1   Molecular and clinical analysis of 27 German patients with Leber congenital

2   amaurosis

3

4   Nicole Weisschuh[1], Britta Feldhaus[1,#], Muhammad Imran Khan[2], Frans P. M. Cremers[2,3], Susanne

5   Kohl[1], Bernd Wissinger[1], Ditta Zobor[1,*]

6

7   [1]Center for Ophthalmology, Institute for Ophthalmic Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen,

8   Germany

9   [2]Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

10   [3]Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen,

11   The Netherlands

12   [#]current address: University Hospital Zuerich, Geriatric Hospital, Zuerich, Switzerland

13

14   *corresponding author

15   E-mail: ditta.zobor@uni-tuebingen.de

16

17

Abstract

Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA) is the earliest and most severe form of all inherited retinal dystrophies (IRD) and the most frequent cause of inherited blindness in children. The phenotypic overlap with other early-onset and severe IRDs as well as difficulties associated with the ophthalmic examination of infants can complicate the clinical diagnosis. To date, 25 genes have been implicated in the pathogenesis of LCA. The disorder is usually inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion, although rare dominant cases have been reported. We report the mutation spectra and frequency of genes in 27 German index patients initially diagnosed with LCA. A total of 108 LCA- and other genes implicated in IRD were analysed using a cost-effective targeted next-generation sequencing procedure based on molecular inversion probes (MIPs). Sequencing and variant filtering led to the identification of putative pathogenic variants in 25 cases, thereby leading to a detection rate of 93%. The mutation spectrum comprises 34 different alleles, 17 of which are novel. In line with previous studies, the genetic results led to a revision of the initial clinical diagnosis in a substantial proportion of cases, demonstrating the importance of genetic testing in IRD. In addition, our detection rate of 93% shows that MIPs are a cost-efficient and sensitive tool for targeted next-generation sequencing in IRD.

Introduction

Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA, MIM #204000) was first described by Theodor Leber in 1869 and refers to a heterogeneous group of severe, mostly recessively inherited, early infantile-onset retinal dystrophies with typically extinguished electroretinograms (ERGs). Later, a separate group of milder disease phenotypes, with some preservation of the ERG responses, the so-called "early-onset severe retinal dystrophy" (EOSRD) or "severe early childhood onset retinal dystrophy" has been described. LCA and EOSRD together are the most severe and earliest forms of all inherited retinal diseases (IRDs). They affect 20% of blind children and account for 5% of all IRDs [1]. In Germany, the estimated number of cases is 2000 (source: Pro Retina Deutschland e. V.). To date, mutations in 25 genes have been associated with LCA (https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/). A substantial proportion of cases (10-20%) remain unsolved despite extensive molecular testing [2-4]. This is due to technical limitations as copy number variations often remain undetected in datasets derived from capture panels or whole exome sequencing, but also because of the focus on coding regions in most diagnostic settings which will not detect deep intronic variants acting on splicing or variants in regulatory sequences.

There is a considerable clinical and genetic overlap between LCA, EOSRD and other types of IRD, therefore, an accurate clinical diagnosis cannot always be made at the first visit of the young patients. Furthermore, the clinical examination of infants is challenging or limited. Hence, the initial clinical diagnosis sometimes has to be revised once genetic results are available.

For a long time the genetic heterogeneity of LCA (and IRD in general) hampered DNA-based (molecular) diagnoses, since parallel screening of all associated genes requires next generation sequencing approaches, for which reimbursement to the patient is often not guaranteed. We sought for a cost-effective and sensitive approach to obtain a molecular diagnosis for 27 patients that had been diagnosed with LCA at the University Eye Hospital Tuebingen. The present study focuses on these genetically unsolved cases, which were screened for sequence variants in 108 genes associated

60 with non-syndromic IRD by a cost-effective targeted panel-based next-generation sequencing

61 approach.

62

Materials and Methods

Subjects and clinical assessment

65 In this study we included 27 unrelated patients of German origin with a clinical diagnosis of LCA who

66 were not genetically pre-investigated. Their clinical diagnosis was established by standard clinical

67 ophthalmologic examinations including patient history, psychophysical and electrophysiological

68 examinations. Genomic DNA of patients was extracted from peripheral blood using standard

69 protocols. Samples from all patients and family members were recruited in accordance with the

70 principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and were obtained with written informed consent

71 accompanying the patients´ samples. The study was approved by the institutional review board of

72 the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Tuebingen.

73

Sequencing analysis

75 Molecular testing was performed by targeted next-generation sequencing at a core facility

76 (Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre). We used molecular

77 inversion probes (MIPs) with 5-bp molecular tags to conduct targeted next generation sequencing of

78 108 genes associated with IRD (see S1 Table). The 1,524 coding exons and the 10 bp flanking each

79 exon were targeted with 6,129 probes for an overall target size of 647,574 bp. On average, 4-6 MIPs

80 cover one exon. The panel also includes the frequent LCA-associated pathogenic intronic variant

81 c.2992+1655A>G in *CEP290* [5]. Pooled and phosphorylated probes were added to the capture

82 reactions with 100 ng of genomic DNA from each individual to produce a library for each individual.

