consult-responses.pdf.</u>
- 838 66. Commission on Human Medicines. Summary of the Commission on Human
- 839 Medicines meeting held on Thursday 7th September 2017. 2017. Available from:
- 840 https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/downloads/CHM_7_Sept_2017_-
- 841 Final Summary Minutes.pdf.
- 842 67. The Medicines for Human Use (Prescribing) (Miscellaneous Amendments)
- 843 Order 2006, Stat. 915 (1 May 2006).
- 844 68. NHS Wales. A Planned Primary Care Workforce for Wales: Approach and
- development actions to be taken in support of the plan for a primary care service in
- Wales up to 2018. 2015. Available from:
- 847 https://gov.wales/docs/dhss/publications/151106plannedprimarycareen.pdf.
- 848 69. The Medicines for Human Use (Prescribing) (Miscellaneous Amendments)
- 849 Order 2008, Stat. 1161 (4 June 2008).
- 850 70. The Misuse of Drugs (Amendment No. 2) (England, Wales and Scotland)
- 851 Regulations 2012, Stat. 973 (23 April 2012).
- 71. The Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2012, (10
- 853 May 2012).

- 854 72. Department of Health. Taking Healthcare to the Patient: Transforming NHS
- 855 Ambulance Services. London 2005. Available from:
- 856 https://www.nwas.nhs.uk/media/79142/taking healthcare to the patient 1.pdf.
- 857 73. NHS England. High quality care for all, now and for future generations:
- 858 Transforming urgent and emergency care services in England Urgent and
- 859 Emergency Care Review End of Phase 1 Report. Leeds 2013. Available from:
- 860 https://www.nhs.uk/NHSEngland/keogh-
- 861 review/Documents/UECR.Ph1Report.FV.pdf.
- 862 74. Independent Prescribing by Paramedics to be Recommended for
- 863 Implementation 2017 [cited 28 February 2018]. Available from:
- 864 https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/news/independent-prescribing-by-
- paramedics-to-be-recommended-for-implementation.
- 866 75. Department of Health. Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS. London:
- 867 HMSO; 2010. Available from:
- 868 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac
- 869 hment data/file/213823/dh 117794.pdf.
- 870 76. Health and Social Care Act 2012 (c 7).
- 871 77. H M Treasury. Budget 2010. London: The Stationery Office; 2010. Available
- 872 from:
- 873 http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121003045351/http://www.direct.gov

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

.uk/prod consum dg/groups/dg digitalassets/@dg/@en/documents/digitalasset/d g 188581.pdf. 78. The 2008 recession 10 years on: Office for National Statistics; 2018 [cited 12 October 2018]. A decade after the beginning of the recession, how has the UK economy recovered?]. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/grossdomesticproductgdp/articles/the2008reces sion10yearson/2018-04-30. 79. Stoye G. Does the NHS need more money and how could we pay for it?: The Health Foundation, the Institute for Fiscal Studies, The King's Fund and the Nuffield Trust2018. Available from: https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/sites/default/files/2018- 06/NHS at 70 does the NHS need more money.pdf. 80. Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs. Annual Report: Accounting Year 2007 - 2008. London, Home Office 2008. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac hment data/file/119127/annualreport.pdf. 81. The Health Foundation. Staffing matters; funding counts. London 2016. Available from: http://www.health.org.uk/sites/health/files/StaffingMattersFundingCounts.pdf. 82. Commission on Human Medicines. Outcome of the consultation exercise on proposals for regularising the position of those mixing and administering medicines in palliative care. 2008. Available from:

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20141206164734/http://www.mhra.gov.
uk/home/groups/es-policy/documents/publication/con051813.pdf.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. PRISMA checklist

S2 Appendix. HMIC (Ovid) search strategy

S1 Protocol. PROSPERO record





