- 1 '%svy logistic regression: A generic SAS® macro for simple and multiple logistic regression and
- 2 creating quality publication-ready tables using survey or non-survey data
- 3 **Authors:** Jacques Muthusi <sup>1\*</sup>, Samuel Mwalili <sup>1</sup>, Peter Young <sup>1</sup>
- 4 Author affiliations:
- 5 Division of Global HIV and Tuberculosis, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Nairobi,
- 6 Kenya
- 7 \* Corresponding author:
- 8 Email address: mwj6@cdc.gov (JM)
- 9 **Author Contribution**
- 10 JM and SM took part in concept development. JM developed and documented the SAS macro, and
- prepared the final manuscript. SM tested and debugged the SAS macro. PY helped define user
- requirements and tested the SAS macro. All authors read and approved of the final manuscript for
- 13 publication.
- 14 **Keywords**: SAS macro, odds ratio, logistic regression, nutrition survey, data reporting, reproducible
- 15 research

16 **Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **Abstract**

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

**Introduction:** Reproducible research is increasingly gaining interest in the research community. Automating the production of research manuscript tables from statistical software can help increase the reproducibility of findings. Logistic regression is used in studying disease prevalence and associated factors in epidemiological studies and can be easily performed using widely available software including SAS, SUDAAN, Stata or R. However, output from these software must be processed further to make it readily presentable. There exists a number of procedures developed to organize regression output, though many of them suffer limitations of flexibility, complexity, lack of validation checks for input parameters, as well as inability to incorporate survey design. **Methods:** We developed a SAS macro, "svv logistic regression, for fitting simple and multiple logistic regression models. The macro also creates quality publication-ready tables using survey or nonsurvey data which aims to increase transparency of data analyses. It further significantly reduces turnaround time for conducting analysis and preparing output tables while also addressing the limitations of existing procedures. **Results**: We demonstrate the use of the macro in the analysis of the 2013-2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a complex survey designed to assess the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the United States. The output presented here is directly from the macro and is consistent with how regression results are often presented in the epidemiological and biomedical literature, with unadjusted and adjusted model results presented side by side.

37 **Conclusions:** The SAS code presented in this macro is comprehensive, easy to follow, manipulate and

to extend to other areas of interest. It can also be incorporated quickly by the statistician for immediate

use. It is an especially valuable tool for generating quality, easy to review tables which can be incorporated

directly in a publication.

38

39

# Introduction

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

The principles of reproducible research are increasingly gaining interest both in the research community (1-5) and in the popular imagination as a result of high-profile failures to reproduce results. While funders and journals are increasingly requiring both publications and their supporting data be made publicly available with few exceptions (6-8) there has been less focus on the reproducibility of the analysis process itself. Reproducible research refers to increasing the transparency of the research endeavor by making the initial data, detailed analysis steps, and tools available to allow others to reproduce ones' findings. Peng and Leek refer to increasing reproducibility as a tool to reduce the time required to uncover errors in analysis (9). One important link in the reproducible research value chain is eliminating manual reformatting of results from statistical software into draft manuscript tables. In most epidemiological studies, one of the main outcomes of interest is disease prevalence – i.e. the proportion of all study subjects with a disease. Researchers are often interested in the probability or odds of subjects having a disease as well as associated predictive factors. These factors can be categorical (such as gender), ordinal (for example age categories), or continuous (for instance duration on treatment). The measures of association are often presented as crude (unadjusted) odds ratios from simple logistic regression or they can be presented as adjusted odds ratios from multiple logistic regression. In scientific reports from observational epidemiological studies it is common to combine the results from multiple statistical models and present the odds ratios side by side in complex tables showing the association between multiple covariates with the outcome of interest, both unadjusted and adjusted. Logistic regression models can be fitted easily using available standard statistical analysis software such as SAS, SUDAAN, Stata or R, among others, and have been extended to handle weights and/or specialized

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

variance estimation to account for complex survey designs. However, output from these software is not formatted for use directly in a publication and must be re-organized in order to make it more presentable based on the cultural norms of the biomedical literature or the specific requirements of the scientific journal (10, 11). Most epidemiological publications present regression tables showing odds ratios estimates and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals and/or p-values. They further enrich the output by including frequencies and proportion of study subjects who experienced the outcome of interest. Results from simple and multiple regression can also be presented side-by-side in one table. Some examples of publications which adopt this convention of presenting regression results are provided in the references (12-15). In order to accomplish this, one has to manually copy different parts of output into a template. This is both time-consuming and potentially prone to errors when revisions to the analysis are required. A number of programs have been developed to facilitate conversion of regression output from statistical analysis software into formatted tables for publications. In Stata, several programs including esttab (16, 17), reformat (18), outreg2 (19) are useful in formatting regression output. In R such packages as stargazer (20), broom (21), flextable (22) have also being found helpful. Though they are useful to statisticians they suffer from numerous limitations. For instance, they cannot automatically combine results from several simple logistic regression into a single table. It is also not possible to combine results from simple and multiple logistic regression into one output table. They are also not fully generic in that one has to explicitly specify variable labels and levels of categorical variables instead of extracting these from metadata. Further manipulation of output, for example, concatenating odds ratios and the corresponding 95% confidence interval into one column cell, has to be done manually which increases the risk of typographical errors in the output table. In SAS software, logistic regression models can be fitted using the LOGISTIC, GENMOD and SURVEYLOGISTIC procedures (23), though output from these