83 The libraries were amplified with 21 cycles of PCR, during which an 8-bp sample barcode was

84 introduced. The barcoded libraries were then pooled and purified with AMPureXP beads (Beckman-

4

85 Coulter). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq 500 system. Demultiplexed BAM files

86 were aligned to a human reference sequence (UCSC Genome Browser hg19) via the Burrows-

87 Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v.0.6.2 [6]. In-house automated data analysis pipeline and variant

88 interpretation tools were used for variant calling. Rare and potentially disease-causing variants were

89 confirmed by Sanger sequencing using standard protocols. Sanger sequencing was also used to

90 screen for the recurrent c.2843G>A/p.C948Y variant in the *CRB1* gene.

91

92 Variant filtering and classification

93 Only non-synonymous single nucleotide variants (nsSNVs), nonsense variants, putative splice site

94 (±10 bps) variants, insertions, duplications and deletions represented by more than 20 sequence

95 reads were considered for further analysis. In addition, variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF)

96 >0.5% in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) Version r2.0.2 [7] were excluded from further

97 investigation. For variant classification we applied the terminology proposed by the American College

98 of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology [8].

99

100 *In silico* predictions

101 The potential pathogenicity of the missense changes identified in this study was assessed using four

102 online prediction software tools, namely SIFT (http:// http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/) [9], PolyPhen-2

103 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) [10], Mutation Taster (www.mutationtaster.org/) [11], and

104 Provean (http://provean.jcvi.org/) [12].

105

106 Results

107 Utilizing our capture panel technology, we were able to obtain an average of 1.2 million reads on

108 target per sample, with an average coverage of 213 reads per probe. Moreover, an average of 88% of

109 targeted regions had 10x coverage or more, which was sufficient for accurate variant calling. The

110   pipeline initially called an average of 532 single nucleotide variants and 64 insertions/deletions for

111   each sample. Putative pathogenic variants were identified in 25/27 index cases (Table 1), thereby

112   achieving a detection rate of 93%. All putative disease-associated variants were validated by

113   conventional Sanger sequencing. Homozygosity was observed for eight patients (26%): variants were

114   seen in true homozygous state in four patients and in apparent homozygous state in four patients,

115   respectively. Two patients were hemizygous, and compound heterozygosity was observed for four

116   patients based on the analysis of paternal alleles. *Trans* configuration of variants could not be

117   demonstrated for 11 patients because DNA of family members was not available and the respective

118   variants were located too far apart for allelic cloning. In patient 26, a single heterozygous variant in

119   *IMPG2* was observed. In patient 27, no putative disease-causing variants were identified. The

120   mutation spectrum comprises 34 different alleles, 17 of which are novel. All novel variants were

121   deposited to the ClinVar database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) [13] with accession codes

122   provided in Table 1.

123

Table 1. Putative pathogenic variants in 25 unrelated German patients initially diagnosed with LCA											
Patient Nr.	Final diagnosis	Gene	Allele 1	Reference	ClinVar accession no.	ACMG category	Allele 2	Reference	ClinVar accession no.	ACMG category	Segregation performed
Solved by putative pathogenic mutations in known LCA genes											
1	LCA	*AIPL1*	c.857A>T/p.D286V	this study	pending	VUS	c.857A>T/p.D286V	this study	pending	VUS	yes
2	LCA	*AIPL1*	c.834G>A/p.W278*	PMID: 10615133	SCV000086966.1	LP	c.277+6T>C/p.?	this study	pending	VUS	no
3	LCA	*CEP290*	c.2991+1655A>G/ p.[C998*, =]	PMID: 16909394 PMID: 27151457	SCV000021550.2	LP	c.2991+1655A>G/ p.[C998*, =]	PMID: 16909394 PMID: 27151457	SCV000021550.2	LP	yes
4	EOSRD	*CRB1*	c.2798G>A/p.C933Y	this study	pending	VUS	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	PMID: 10508521	SCV000056582.2	VUS	yes
5	LCA	*CRB1*	c.4039del/p.T1347Lfs*5	this study	pending	LP	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	PMID: 10508521	SCV000056582.2	VUS	no
6	LCA	*CRB1*	c.410del/p.P137Lfs*11	this study	pending	LP	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	PMID: 10508521	SCV000056582.2	VUS	no
7	LCA	*CRB1*	c.70+1G>A/p.?	this study	pending	LP	c.2042G>A/p.C681Y	PMID: 11231775	SCV000118458.1	VUS	yes
8	EOSRD	*CRB1*	c.2308G>A/p.G770S	PMID: 27113771	SCV000282584.1	VUS	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	PMID: 10508521	SCV000056582.2	VUS	no
9	LCA	*CRB1*	c.2072G>A/p.W691*	this study	pending	LP	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	PMID: 10508521	SCV000056582.2	VUS	no
10	LCA	*NMNAT1*	c.12dup/p.E5Rfs*4	PMID: 24940029	n.a.	LP	c.769G>A/p.E257K	PMID: 22842231	SCV000053426.1	VUS	no
11	EOSRD	*RD3*	c.180C>A/p.Y60*	PMID: 22531706	SCV000222653.1	LP	c.180C>A/p.Y60*	PMID: 22531706	SCV000222653.1	LP	no
12	LCA	*RPE65*	c.110G>C/p.W37S	this study	pending	VUS	c.722A>G/p.H241R	this study	pending	VUS	no
13	LCA	*RPE65*	c.203A>C/p.H68P	this study	pending	VUS	c.825C>G/p.Y275*	this study	pending	LP	no
14	LCA	*RPGRIP1*	c.2440C>T/p.R814*	this study	pending	LP	c.2440C>T/p.R814*	this study	pending	LP	no
15	LCA	*RPGRIP1*	c.1303A>T/p.K435*	PMID: 27208204	SCV000282616.1	LP	c.801-25_c.843del	this study	pending	LP	yes
16	LCA	*RPGRIP1*	c.2941C>T/p.R981*	PMID: 28041643	SCV000599101.1	LP	c.2941C>T/p.R981*	PMID: 28041643	SCV000599101.1	LP	no
17	LCA	*RPGRIP1*	c.800+1G>A/p.?	PMID: 16123401	n.a.	LP	c.2718dup/p.N907*	PMID: 28714225	n.a.	LP	yes
Solved by putative pathogenic mutations in IRD genes not typically associated with LCA											
18	CRD	*ABCA4*	c.1765del/p.W589Gfs*60	this study	pending	LP	c.1765del/p.W589Gfs*60	this study	pending	LP	no
19	CRD	*ABCA4*	c.5461-10T>C/ p.[T1821Vfs*13, T1821Dfs*6]	PMID: 15614537 PMID: 26976702	SCV000028574.2	LP	c.3377T>C/p.L1126P	PMID: 25066811	SCV000281867.2	VUS	no
20	CRD	*ABCA4*	c.3259G>A/E1087K	PMID: 9054934	SCV000598963.1	VUS	c.5917del/p.V1973*	PMID: 10958763	SCV000599004.1	LP	no
21	CRD	*ABCA4*	c.5917del/p.V1973*	PMID: 10958763	SCV000599004.1	LP	c.5917del/p.V1973*	PMID: 10958763	SCV000599004.1	LP	yes
22	CSNB	*CACNA1F*	c.4504C>T/p.R1502*	this study	pending	LP	-				no
23	CRD	*CDHR1*	c.634G>A/p.A212T	PMID: 16288196	n.a.	VUS	c.1132C>T/p.R378W	this study	pending	VUS	no
24	RP	*PROM1*	c.1209_1229/ p.Q403_S410delinsH	PMID: 24265693	SCV000575406.4	VUS	c.1209_1229/ p.Q403_S410delinsH	PMID: 24265693	SCV000575406.4	VUS	yes
25	XRP	*RP2*	c.314G>A/p.C105Y	this study	pending	VUS	-				no

LCA, Leber congenital amaurosis; EOSRD, early-onset severe retinal dystrophy; CRD, cone-rod dystrophy; CSNB, congenital stationary nightblindness; RP, retinitis pigmentosa; XRP, X-linked RP; VUS, variant of uncertain significance; LP, likely pathogenic; n.a., not available.

127   The variants comprise 14 missense variants, eight nonsense variants, seven deletions or duplications

128   leading to a frame-shift, three canonical splice site variants, two non-canonical splice site variants

129   and one in-frame deletion. Pathogenicity was interpreted in accordance with the American College of

130   Medical Genetics guidelines [8]. The respective categories are given in Table 1. Missense variants

131   that have never been reported before were analysed using different *in silico* prediction algorithms.

132   These scores, together with the MAFs sourced from the gnomAD browser are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Assessment of pathogenicity of missense variants identified in this study

Gene	*Variant*	gnomAD MAF	Mutation Taster	Polyphen	SIFT	Provean	phyloP	Grantham Score
ABCA4	c.3377T>C/p.L1126P	4.061e-6	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-6.51)	3.60	98
ABCA4	c.3259G>A/E1087K	1.624e-5	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-3.84)	6.22	56
AIPL1	c.857A>T/p.D286V	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-8.31)	4.09	152
CDHR1	c.634G>A/p.A212T	0.0001312	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (0.99)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-3.22)	4.93	58
CDHR1	c.1132C>T/p.R378W	7.584e-5	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.02)	Deleterious (-3.84)	1.25	101
CRB1	c.2798G>A/p.C933Y	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (0.99)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-9.66)	5.69	194
CRB1	c.2308G>A/p.G770S	2.036e-5	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Tolerated (0.06)	Deleterious (-5.48)	5.69	56
CRB1	c.2843G>A/p.C948Y	0.0002027	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (0.99)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-9.66)	5.31	194
CRB1	c.2042G>A/p.C681Y	4.067e-6	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-10.74)	5.74	194
NMNAT1	c.769G>A/p.E257K	0.0006968	Disease causing (0.99)	Benign (0.09)	Tolerated (0.52)	Neutral (-2.31)	3.87	56
RP2	c.314G>A/p.C105Y	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-8.6)	5.50	194
RPE65	c.110G>C/p.W37S	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.02)	Deleterious (-12.62)	5.78	177
RPE65	c.722A>G/p.H241R	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Damaging (0.0)	Deleterious (-7.58)	4.74	29
RPE65	c.203A>C/p.H68P	none	Disease causing (0.99)	Probably damaging (1.0)	Tolerated (0.06)	Deleterious (-9.34)	4.78	77