procedures must be formatted further to make it presentable. SAS provides a flexible and powerful macro language that can be utilized to create and populate numerous table templates for presenting regression results. However, limited programming work has being done in SAS to date. There are several macros including \*\*stable1\* (24), \*\*stable\* (25) and \*\*stable1\* (26) which have been developed to assist in processing the output from regression procedures, but they are largely limited in terms of flexibility, lack of support for complex survey designs, or are unable to incorporate both categorical and continuous variables in one macro call. For instance, the macro, \*\*stable1\*, presents variable names instead of the more meaningful variable labels. The other macros, \*\*logistic\_table\*, and \*\*SuniLogistic\*, produce output from simple logistic regression but not from multiple logistic regression. Also the \*\*UniLogistic\* macro does not accommodate survey design parameters. Furthermore, these macros lack validation checks for input parameters and also do not export the output into word processing and spreadsheet programs for ease of incorporating into a publication.

# **Methods**

Recognizing the limitations of existing tools, we have designed a SAS macro, <code>%svy\_logistic\_regression</code>, to help overcome these shortcomings while supporting the principles of reproducible research. The macro specifically organizes output from SAS procedures and formats it into quality publication epidemiologic tables containing regression results. We describe the macro functionality and provide an example analysis of a publicly-available dataset and provide access to the source code for the macro to allow others to use and extend it to support their own reproducible research.

Our developed SAS macro allows for both simple and multiple logistic regression analysis. Moreover, this SAS macro combines the results from simple and multiple logistic regression analysis into a single

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

quality publication-ready table. The layout of the resulting table is consistent with how models are often presented in the epidemiological and biomedical literature, with unadjusted and adjusted model results presented side by side. The macro, written in SAS software version 9.3 (27), runs logistic regression analysis in a sequential and interactive manner starting with simple logistic regression models followed by multiple logistic regression models using SAS PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC procedure. Frequencies and totals are obtained using PROC SURVEYMEANS and PROC SURVEYFREQ procedures. The final output is then processed using PROC TEMPLATE, PROC REPORT procedures and the output delivery system (ODS). The macro is made up of six sub-macros. The first sub-macro, "svy unilogit, fine-tunes the dataset by applying the conditional statements, and computing the analysis domain size, thus preparing a final analysis dataset. It also prepares the class variables and associated reference categories. It calls the second and third sub-macros, "svy logitc and "svy logitn, to perform separate simple (survey) logistic regression model on each categorical or continuous predictor variable respectively. It further processes results outputs into one table. The fourth sub-macro, %svy multilogit, performs multiple (survey) logistic regression on selected categorical and continuous predictor variables and processes result outputs into one table. The fifth sub-macro, "svy printlogit, combines results from "svy unilogit and "svy multilogit sub-macros and processes the output into an easy to review table which is exported into Microsoft word processing and excel spreadsheet programs. In addition, where survey design variables have been specified the macro automatically incorporates them into the computation. The sixth sub-macro, **%runguit**, is executed after each SAS procedure or DATA step, to enforce in-build SAS validation checks on the input parameters. These include but not limited to checking if the specified dataset exists, ensuring required variables are specified, and verifying that values for reference categories for outcome, domain

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

and categorical variables exist and are valid, as well as checking for logical errors. Once an error is encountered, the macro stops further execution and prints the error message on the log for the user to address it. The macro is generic in that it can be used to analyze any dataset intended to fit a logistic regression model from survey or non-survey settings. It accepts both categorical and continuous predictor variables. Where survey data are used, it allows one to specify design-specific variables such as strata, clusters or weights. Domain analysis for sub-population estimation is also provided for by the macro. Ignoring domain analysis and instead performing a subset analysis will lead to incorrect standard errors. For non-survey settings, the survey input parameters like weights and cluster are set to a default value of 1. The macro also allows the user to explicitly specify the level or category of the binary outcome variable to model as well as reference categories for categorical predictor variables. Further, it runs sequentially by first producing results from simple logistic regression from which the user can select predictor variables to include into the multiple logistic regression, then combine the results of multiple models into a single table. Apart from including only significant predictor variables, based on global/type3 p-values, the user can also choose to include any other variables deemed important by subject matter experts. This flexibility allows for specification of such variables as confounders or effect modifiers even when they are not statistically significant in the simple logistic model. The final output is then processed into a quality publication-ready table and exported into word processing and spreadsheet programs for use in the publication, or if needed, for further hand editing by the authors. The user must provide input parameters which are specified in Table 1. Unless stated (optional), the other parameters must be provided so that the macro can execute successfully. The *outevent* parameter and reference categories for class variables are case sensitive and must be specified in the case they appear in