133   MAF, minor allele frequency.

134

LCA / EOSRD patients

136   A summary of clinical findings is shown in Table 3 including all 27 index patients. In 19 of 27 patients,

137   the initial diagnosis of LCA/EOSRD was confirmed by the molecular genetic analysis. In all of these

138   cases, disease onset was typically at birth or within the first months of life. Nystagmus and

139   strabismus were common features, indicating the lack of visual development. Visual acuity was

140   severely reduced in all cases, ranging from 0.2 (decimal) to no light perception (NLP). Where visual

141   field testing was possible, only small residual visual islands could be detected. Fullfield ERGs were

142   extinguished in each case at time of recording. Morphological findings included typical salt & pepper

143   pigmentary changes of the retina, pale optic disks and attenuated retinal vessels. Patients showed a

144 progressive disease history with severe visual impairment from the beginning. In the following, the

145 LCA-associated genes that were found to be mutated in these patients are listed in detail.

146

		Table 3. Summary of clinical findings								
Patient Nr.	Current age (years), Gender	Genetic findings	Revised diagnosis	Disease onset	BCVA OD OS	Nystagmus	Cataract	Strabism	Fundus pigmentary changes	Other findings
1	50 / m	*AIPL1* c.857A>T/p.D286V homozygous	LCA	6 months	1/35 1/35	yes	yes	yes	S&P	no
2	31 / m	*AIPL1* c.834G>A/p.W278* heterozygous c.277+6T>C/p.? heterozygous	LCA	n.a.	CF CF	yes	no	yes	S&P	no
3	8 / f	*CEP290* c.2991+1655A>G/p.[Cys998*, =] homozygous	LCA	birth	n.a.	yes	no	yes	n.a.	no
4	45 / f	*CRB1* c.2798G>A/p.C933Y heterozygous c.2843G>A/p.C948Y heterozygous	EOSRD	childhood	LP HM	yes	no	yes	S&P	n.a.
5	61 / m	*CRB1* c.4039del/p.T1347Lfs*5 heterozygous c.2843G>A/p.C948Y heterozygous	LCA	birth	n.a.	yes	yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
6	25 / f	*CRB1* c.410del/p.P137Lfs*11 heterozygous c.2843G>A/p.C948Y heterozygous	LCA	6 months	n.a.	yes	no	yes	S&P	no
7	27 / m	*CRB1* c.70+1G>A/p.? heterozygous c.2042G>A/p.C681Y heterozygous	LCA	9 months	1/35 1/35	yes	no	yes	S&P	no
8	55 / f	*CRB1* c.2308G>A/p.G770S heterozygous c.2843G>A/p.C948Y heterozygous	EOSRD	3 years	LP LP	n.a.	yes	n.a.	S&P	anti-phospholipid syndrome, asthma
9	28 / m	*CRB1* c.2072G>A/p.W691* heterozygous c.2843G>A/p.C948Y heterozygous	LCA	birth	1/5 1/5	yes	no	yes	n.a.	n.a.
10	48 / f	*NMNAT1* c.12dup/p.E5Rfs*4 heterozygous c.769G>A/p.E257K heterozygous	LCA	birth	LP LP	yes	yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
11	20 / f	*RD3* c.180C>A/p.Y60* homozygous	EOSRD	2 years	LP LP	yes	no	yes	n.a.	no
12	36/ m	*RPE65* c.110G>C/p.W37S heterozygous c.722A>G/p.H241R heterozygous	LCA	birth	1/50 1/35	yes	no	yes	S&P	n.a.
13	48 / f	*RPE65* c.203A>C/p.H68P heterozygous c.825C>G/p.Y275* heterozygous	LCA	birth	HM 1/50	yes	no	yes	n.a.	n.a.
14	26 / f	*RPGRIP1* c.2440C>T/p.R814* homozygous	LCA	birth	NLP NLP	yes	no	yes	S&P	no
15	36 / m	*RPGRIP1* c.1303A>T/p.K435* heterozygous c.801-25_c.843del heterozygous	LCA	6 months	HM HM	yes	no	yes	n.a.	no
16	47 / m	*RPGRIP1* c.2941C>T/p.R981* homozygous	LCA	n.a.	LP LP	yes	yes	yes	S&P	no
17	17 / f	*RPGRIP1* c.800+1G>A/p.? heterozygous c.2718dup/p.N907* heterozygous	LCA	birth	1/10 1/10	yes	no	yes	S&P	no
18	33 / f	*ABCA4* c.1765del/p.W589Gfs*60 homozygous	CRD	3 years	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
19	47 / f	*ABCA4* c.5461-10T>C/p.[T1821Vfs*13, T1821Dfs*6] heterozygous	CRD	7 years	1/35 1/35	yes	no	yes	S&P	n.a.

9

		c.3377T>C/p.L1126P heterozygous								
20	44 / m	*ABCA4* c.3259G>A/E1087K heterozygous c.5917del/p.V1973* heterozygous	CRD	n.a.	LP LP	n.a.	yes	n.a.	dense	no
21	18 / f	*ABCA4* c.5917del/p.V1973* homozygous	CRD	childhood	LP LP	n.a.	no	n.a.	dense	no
22	20 / m	*CACNA1F* c.4504C>T/p.R1502* hemizygous	CSNB	birth	1/6 1/10	yes	no	no	no	no
23	35 / f	*CDHR1* c.634G>A/p.A212T heterozygous c.1132C>T/p.R378W heterozygous	CRD	n.a.	HM HM	yes	no	yes	S&P	renal insufficiency, hyper-parathyroidism, obesity
24	50 / m	*PROM1* c.1209_1229/p.Q403_S410delinsH homozygous	RP	16 years	LP LP	yes	yes	yes	S&P	no
25	45 / m	*RP2* c.314G>A/p.C105Y hemizygous	XRP	childhood	1/35 1/35	yes	no	yes	n.a.	no
26	39/ m	*IMPG2* c.370T>C/p.F124L single heterozygous	LCA	4 years	n.a.	yes	no	yes	n.a.	no
27	12 / m	nothing of immediate interest	EOSRD	3 years	1/20 1/20	no	no	yes	S&P	no

147 BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; m, male; f, female; LCA, Leber congenital amaurosis; EOSRD, early-onset
148 severe retinal dystrophy; CRD, cone-rod dystrophy; CSNB, congenital stationary nightblindness; RP, retinitis pigmentosa; XRP, X-linked RP;
149 CF, counting fingers; HM, hand movement; LP, light perception; NLP, no light perception; S&P, salt and pepper.

CRB1

152 *CRB1* variants were detected in six patients (22.2%; 6/27). In total, eight variants were identified,

153 including one novel nonsense, two novel frame-shifting deletions, one novel canonical splice site

154 variant and one novel missense variant. Compound heterozygosity could only be demonstrated in

155 two patients. Five patients were heterozygous for the recurrent c.2843G>A/p.C948Y variant, which

156 has been reported to represent 23–31% of all *CRB1* disease-associated alleles [14-15]. Of note, this

157 particular variant was not covered by the MIPs in our assay, but we screened all patients by

158 conventional Sanger Sequencing for this variant, because of its known high frequency and relevance

159 (MAF 0.0002027).

RPGRIP1

162 Of the six potentially disease-causing variants in *RPGRIP1* detected in four patients (14.8%; 4/27), all

163 represent likely null alleles and three were novel. Compound heterozygosity of a reported nonsense

164 variant and a novel 68-bp deletion was demonstrated for one patient. One patient harbored a

165   reported canonical splice site variant on one allele and a novel frame-shifting duplication on the

166   other allele. Two patients were homozygous for two different nonsense variants, one of them novel.

167

168   ## *RPE65*

169   A total of four novel variants in *RPE65* were identified in two patients (7.4%; 2/27), including one

170   nonsense and three missense. Biallelism could not be formally proven in both cases.

171

172   ## *AIPL1*

173   Of the three variants detected in two affected individuals in *AIPL1* (7.4%; 2/27), there was one novel

174   missense variant found in homozygous state in one patient. Another patient harbored a nonsense

175   variant and a non-canonical splice site change. Whether the variants are in *trans* configuration in this

176   patient could not be established.

177

178   ## *RD3*

179   One patient was found to be homozygous for a known nonsense variant in *RD3* (3.7%; 1/27).

180

181   ## *NMNAT1*

182   *NMNAT1* variants were detected in one patient (3.7%; 1/27) who possessed one reported frame-

183   shifting duplication and the known hypomorphic variant c.769G>A/p.E257K [16]. Biallelism could not

184   be confirmed due to lack of additional family DNA samples.

185

186   ## *CEP290*

187   One patient was found to be homozygous for the common c.2991+1655A>G/p.C998* allele which

188   causes insertion of a cryptic exon and subsequent truncation [5,17].

189

Other patients

In addition to the cases described above, we identified eight patients (30%) who harbored pathogenic variants in genes not typically associated with LCA. Clinical re-evaluation of these cases led to a revision of the initial clinical diagnosis in all of them. Within this group, *ABCA4* was the most frequently mutated gene, as biallelic variants were seen in four patients. In these cases, a later onset of disease and a dense pigmentation of the retina were observed (Table 3). After genetic testing and re-evaluation of clinical data, the diagnosis was corrected to cone-rod dystrophy (CRD), demonstrating severe morphological and functional damage in all cases.