the data dictionary. All other parameters are mainly dataset variables and may be specified in any case. We use lower case for this demonstration. Validation checks enforce these requirements, simplifying debugging errors in macro invocation. The statistician only interacts with sub-macros 1, 4 and 5 by providing input parameters. If a permanent SAS dataset is to be analyzed, the LIBNAME statement can be used to indicate the path or folder where the dataset is located.

# Table 1: Input parameters for %svy\_unilogit, %svy\_multilogit and %svy\_printlogit macros

parameter	Description				
%svy_unilogit and %svy_multilogit macros					
dataset	name of input dataset				
outcome	name of dependent binary variable of interest e.g., hiv_status				
outevent	value label of outcome variable (without quotation) to model e.g.,				
	Positive, in the case of modeling Hepatitis A risk factors				
catvars	list of categorical variables (nominal or ordinal) separated by space				
class	class statement for categorical predictor variables specifying the				
	baseline (reference) category				
contvars	list of continuous variables separated by space				
condition	(optional) any conditional statements to create and or fine-tune the final				
	analysis dataset specified using one IF statement				
strata	(optional) survey stratification variable				
cluster	(optional) survey clustering variable				
weight	(optional) survey weighting variable				
domain	(optional) domain variable for sub-population analysis				
print	variable for displaying/suppressing the output table on the output				
	window which takes the values (NO=suppress output, YES=show				
	output)				
%svysvy_printlogit macro					
tablename	short name of output table				
tabletitle	title of output table				
outcome & outevent	same as defined in %svy_unilogit and %svy_multilogit macros				
outdir	directory for saving output files				
%runquit macro					
syserr	SAS in-build macro variable that checks presence of any system errors				

# Results

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

**Example: Analysis of NHANES dataset** 

We demonstrate the use of our macro in the analysis of the 2013-2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a suite of complex surveys designed to assess the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the United States (U.S.). In brief, the main objectives of the survey were to estimate and monitor trends in prevalence of selected diseases, risk behaviors and environmental exposures among targeted populations, to explore emerging public health issues, and to provide baseline health characteristics for other administrative use (28). NHANES used a four-stage, stratified sampling design, where counties were selected as primary sampling units (PSUs) using probability proportionate to size (PPS) in the first stage. The second stage involved selecting sections of counties that consisted of a block containing a cluster of households with approximately equal sample sizes per PSU. Dwelling units including households were then selected in the third stage with approximately equal selection probabilities. Individuals within a household were selected in the fourth stage. Stratification was done based on selected demographics characteristics of PSUs. Survey weights were then computed using the various sampling probabilities to account for the complex survey design. The data files are freely available to the public on the NHANES website at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Index.htm. See (29) for more details regarding the NHANES survey design and contents. The dataset (clean nhanes) used in this example includes participants' socio-demographic characteristics including riagendr (Gender), ridageyr (Age in years at screening), ridreth1, (Race/Hispanic origin), dmgadfc (Service in a foreign country), dmdeduc2 (Education level among adults aged 20+ years), and dmdmartl (Marital status). The binary outcome variable is lbxha (Hepatitis A antibody test result). The aim of the analysis is to investigate factors associated with a positive test for Hepatitis A antibody among participants aged 20+ years who have served active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces (dmqmiliz). Appropriate survey weights wtmec2yr (sample weights for participants with a medical examination) were applied. The macros were run with user-defined parameters. The user should explicitly specify the reference category for factor variables and for the binary outcome, as shown in Figure 1.