In addition, we found a male patient to be hemizygous for a pathogenic variant in *RP2.* He had been initially diagnosed in adult age with severely progressed retinal degeneration. Consequently, his diagnosis was corrected to X-linked retinitis pigmentosa.

Another male patient was shown to be hemizygous for a pathogenic variant in *CACNA1F*. He was suffering from nystagmus, night blindness, photophobia and very poor vision since birth. His fullfield ERGs showed residual photopic and scotopic responses. Morphologically, slight attenuation of the retinal vessels, changes in the macular reflexes and only minimal peripheral pigmentary changes could be observed. In this case, the diagnosis was changed to X-linked congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB).

One patient harbored pathogenic variants in *CDHR1*. The revised clinical diagnosis in this case was CRD, but interestingly, this female patient also suffered from renal insufficiency, secondary hyperparathyroidism and obesity. Whether these symptoms can be considered as a unique disease identity or syndrome remains unexplained. So far, such extra-ocular symptoms have not been described as a feature of *CDHR1*-related disease but would be typical features of a ciliopathy to which *CDHR1*-associated IRD does not belong to.

The last male patient presented in our clinic with a severe retinal degeneration at the age of 50 years and was found to be homozygous for an in-frame insertion/deletion in *PROM1*. On the basis of

12

215   patient history, clinical findings and genetic results, the clinical diagnosis was changed to autosomal

216   recessive retinitis pigmentosa.

217

Discussion

219   In a cohort of 27 German patients initially diagnosed with LCA, we were able to identify sequence

220   variants likely explaining the disease phenotype in 25 cases (93%) by applying a cost-efficient

221   targeted next-generation sequencing approach designed at the Department of Human Genetics,

222   Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. The MIP panel targets 108 known

223   IRD genes, including 22 genes that are associated with LCA, that were reported in October 2013.

224   Undoubtedly, those LCA genes with the highest disease-causing variant load have already been

225   discovered. However, the fact that half of the variants (17/34) we identified are novel suggests that

226   the mutation spectrum of LCA and other IRD genes is far from being saturated and confirms the

227   known genetic heterogeneity of IRD in an outbred European population.

228   The most frequently mutated LCA genes in our cohort were *CRB1* (6 cases, 22%) and *RPGRIP1* (4

229   cases, 15%). Among the six patients with *CRB1* mutations, five carried the recurrent p.C948Y variant

230   on one allele, which is known to be a founder mutation [18]. We only identified one patient with a

231   *CEP290* variant in our cohort, despite *CEP290* being one of the most frequently mutated LCA genes in

232   different populations [5, 19], but this is due to the fact that most patients in the present study had

233   already been pre-screened for the recurrent pathogenic intronic variant c.2992+1655A>G.

234   Several criteria were considered to evaluate the potential pathogenicity of variants: (1) variants have

235   previously been reported to be pathogenic, (2) variants are observed only in few heterozygous cases

236   or are absent among 277,264 general population alleles sourced from gnomAD browser; (3) variants

237   represent likely null alleles (nonsense, canonical splice site and frame-shift variants), and (4) in the

238   case of missense variants they are predicted to be damaging by *in silico* prediction algorithms. In

239   addition, all variants were classified according to their pathogenicity based on the American College

240   of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines [8]. With nonsense, canonical splice site and

241 frame-shifting variants having a strong weight in the ACMG scoring system, this class of variants are

242 consequently classified either as likely pathogenic or pathogenic, whereas missense variants that lack

243 segregation data and functional analyses to support a damaging effect are always classified as

244 variants of uncertain significance (VUS). To compensate for this simplistic categorization of the ACMG

245 classification system, we provide *in silico* predictions from four algorithms for all missense variants

246 identified in this study, regardless of having been reported previously or not, along with phyloP

247 scores, Grantham differences and MAFs sourced from the gnomAD browser (Table 2). The extremely

248 low MAF or even the absence in the gnomAD browser, the evolutionary conservation as well as the

249 type of the respective amino acid substitution are strong indicators that all missense variants we

250 identified and reported are indeed pathogenic. One missense variant that is predicted to be benign

251 by the majority of algorithms is the recurrent c.769G>A/p.E257K variant in *NMNAT1*, but it has been

252 shown previously that this is a hypomorphic variant and almost always causes LCA in combination

253 with more severe alleles [16].