#### Figure 1: Sample %svy logistic regression macro call

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

```
%let dir = C:/NHANES/SAS;
* call svy logistic regression macro;
option mlogic mprint symbolgen;
* initialize data and outcome variable;
%let dataset = clean nhanes;
%let outcome = lbxha;
%let outevent = Positive;
* define simple logistic regression model input parameters;
%let classvarb = riagendr(ref="Male") ridageyrcat2 (ref=">= 60") ridreth1
(ref="Non-Hispanic White") dmqadfc (ref="No") dmdeduc2 (ref="Some college
or AA degree") dmdmartl (ref="Divorced");
%let catvarsb = riagendr ridageyrcat2 ridreth1 dmqadfc dmdeduc2 dmdmartl;
%let contvarsb = ridageyr;
* fit simple logistic regression model;
%svy unilogit(dataset = &dataset.,
             outcome = &outcome.,
             outevent = &outevent.,
             catvars = &catvarsb.,
             contvars = &contvarsb.,
                       = &classvarb.,
             class
             weight
                      = wtmec2yr,
             cluster = sdmvpsu,
                       = sdmvstra,
             strata
                       = dmamiliz,
             domain
             domvalue = 1,
             condition = if ridageyr>=20,
             print
                       = YES);
```

```
* define parameters for selected predictor variables;
%let classvarm = riagendr(ref="Male") ridageyrcat2 (ref=">= 60") ridreth1
(ref="Non-Hispanic White") dmqadfc (ref="No") dmdmartl (ref="Divorced");
%let catvarsm = riagendr ridageyrcat2 ridreth1 dmgadfc dmdmartl;
%let contvarsm =;
* fit multiple logistic regression model;
%svy multilogit (dataset = &dataset.,
                outcome
                          = &outcome.,
                outevent = &outevent.,
                catvars = &catvarsm.,
                contvars = &contvarsm.,
                class
                         = &classvarm.,
                weight
                         = wtmec2yr,
                cluster = sdmvpsu,
                strata = sdmvstra,
                domain = dmqmiliz,
                domvalue = 1,
                condition = if ridageyr>=20,
                print
                          = YES);
* output final table;
%svy printlogit(tablename = logit table,
               outcome = &outcome.,
               outevent
                          = &outevent.,
                outdir
                          = &dir./output/tables,
               tabletitle = Table 2: Factors associated with Hepatitis A
prevalence among participants who served in the US Armed Forces - NHANES
2013-2014);
```

The complete SAS output consists of several tables, the majority of which are auxiliary and are used to help in processing the output. Two important output tables are the simple and the multiple logistic regression tables. The simple logistic regression table shows result of bivariate regression as shown in Table 2.

186

187

188

189

190

# Table 2: Output of simple logistic regression model results from %svy\_unilogit macro

Factor	N@	Freq&	OR CI <sup>\$</sup>	p value <sup>α</sup>	g p value <sup>β</sup>
Gender			_		
Male	473	205 (37.7)	ref		
Female	35	15 (39.7)	1.1 (0.4-2.7)	0.86	0.86
Total	508	220 (37.9)			
Age in years at screening					
>= 60	322	131 (30.7)	ref		
20-39	51	39 (83.0)	11.0 (5.4-22.2)	<.01	<.01
40-59	135	50 (31.2)	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	0.93	
Total	508	220 (37.9)			
Race/Hispanic origin					
Non-Hispanic White	307	114 (34.1)	ref		
Mexican American	23	14 (67.1)	3.9 (1.3-12.3)	0.02	<.001
Non-Hispanic Black	126	59 (46.1)	1.7 (1.2-2.4)	0.01	
Other Hispanic	26	17 (64.3)	3.5 (1.0-11.8)	0.05	
Other Race	26	16 (52.3)	2.1 (0.7-6.8)	0.21	
Total	508	220 (37.9)			
Served in a foreign country					
No	243	86 (27.4)	ref		
Yes	264	134 (48.6)	2.5 (1.4-4.5)	<.01	<.01
Total	507	220 (38.1)			
Education level					
Some college or AA degree	193	92 (41.8)	ref		
9-11th grade	37	16 (33.4)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.27	0.30
College graduate or above	147	51 (32.0)	0.7 (0.4-1.1)	0.09	
High school graduate	122	92 (47.7)	1.0 (0.6-1.5)	0.88	
Less than 9th grade	9	5 (42.6)	1.0 (0.2-4.5)	0.97	
Total	508	220 (37.9)			
Marital status					
Divorced	75	30 (29.4)	ref		
Living with partner	17	9 (60.2)	3.6 (1.1-11.7)	0.03	0.03
Married	311	130 (36.3)	1.4 (0.8-2.3)	0.23	
Never married	48	21 (51.4)	2.5 (1.1-6.1)	0.04	
Separated	11	5 (27.3)	0.9 (0.3-2.6)	0.85	
Widowed	46	25 (44.0)	1.9 (0.9-4.1)	0.11	
Total	508	220 (37.9)			
Age in years at screening	508	220 (37.9)	1.0 (1.0-1.0)	<.01	<.01

<sup>193</sup>  $\overline{@}$  = Total number of observations

<sup>194 &</sup>amp;= Frequency of sample cases (and weighted row percentages)

<sup>195 \$ =</sup> Weighted Odds Ratio (95% confidence interval)

<sup>196</sup>  $\alpha$  = Class level p-value

### $\beta = Global/Type \ 3 \ p$ -value

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

The table consists of six variables, namely: Factor (risk factor variable), N (total frequency of observations), Freq (frequency of sample cases and corresponding weighted row percentages), OR CI (weighted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval) p value (class level p-value), g p value (global/type3 p-value). Typically the analyst/researcher selects statistically-important risk factors based on the global/type3 p-values. From this example, all risk factors except gender and education level were statistically significant. However, based on epidemiological considerations, gender and age are often treated as potential confounder variables. Thus they are included in the multiple logistic regression model regardless of statistical significance. Another important aspect to pick from Table 2 is the frequency columns which show the sample size for each factor and each level of the factor. In this example the expected total measurements for each factor was n=508 out of which 220 (37.8%) tested positive for Hepatitis A antibody. All other factors except service in a foreign country (n=507) had complete information available. The importance of this is to ensure that factors with substantive proportion of complete information are selected for inclusion in the multiple logistic regression model. In addition, the row percentages provide guidance on the choice of reference category of factor variables. However, for ordinal factors it is often advisable to use the lowest or highest category as reference, depending on the outcome of interest. After selecting all important variables, the *%svy multilogit* macro is then executed. The *%svy printlogit* macro automatically processes the output into a quality easy to review output as shown in Table 3.

# Table 3: Quality publication-ready output from the *%svy\_printlogit* macro combining results from *%svy\_unilogit* and *%svy\_multilogit* macros