254 Apart from the fact that we lack segregation data for several patients, the only case that is left with

255 some level of uncertainty is patient LCA 108 who carries a nonsense variant and a non-canonical

256 splice site variant in *AIPL1*. The latter is a transition of T to C at position +6 of the splice donor of exon

257 2. It is absent in the gnomAD browser, but since the +6 position is not invariable, we performed an *in*

258 *silico* prediction. The bioinformatic tool Human Splicing Finder [20] predicts that the c.277+6T>C

259 variant breaks the natural splice donor site, since the mutant score is reduced by 41% compared to

260 the wildtype score when using maximum entropy as the algorithm type. However, since *AIPL1* is not

261 expressed in accessible tissues like blood or skin fibroblasts, mRNA analyses to confirm the *in silico*

262 prediction are not feasible. Sanger sequencing of the entire coding region of *AIPL1* in this patient

263 revealed no other variants than c.834G>A/p.W278* and c.277+6T>C. While most cases with

264 mutations in *AIPL1* are biallelic, certain mutations may result in dominant cone-rod dystrophy or

265 juvenile retinitis pigmentosa [21], however, this most probably is not the case for loss of function

266   alleles like the c.834G>A/p.W278* variant in our patient. Of course, we cannot rule out that the

267   phenotype of our patient might not be related to *AIPL1* at all.

268   The different forms of IRD may present with considerable clinical overlap [22]. This often precludes

269   the assessment of a diagnosis on the basis of the disease phenotype alone, no matter how

270   experienced and meticulous the clinician might be. Hence, we were not surprised that eight patients

271   in our cohort (30%) were found to carry pathogenic variants in genes not typically associated with

272   LCA. We reassessed the clinical data of these patients and revisited the initial diagnosis in all of them.

273   A recently published study on Brazilian patients with LCA found the same proportion (i.e. 30%) of

274   patients that were solved by identifying variants in non-LCA genes [23]. This impressively

275   demonstrates how a molecular diagnosis can help to refine a clinical diagnosis.

276   The underlying variants in two patients remained unresolved (7.4%; 2/27). One of these patients was

277   found to be heterozygous for a known missense variant in *IMPG2*. Biallelic mutations in *IMPG2* are a

278   known cause for RP [24]. All exons and adjacent intronic regions of this gene were sufficiently

279   covered which excludes the existence of a second variant in the coding region. Whether non-coding

280   deep-intronic variants or large deletions in the *IMPG2* gene account for the second pathogenic allele

281   in this patient remains unknown.

282   Supposing that all patients in whom we could not confirm *trans* configuration of variants are indeed

283   biallelic, our detection rate is 93%. This is in line with recent studies for LCA which achieved 80-90%

284   in panel-based approaches [2-3] and 89% by whole exome/genome sequencing [4]. Analysis of our

285   sequencing data revealed several regions with low or no coverage, as for instance for parts of exon 6

286   of *CRB1*. We would have missed several patients carrying the recurrent c.2843G>A/p.C948Y variant

287   in this gene, had we not re-sequenced this exon in all patients with conventional Sanger sequencing.

288   It would be interesting to know whether we would have achieved a detection rate of 100% had all

289   genes in our panel been sufficiently covered.

290   Several studies have shown that whole exome sequencing (WES) and whole genome sequencing

291   (WGS) can outperform targeted sequencing approaches in terms of variant detection [4, 25-27]. In

292   fact, NHS England is already planning to commission WGS into routine clinical care pathways [28].

293   However, targeted sequencing approaches have several benefits, including a higher coverage rate for

294   targeted regions and higher throughput in terms of patient numbers. What is more important, they

295   are associated with considerable lower costs, which is relevant for those patients who cannot expect

296   reimbursement from their health care provider or have no health insurance at all. The MIP

297   technology we used can be as low as € 80 per sample per gene panel, which is 10 to 20 times lower

298   than the price tag for other NGS-based sequencing procedures. Reaching a detection rate of 93%, we

299   could demonstrate that MIPs are a cost-efficient and sensitive tool for targeted next-generation

300   sequencing in IRD.

301

Acknowledgements

308

References

310   1.   Chung DC, Traboulsi EI. Leber congenital amaurosis: clinical correlations with genotypes,

311   gene therapy trials update, and future directions. J AAPOS. 2009;13: 587-92.

312   2.   Bernardis I, Chiesi L, Tenedini E, Artuso L, Percesepe A, Artusi V et al. Unravelling the

313   Complexity of Inherited Retinal Dystrophies Molecular Testing: Added Value of Targeted

314   Next-Generation Sequencing. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016: 6341870.

315   3.   Thompson JA, De Roach JN, McLaren TL, Montgomery HE, Hoffmann LH, Campbell IR et al.

316   The genetic profile of Leber congenital amaurosis in an Australian cohort. Mol Genet

317   Genomic Med. 2017;5: 652-67.

318   4.   Carss KJ, Arno G, Erwood M, Stephens J, Sanchis-Juan A, Hull S et al. Comprehensive Rare

319   Variant Analysis via Whole-Genome Sequencing to Determine the Molecular Pathology of

320   Inherited Retinal Disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2017;100: 75-90.

321   5.   den Hollander AI, Koenekoop RK, Yzer S, Lopez I, Arends ML, Voesenek KE et al. Mutations in

322   the CEP290 (NPHP6) gene are a frequent cause of Leber congenital amaurosis. Am J Hum

323   Genet. 2006;79: 556-61.

324   6.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

325   Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1754-60.