217

Characteristic		Hepatitis A antibody <sup>6</sup>		Unadjusted			Adjusted			
Characteristic   Total*   Positive   (N*)*   Positive   (N*)*   Positive   N*   N*   N*   N*   N*   N*   N*   N				o naujusteu			Tujustea			
Commonstrate   Comm			v	odds ratios			odds ratios			
Gender         No.         Provalue         Provalue           Gender         205 (43.3)         ref         205 (43.3)         ref         205 (43.3)         1.1 (0.4-2.7)         0.86 (0.86 (0.86 (0.00))         1.0 (0.3-3.7)         1.00 (0.00) <t< th=""><th>Characteristic</th><th>Total¥</th><th><b>Positive</b><sup>£</sup></th><th>OR <sup>ξ</sup> (95% CI)</th><th colspan="2"></th><th>OR (95% CI)</th><th>p-</th><th>Type3</th></t<>	Characteristic	Total¥	<b>Positive</b> <sup>£</sup>	OR <sup>ξ</sup> (95% CI)			OR (95% CI)	p-	Type3	
Gender         Addition         Value         value         value           Gender         473         205 (43.3)         ref         50.8         1.0 (0.3-3.7)         1.00         1.00           Female         35         15 (42.9)         1.1 (0.4-2.7)         0.86         0.86         1.0 (0.3-3.7)         1.00         1.00           Total         508         220 (43.3)         7.2				\$	valueα			value		
Gender         473         205 (43.3)         ref         6         6         6         7         7         1.00         1.00           Female         35         15 (42.9)         1.1 (0.4-2.7)         0.86         0.86         1.0 (0.3-3.7)         1.00         1.00           Total         508         220 (43.3)         7         1.00			n (%)&			p-			p-	
Male         473         205 (43.3)         ref <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>value</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>value</th>						value			value	
Female         35         15 (42.9)         1.1 (0.4-2.7)         0.86         0.86         1.0 (0.3-3.7)         1.00         1.00           Total         508         220 (43.3)	Gender									
Total         508         220 (43.3)         Image: Control of the part of t	Male		205 (43.3)	ref						
Age in years at screening         servening	Female	35	15 (42.9)	1.1 (0.4-2.7)	0.86	0.86	1.0 (0.3-3.7)	1.00	1.00	
Sercening   Serc	Total	508	220 (43.3)							
>= 60         322         131 (40.7)         ref         Column (40.59)         Column (50.4)         Column	Age in years at									
20-39	screening									
A0-59	>= 60	322	131 (40.7)	ref						
Total         508         220 (43.3)         Image: Company of the part of t	20-39	51	39 (76.5)	11.0 (5.4-22.2)	<.01	<.01	13.8 (5.6-34.0)	<.01	<.01	
Race/Hispanic origin         307         114 (37.1)         ref         308         309         114 (37.1)         ref         309         309         114 (37.1)         ref         309         309         114 (37.1)         ref         309         309         100         300<	40-59	135	50 (37)	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	0.93		1.3 (0.6-2.8)	0.54		
Non-Hispanic White         307         114 (37.1)         ref         Column 1         Column 2	Total	508	220 (43.3)							
Non-Hispanic White         307         114 (37.1)         ref         Column 1         Column 2	Race/Hispanic origin									
Mexican American         23         14 (60.9)         3.9 (1.3-12.3)         0.02         <.01         3.4 (1.1-10.4)         0.03         0.01           Non-Hispanic Black         126         59 (46.8)         1.7 (1.2-2.4)         0.01         1.9 (1.1-3.2)         0.02           Other Hispanic         26         17 (65.4)         3.5 (1.0-11.8)         0.05         3.4 (0.6-18.6)         0.16           Other Race         26         16 (61.5)         2.1 (0.7-6.8)         0.21         1.4 (0.3-6.9)         0.65           Total         508         220 (43.3)               Served in a foreign country                  Yes         264         134 (50.8)         2.5 (1.4-4.5)         <.01		307	114 (37.1)	ref						
Non-Hispanic Black         126         59 (46.8)         1.7 (1.2-2.4)         0.01         1.9 (1.1-3.2)         0.02           Other Hispanic         26         17 (65.4)         3.5 (1.0-11.8)         0.05         3.4 (0.6-18.6)         0.16           Other Race         26         16 (61.5)         2.1 (0.7-6.8)         0.21         1.4 (0.3-6.9)         0.65           Total         508         220 (43.3)		23		3.9 (1.3-12.3)	0.02	<.01	3.4 (1.1-10.4)	0.03	0.01	
Other Hispanic         26         17 (65.4)         3.5 (1.0-11.8)         0.05         3.4 (0.6-18.6)         0.16           Other Race         26         16 (61.5)         2.1 (0.7-6.8)         0.21         1.4 (0.3-6.9)         0.65           Total         508         220 (43.3)               Served in a foreign country                  No         243         86 (35.4)         ref		-	`					<del></del>		
Other Race         26         16 (61.5)         2.1 (0.7-6.8)         0.21         1.4 (0.3-6.9)         0.65           Total         508         220 (43.3)               Served in a foreign country                   No         243         86 (35.4)         ref   <		1						0.16		
Total         508         220 (43.3)         Image: Control of the property	-						`			
Served in a foreign country         243         86 (35.4)         ref         50         60		+					/			
country         No         243         86 (35.4)         ref         Country         Country </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>- ( )</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			- ( )							
No         243         86 (35.4)         ref         Col         Co	_									
Yes         264         134 (50.8)         2.5 (1.4-4.5)         <.01         <.01         3.1 (1.9-5.0)         <.01         <.01           Total         507         220 (43.4) </td <td></td> <td>243</td> <td>86 (35.4)</td> <td>ref</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		243	86 (35.4)	ref						
Total         507         220 (43.4)         Image: Control of the processing of the pro					<.01	<.01	3.1 (1.9-5.0)	<.01	<.01	
Education level         193         92 (47.7)         ref         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.27         0.30         0.27 <td></td> <td>+</td> <td>`</td> <td></td> <td>,,,,</td> <td>100</td> <td>(213 (213)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		+	`		,,,,	100	(213 (213)			
Some college or AA degree         193         92 (47.7)         ref         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.30         0.27         0.30         0.30         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.27         0.02           10 college graduate or above         122         56 (45.9)         1.0 (0.6-1.5)         0.88         0.88         0.88         0.97 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
degree         37         16 (43.2)         0.7 (0.4-1.3)         0.27         0.30           College graduate or above         147         51 (34.7)         0.7 (0.4-1.1)         0.09           High school graduate         122         56 (45.9)         1.0 (0.6-1.5)         0.88           Less than 9th grade         9         5 (55.6)         1.0 (0.2-4.5)         0.97           Total         508         220 (43.3)		193	92 (47.7)	ref						
9-11th grade 37 16 (43.2) 0.7 (0.4-1.3) 0.27 0.30	<u> </u>	1,55		101						
College graduate or above         147         51 (34.7)         0.7 (0.4-1.1)         0.09           High school graduate         122         56 (45.9)         1.0 (0.6-1.5)         0.88           Less than 9th grade         9         5 (55.6)         1.0 (0.2-4.5)         0.97           Total         508         220 (43.3)		37	16 (43.2)	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.27	0.30				
above         Image: second secon		<del> </del>				0.50				
High school graduate         122         56 (45.9)         1.0 (0.6-1.5)         0.88		1 . ,		0.7 (0.1 1.1)	0.05					
Less than 9th grade       9       5 (55.6)       1.0 (0.2-4.5)       0.97		122	56 (45 9)	10(06-15)	0.88					
Total         508         220 (43.3)         Second of the content of the co		+								
Marital status         75         30 (40.0)         ref         8         8         9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.0 (0.2 1.0)</td> <td>0.77</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				1.0 (0.2 1.0)	0.77					
Divorced         75         30 (40.0)         ref		200	(13.3)							
Living with partner 17 9 (52.9) 3.6 (1.1-11.7) 0.03 0.03 1.8 (0.6-5.1) 0.27 0.02		75	30 (40 0)	ref						
					0.03	0.03	18(06-51)	0.27	0.02	
Married 311 130 (41.8) 1.4 (0.8-2.3) 0.23 1.6 (1.0-2.4) 0.06						0.03		1	0.02	