326   7.   Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T et al. Exome Aggregation

327   Consortium. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature.

328   2016;536: 285-91.

329   8.   Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J et al. ACMG Laboratory Quality

330   Assurance Committee. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants:

331   a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and

332   Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 2015;17: 405-24.

333   9.   Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on

334   protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc 2009; 4: 1073–81.

335   10.   Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P et al. A method and

336   server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 2010;7: 248–249.

337   11.   Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for

338   the deep-sequencing age. Nat Methods 2014;11: 361–362.

339   12.   Choi Y, Chan AP. PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid

340   substitutions and indels. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:2745-7.

17

341   13.   Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, Jang W, Rubinstein WS, Church DM et al. ClinVar: Public

342   archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. Nucleic Acids Res.

343   2014;42(Database issue): D980-5.

344   14.   Hanein S, Perrault I, Gerber S, Tanguy G, Barbet F, Ducroq D et al. Leber congenital

345   amaurosis: comprehensive survey of the genetic heterogeneity, refinement of the clinical

346   definition, and genotype-phenotype correlations as a strategy for molecular diagnosis. Hum

347   Mutat. 2004;23: 306-17.

348   15.   Corton M, Tatu SD, Avila-Fernandez A, Vallespín E, Tapias I, Cantalapiedra D et al. High

349   frequency of CRB1 mutations as cause of Early-Onset Retinal Dystrophies in the Spanish

350   population. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2013;8: 20.

351   16.   Siemiatkowska AM, van den Born LI, van Genderen MM, Bertelsen M, Zobor D,

352   Rohrschneider K et al. Novel compound heterozygous NMNAT1 variants associated with

353   Leber congenital amaurosis. Mol Vis. 2014;20: 753-9.

354   17.   Parfitt DA, Lane A, Ramsden CM, Carr AJ, Munro PM, Jovanovic K et al. Identification and

355   Correction of Mechanisms Underlying Inherited Blindness in Human iPSC-Derived Optic Cups.

356   Cell Stem Cell. 2016;18: 769-81

357   18.   den Hollander AI, Roepman R, Koenekoop RK, Cremers FP. Leber congenital amaurosis:

358   genes, proteins and disease mechanisms. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2008;27: 391-419.

359   19.   Coppieters F, Casteels I, Meire F, De Jaegere S, Hooghe S, van Regemorter N et al. Genetic

360   screening of LCA in Belgium: predominance of CEP290 and identification of potential modifier

361   alleles in AHI1 of CEP290-related phenotypes. Hum Mutat. 2010;31: E1709-66.

362   20.   Desmet FO, Hamroun D, Lalande M, Collod-Béroud G, Claustres M, Béroud C. Human Splicing

363   Finder: an online bioinformatics tool to predict splicing signals. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:

364   e67.

365   21.   Sohocki MM, Perrault I, Leroy BP, Payne AM, Dharmaraj S, Bhattacharya SS et al. Prevalence

366   of AIPL1 mutations in inherited retinal degenerative disease. Mol Genet Metab. 2000;70:

367   142-50.

368   22.   Sahel JA, Marazova K, Audo I. Clinical characteristics and current therapies for inherited

369   retinal degenerations. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2014;5: a017111.

370   23.   Porto FBO, Jones EM, Branch J, Soens ZT, Maia IM, Sena IFG et al. Molecular Screening of 43

371   Brazilian Families Diagnosed with Leber Congenital Amaurosis or Early-Onset Severe Retinal

372   Dystrophy. Genes (Basel). 2017;8(12). pii: E355.

373   24.   Bandah-Rozenfeld D, Collin RW, Banin E, van den Born LI, Coene KL, Siemiatkowska AM et al.

374   Mutations in IMPG2, encoding interphotoreceptor matrix proteoglycan 2, cause autosomal-

375   recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87: 199-208.

376   25.   Lelieveld SH, Spielmann M, Mundlos S, Veltman JA, Gilissen C. Comparison of Exome and

377   Genome Sequencing Technologies for the Complete Capture of Protein-Coding Regions. Hum

378   Mutat 2015;36: 815-22.

379   26.   Meienberg J, Bruggmann R, Oexle K, Matyas G. Clinical sequencing: is WGS the better WES?

380   Hum Genet 2016;135: 359-62.

381   27.   Ellingford JM, Barton S, Bhaskar S, Williams SG, Sergouniotis PI, O'Sullivan J et al. Whole

382   Genome Sequencing Increases Molecular Diagnostic Yield Compared with Current Diagnostic

383   Testing for Inherited Retinal Disease. Ophthalmology 2016;123: 1143-50.

384   28.   Turnbull C, Scott RH, Thomas E, Jones L, Murugaesu N, Pretty FB et al. The 100 000 Genomes

385   Project: bringing whole genome sequencing to the NHS. BMJ. 2018;361: k1687.