Never married	48	21 (43.8)	2.5 (1.1-6.1)	0.04		1.5 (0.9-2.7)	0.13	
Separated	11	5 (45.5)	0.9 (0.3-2.6)	0.85		0.9 (0.3-2.9)	0.88	
Widowed	46	25 (54.3)	1.9 (0.9-4.1)	0.11		3.2 (1.5-6.7)	<.01	
Total	508	220 (43.3)						
Age in years at	508	220 (43.3)	1.0 (1.0-1.0)	<.01	<.01			
screening								

- $\frac{1}{4}$  = Total number of observations
- 220 € = Outcome variable label
- f = Outcome value label of category of interest
- 222 &= Frequency of sample cases (and weighted row percentages)
- $\xi$  = Weighted Odds Ratio
- $\alpha$  = Class level p-value

 $\beta = \text{Global/Type3 p-value}$ 

# **Discussion**

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

This paper presents an elegant and flexible SAS macro, *%svy logistic regression*, for producing quality publication-ready tables from unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analyses. Even though a number of SAS macros are available on the internet for processing output from logistic regression into a publication-ready table, they are complex to follow and/or have limited features, thus restricting their adoption. Many macros are not generic and hence can only be used with the data for which they were designed. The SAS macro presented here is generalized, highly suitable to handle different scenarios, and is simple to implement and invoke from user macros. In addition, our macro includes the row or column total and frequency of prevalent cases of each variable level, which can immediately allow the analyst/researcher to identify levels with sparse data. Row percentages help the researcher in the choice of reference category. Global or type3 p-values shows whether or not a variable is an important predictor. Individual p-values shows if a given variable category is comparable to the reference category. The macro provides validation checks on the input parameters including the dataset, variables and values of variables to ensure that the analyst obtains valid estimates. The output of this SAS macro helps improve efficiency of knowledge generation by reducing the steps required from analysis to clear and concise presentation of results.

# **Conclusion**

As our contribution to the emerging field of reproducible research, we have provided source code for the SAS macro as well as expected outputs using a publicly available dataset. By publishing this macro, it will allow other SAS macro programmers and users to verify and build upon this code. Production of

publication-quality tables is increasingly important as data analyses become more complex, involving larger datasets and requiring more sophisticated computations and tabulation, notwithstanding the need for quick results. This macro helps to make data analysis results readily available, and allows one to publish data summaries in a single document, thus allowing others to easily execute the same code to obtain the same results. The quality, publication-ready results from this macro are suitable for direct inclusion in manuscripts for peer-reviewed journals. The macro can also be used to routinely generate standardized tables. This is especially useful for disease surveillance systems where the same analyses are repeated on a quarterly or annual basis. We hope the published results from this macro will provide

# **Supporting information**

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

260

266

### **Supporting results dataset**

- The NHANES dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is freely available to the public on
- 259 the NHANES website at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Index.htm.

### Supporting software

- The source code for this macro is available online at https://github.com/kmuthusi/generic-sas-macros for
- public access and has been licensed under the terms of the Apache Software License and therefore is
- licensed under ASL v2 or later. A copy on this license is available at
- http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html. Sample SAS program call for the macro named
- 265 "svv logistic regression anafile.sas" is provided.

### **Funding**

This work was supported by the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) through the U.S.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We thank our colleagues in the Surveillance and Epidemiology branch for testing the SAS macro and providing valuable feedback for improvement and also for thoroughly reviewing the manuscript.

# References

- 274 1. Peng RD, Dominici F, Zeger SL. Reproducible epidemiologic research. American Journal of
- 275 Epidemiology. 2006;163(9):783-9.
- 276 2. Peng RD. Reproducible research in computational science. Science. 2011;334(6060):1226-7.
- 277 3. Peng RD. Reproducible research and Biostatistics. Biostatistics. 2009;10(3):405-8.
- 278 4. Iqbal SA, Wallach JD, Khoury MJ, Schully SD, Ioannidis JP. Reproducible Research Practices and
- 279 Transparency across the Biomedical Literature. PLoS Biol. 2016;14(1):e1002333.
- 280 5. Arnold Tim, Kuhfeld Warren F. Using SAS and LATEX to Create Documents with Reproducible
- 281 Results. URL: http://supportsascom/resources/papers/proceedings12/324-2012pdf. 2012.
- 282 6. Wellcome Trust. Policy on data, software and materials management and sharing 2017 [
- 283 7. Wellcome Trust. Open access policy 2017 [
- 284 8. U S Department of Health and Human Services. Open Government Plan. 2016. p. 48-9.
- 285 9. Leek JT, Peng RD. Opinion: Reproducible research can still be wrong: adopting a prevention
- 286 approach. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(6):1645-6.
- 287 10. Altman DG, Gore SM, Gardner MJ, Pocock SJ. Statistical guidelines for contributors to medical
- journals. British Medical Journal (Clinical research ed). 1983;286(6376):1489-93.
- 289 11. Lang TA, Altman DG. Basic statistical reporting for articles published in clinical medical journals:
- the SAMPL Guidelines. In: Smart P, Maisonneuve H, Polderman A (eds). Science Editors' Handbook,
- 291 European Association of Science Editors. 2013.

- 292 12. Nala R, Cummings B, Horth R, Inguane C, Benedetti M, Chissano M, et al. Men who have sex
- with men in Mozambique: identifying a hidden population at high-risk for HIV. AIDS Behavior.
- 294 2015;19(2):393-404.
- 295 13. Moore DM, Cui Z, Lachowsky N, Raymond HF, Roth E, Rich A, et al. HIV Community Viral Load
- and Factors Associated With Elevated Viremia Among a Community-Based Sample of Men Who Have
- 297 Sex With Men in Vancouver, Canada. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome.
- 298 2016;72(1):87-95.
- 299 14. Cherutich P, Kim AA, Kellogg TA, Sherr K, Waruru A, De Cock KM, et al. Detectable HIV Viral
- Load in Kenya: Data from a Population-Based Survey. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0154318.
- 301 15. Oluoch T, Katana A, Kwaro D, Santas X, Langat P, Mwalili S, et al. Effect of a clinical decision
- 302 support system on early action on immunological treatment failure in patients with HIV in Kenya: a
- 303 cluster randomised controlled trial. The Lancet HIV. 2016;3(2):e76-e84.
- 304 16. Jann B. Making regression tables from stored estimates. The Stata Journal, URL:
- 305 http://wwwstata-journalcom/articlehtml?article=st0085. 2005;5(3):288-308.
- 306 17. Jann B. Making regression tables simplified. The Stata Journal, URL: http://wwwstata-
- 307 journalcom/articlehtml?article=st0085 1. 2007;7(2):227-44.
- 308 18. Brady T. REFORMAT: Stata module to reformat regression output. Statistical Software
- 309 Components S426304, Boston College Department of Economics, revised 06 Oct 2002, URL:
- 310 https://ideasrepecorg/c/boc/bocode/s426304html. 2002.
- 311 19. Wada R. OUTREG2: Stata module to arrange regression outputs into an illustrative table.
- 312 Statistical Software Components S456416, Boston College Department of Economics, revised 17 Aug
- 313 2014 URL: https://ideasrepecorg/c/boc/bocode/s456416html. 2005.

- 314 20. Hlavac M. stargazer: Well-Formatted Regression and Summary Statistics Tables. R package
- version 5.2.1. <a href="https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stargazer">https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stargazer</a>. 2018.
- 316 21. Robinson D, Gomez M, Demeshev B, Menne D, Nutter B, Luke J, et al. broom: Convert Statistical
- 317 Analysis Objects into Tidy Data Frames. URL: https://cran.r-project.org/package=broom. 2017.
- 318 22. Gohel D, Nazarov M. flextable: Functions for Tabular Reporting. URL: https://cran.r-
- 319 <u>project.org/package=flextable</u>. 2018.
- 320 23. SAS Institute Inc. SAS/STAT® 9.3 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2011.
- 321 24. Gravely A, Clothier B, Nugent S. Creating an Easy to Use, Dynamic, Flexible Summary Table
- 322 Macro with P-Values in SAS® for Research Studies. Proceedings from MidWest SAS Users Group Paper
- 323 AA072014.
- 324 25. Qi J. Automating the Process of Generating Publication Quality Regression Tables through SAS®
- 325 Base Programming. Proceedings from MiwWest SAS Users Group Paper BB232016.
- 326 26. Dhand NK. UniLogistic: A SAS Macro for Descriptive and Univariable Logistic Regression
- 327 Analyses. Journal of Statistical Software. 2010;35(1):1-15.
- 328 27. SAS Institute Inc. Base SAS® 9.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2011.
- 329 28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).
- 330 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data.
- 331 Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and
- Prevention, 2013-2014, URL: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Index.htm">https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Index.htm</a>: National Center for Health
- 333 Statistics (NCHS); 2015 [

- 334 29. Johnson CL, Dohrmann SM, Burt VL, Mohadjer LK. National Health and Nutrition Examination
- 335 Survey: Sample design, 2011–2014. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital and Health Statistics.
- 336 2014;2(162).